# Induction

- Most of the claims we make about a running time or a space-bound involve an integer parameter n (usually denoting an intuitive notion of the "size" of the problem).
- Moreover, most of these claims are equivalent to saying some statement q(n) is true "for all  $n \ge 1$ ."
- Since this is making a claim about an infinite set of numbers, we cannot justify this exhaustively in a direct fashion.
- We can often justify claims such as those above as true, however, by using the technique of **induction**.
- This technique amounts to showing that
  - for any particular  $n \ge 1$ , there is a finite sequence of implications that starts with something known to be true and ultimately leads to showing that q(n) is true.
  - Specifically, we begin a justification by induction by showing that q(n) is true for n=1 (and possibly some other values  $n=2,\,3,\,\ldots\,,\,k$ , for some constant k)
  - Then we justify that the inductive "step" is true for n  $\xi$  k, namely, we show "if q(j) is true for all j < n, then q(n) is true.
  - The combination of these two pieces completes the justification by induction.

### Proposition 1:

Consider the Fibonacci function F(n), which is defined such that F(1) = 1, F(2) = 2, and F(n) = F(n-2) + F(n-1) for n > 2. We claim that  $F(n) < 2^n$ .

#### Justification:

We will show our claim is correct by induction.

- Base cases:  $(n \le 2)$ .  $F(1) = 1 < 2 = 2^1$  and  $F(2) = 2 < 4 = 2^2$ .
- Induction step: (n > 2). Suppose our claim is true for all j < n. Since both n 2 and n 1 are less than n, we can apply the inductive assumption (sometimes called the "inductive hypothesis") to imply that

$$F(n) = F(n-2) + F(n-1) < 2^{n-2} + 2^{n-1}$$

Since

$$2^{n-2} + 2^{n-1} < 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1} = 2 \times 2^{n-1} = 2^n$$

we have that  $F(n) < 2^n$ , thus showing the inductive hypothesis for n.

#### Proposition 2:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

## Justification:

- Base cases: (n = 1). Trivial, for 1 = n(n + 1)/2, if n = 1.
- Induction step:  $(n \ge 2)$ . Suppose our claim is true for all j < n. Therefore, for j = n 1, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = \frac{(n-1)(n-1+1)}{2} = \frac{(n-1)n}{2}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i = n + \frac{(n-1)n}{2} = \frac{2n+n^2-n}{2} = \frac{n^2+n}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

thereby proving the inductive hypothesis for n.