

1 Graph

1.1 Gaussian Graph

Take the Gaussian graph as an example. Suppose $p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}\right)$,

then $p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{0}, (\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21})^{-1})$, and the conditional distribution can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} p(\boldsymbol{\xi}|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi})}{p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{d}{2}\log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log\det\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21} \mathbf{x})\right)}{\exp\left(-\frac{d-1}{2}\log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log\det(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^\top (\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21}) \mathbf{x}\right)} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\log\det(\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22}) - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\xi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21} \mathbf{x})\right) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\xi}; -\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{21} \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{22}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

If there is conditional independence between $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ and \mathbf{x} , then $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{12}$ is sparse, and $p(\boldsymbol{\xi}|\mathbf{x})$ will only depend on a subset of \mathbf{x} . However, $p(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ and $p(\mathbf{x})$ still depend on the whole support of \mathbf{x} .

1.2 Logconcave Graph

Now consider the log-concave graph. In the conditional likelihood maximization approach, the objective function is

$$\max \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(\xi_i | x_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log p(\mathbf{x}_i, \xi_i) - \log \int p(\mathbf{x}_i, \xi) d\xi \right) \text{ s.t. } p(\mathbf{x}, \xi) \text{ is a logconcave density.}$$

We need to compute the marginal density on $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{(d-1)}$ with one feature dimension ξ being integrated out, i.e.

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \int p(\mathbf{x}, \xi) d\xi \triangleq \int \exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \xi)) d\xi.$$

Here $f(\mathbf{x}, \xi)$ is the joint log density, which is an affine function (hyperplane) on each simplex (triangle):

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = (f(\mathbf{x}_{j_0}, \xi_{j_0}), f(\mathbf{x}_{j_1}, \xi_{j_1}), \dots, f(\mathbf{x}_{j_d}, \xi_{j_d})) \mathbf{w}; \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{j_0} & \mathbf{x}_{j_1} & \dots & \mathbf{x}_{j_d} \\ \xi_{j_0} & \xi_{j_1} & \dots & \xi_{j_d} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}; \quad \mathbf{w} \geq 0; \quad (\mathbf{x}, \xi) \in C_j;$$

where (j_0, j_1, \dots, j_d) is the vertex set for simplex C_j , or equivalently

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = (f(\mathbf{x}_{j_0}, \xi_{j_0}), f(\mathbf{x}_{j_1}, \xi_{j_1}), \dots, f(\mathbf{x}_{j_d}, \xi_{j_d})) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{j_0} & \mathbf{x}_{j_1} & \dots & \mathbf{x}_{j_d} \\ \xi_{j_0} & \xi_{j_1} & \dots & \xi_{j_d} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}; \quad (\mathbf{x}, \xi) \in C_j; \quad (1)$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \int \exp(f(\mathbf{x}, \xi)) d\xi = \sum_j \int_{(\mathbf{x}, \xi) \in C_j} \exp\left((f(\mathbf{x}_{j_0}, \xi_{j_0}), f(\mathbf{x}_{j_1}, \xi_{j_1}), \dots, f(\mathbf{x}_{j_d}, \xi_{j_d})) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{j_0} & \mathbf{x}_{j_1} & \dots & \mathbf{x}_{j_d} \\ \xi_{j_0} & \xi_{j_1} & \dots & \xi_{j_d} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \xi \end{pmatrix}\right) d\xi$$

The expression in (1) can be equivalently expressed as minimum of affine functions:

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \min_j \{a_j + \mathbf{b}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + c_j^\top \xi\}$$

and the j corresponding to the minimum defines the simplex C_j . Then

$$p(\xi|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \xi)}{p(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\exp\left(\min_j \{a_j + \mathbf{b}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + c_j^\top \xi\}\right)}{\int \exp\left(\min_j \{a_j + \mathbf{b}_j^\top \mathbf{x} + c_j^\top \xi\}\right) d\xi}$$

To infer conditional independence, it is tempting to impose group sparsity on \mathbf{b}_j . However, this might be inappropriate, since $p(\mathbf{x}, \xi)$ may still depend on the whole support of \mathbf{x} , as illustrated in the Gaussian case above.