- 1. You have a sorted array of distinct integers A[0..n-1]. You want to determine whether or not there is an index i such that A[i] = i. For example, if the input array is [-9, -5, 2, 6, 20], then your algorithm should return true, because A[2] = 2.
  - Describe a recursive algorithm for this problem that runs in  $O(\log n)$  time. Prove its correctness and running time.
- 2. Recall that in the linear-time selection algorithm, we used the median of medians as the pivot in each iteration. That is, we chose the third-smallest element from each of the n/5 groups of five, and then we took the median of these medians—that is, the  $(n/10)^{\text{th}}$ -smallest median—as our pivot. This yielded the recurrence relation

$$T(n) \le T(n/5) + T(7n/10) + O(n).$$

(As in Lecture 4, we simplify the analysis here by ignoring issues of divisibility; for example, we assume that n/10 is an integer.)

Suppose that instead of choosing the third-smallest element from each group of five, we had chosen the **second**-smallest element from each group. Let's call these second-smallest elements the "sub-medians" of their groups. Taking the  $(n/10)^{\rm th}$ -smallest sub-median as our pivot would be a bad idea, but this algorithm can still be salvaged!

Find a value  $\alpha$  such that choosing the  $(\alpha \cdot n)^{\text{th}}$ -smallest of the n/5 sub-medians as the pivot would still yield a linear-time selection algorithm. What is the resulting recurrence relation? Briefly explain why your recurrence relation is correct and why its solution is O(n).

- 3. Let A and B be sorted arrays of length m and n, respectively, with m < n. Our goal is to determine whether or not there is any element that appears in both A and B. The optimal approach depends on how much shorter A is than B. To compare the lengths asymptotically, we can treat m as a function of n; for example, we might have m = n/2 or  $m = \sqrt{n}$ .
  - (a) Describe an algorithm that runs in O(n) time when  $m = \Theta(n)$ .
  - (b) Describe an algorithm that runs in o(n) time when  $m = o(n/\log n)$ .

You don't need to prove the correctness or running time of these two algorithms.