Requirements Specification

for

Powered Newtonian Telescope

Submitted to: Troy Scevers Submitted by: Nathan Wiley

Version: 2.0

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of CST471

ESET Senior Project, Oregon Institute of Technology.

© 2022, Nathan D. Wiley. All rights reserved

| REVISION HISTORY | | | |
|---|------------|--|--------------|
| Version: Revision Date: Revision Description: A | | Author: | |
| 0.0 | 10/14/2022 | Rough draft | Nathan Wiley |
| 1.0 | 10/26/2022 | Requirements complete | Nathan Wiley |
| 2.0 | 10/27/2022 | Added comparison matrices and part selection | Nathan Wiley |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Revision History | 2 |
|----------------------|----|
| Conceptual Overview: | 4 |
| Main Requirements: | |
| Stretch Goals: | |
| Part Selection: | |
| Development Board | |
| Gearing | |
| Motorization Type | |
| Stepper Motors | |
| Stepper Motor Driver | 10 |
| Selected Parts | |
| References | 12 |

CONCEPTUAL OVERVIEW:

The basic idea of this project is to create a telescope that will adjust what it points at with an embedded device. The movement would be calibrated to coordinate system, and commands could be sent to the device over a network that would allow the telescope to point to, and track, a given coordinate. The main requirements are necessary for the project to be considered complete. The stretch goals are what the project would look like if all main requirements are met, and the time and resources to achieve them are available.

MAIN REQUIREMENTS:

1. Shall pivot a telescope

- a. Telescope shall pivot at a rate of at least 0.25 degrees per minute.
- Shall be accurate enough to point the telescope within 3600 arcseconds (1 degree) of the desired angle.

2. Shall be able to keep accurate time

a. Shall keep onboard time within 2 seconds of time kept at www.time.gov

3. Shall use a control system

- a. The control system shall compute the local sidereal time within 2 seconds of real time (codependent on req. 2a).
- b. Control system shall reference a database of stellar objects.
- c. Shall receive instructions over Ethernet
- d. Shall execute movement instructions (co-dependent on req. 4b).

4. Shall have a network interface

- a. Shall use Ethernet and ethernet protocols
- b. Shall receive movement instructions over Ethernet

5. Shall use a display

a. Display shall signal at least two of the states the system is in (I.E. Moving, or Idle).

STRETCH GOALS:

1. May have a camera

- a. Should have a resolution of at least 2MP (1080p)
- b. Should have functionality to save to an SD card
- c. May include networked file transfer of images
- d. May include counter rotation functionality (hardware or software)
- 2. May use RTC module
- 3. May include GPS module
- 4. May include Wi-Fi in addition to Ethernet
- 5. May include cooling system for primary mirror
 - a. May be a fan, if so, should move air at least 10 CFM

PART SELECTION:

In each section below, a comparison matrix for each component will be shown followed by discussion and selection of the component. The discussion will highlight the reasons and thought process behind the choice of the component. Each selected part will be compiled at the end of the report with web links to their respective online stores. Ordered parts will have the estimated arrival date shown.

DEVELOPMENT BOARD Picture: BeagleBone Black - Rev C Raspberry Pi 3 Model B V1.2 Raspberry Pi 4 Model B **Board Name:** Manufacturer: **Texas Instruments** Raspberry Pi Foundation Raspberry Pi Foundation **Processor Clock** AM3358 @ 1GHz BCM2837 @ 1.2 GHz BCM2711 @ 1.5 GHz Speed: 4GB LPDDR4-2400 Memory: 512MB DDR3L DRAM 1GB RAM **SDRAM** 4GB 8-bit eMMC Flash Network Ethernet WiFi, Ethernet Dual Band WiFi, Gigabit Interface: Ethernet **General Purpose** 2x 40 pin headers, 1x 40 pin header 1x 40 pin header I/O pins: **Extra Features:** NEON floating-point accelerator, HDMI, 4x USB 2.0 HDMI, 2x USB 2.0, 2x microHDMI, 1x USB 2.0 USB 3.0 Cost: \$65.99 \$0 (Already owned) \$168.88

Discussion: The BeagleBone's main attractive features were the high pin count and the floating-point accelerator, it could prove useful when driving stepper motors at high pulse rates. However, since this project should only include a maximum of two motors and a few peripherals, the pins would be excessive. The Raspberry Pi 4's upgrades over the 3 are nice, but they don't justify themselves with the current price. The Raspberry Pi 3 seems to be the sweet spot with an included RTC module, WiFi, Ethernet, SD card slot, and potential camera connector.

Selection: Raspberry Pi 3 Model B V1.2

| GEARING | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Picture: | 200 | | . 0 | | |
| Drive Criteria: | Worm Gear | Spur Gear | Belt Drive | Friction Drive | Direct Drive |
| Positioning: | good | good | good | very good | good |
| Tracking: | good | fair | good | excellent | good |
| Stiffness: | poor | poor | very low | very high | fair/good |
| Smoothness: | good | fair | fair/very good | excellent | good |
| Gear Ratio: | very high | low | low/moderate | high | n/a |
| Efficiency: | very low | high | high | very high | very low |
| Zero Backlash: | no | no | yes | yes | n/a |
| Periodic Error: | small/mod | large | small | very small | very low |
| First Period: | 2-4 min | 1/tooth | 2-4 hours | 1 hour | n/a |
| Cost: | high | moderate | low | moderate | very high |

Discussion: The table above is from DFM Engineering Inc., it shows the pros and con of the different ways to drive a telescope. Initially, the worm gear was going to be the implemented drive type because it offers very high torque with precise control, but the prices were too high. Another reason against the worm drive, as well as the spur gear and friction drive, is that it requires very precise centering, and because this is an embedded project and not a manufacturing or mechanical project, there is no guarantee of precision. The belt drive can be easily adjusted with tensioners, which allow for some error in the centering of the pulleys. The belt drive also has zero backlash, which will help the telescope to remain stable and not rattle or vibrate when operating. Because of these reasons, and the fact that it is cheaper than the other options, the belt drive is going to be what connects directly to the vertical and horizontal movement axis.

Selection: Belt Drive

MOTORIZATION TYPE Picture: **Drive Name:** Stepper Motor DC Motor Servo Motor **Speed Control** Individual steps, micro-Voltage PWM Method: stepping Torque at low RPM: High Low High 2 3 # of wires 4 – 8 **Continuous rotation:** Yes Yes No **General Cost:** Intermediate Low High

Discussion: Above is a table of generalization about the different motor types. Since the telescope require to be precisely controlled with high torque, the stepper motor is the clear winner once cost is factored in. Now that the type of motor is selected, an individual stepper motor still needs to be selected. Below is a table of different stepper motors and their pros and cons.

Selection: Stepper Motor

| STEPPER MOTORS | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Picture: | | | |
| Model Number: | 17HS19-1684D-PG100- E1000 | 23HS22-2804S-HG50 | 17HS15-1584S-PLMG100 |
| Stepper Class: | NEMA 17 | NEMA 23 | NEMA 17 |
| Gear Type and Ratio: | Economy Planetary, 100:1 | High Precision Planetary, 50:1 | High Precision Planetary, 100:1 |
| Backlash: | <= 1 deg (3600 arcseconds) | <=1.6 deg (5760 arcseconds) | <=0.83 deg (3000 arcseconds) |
| Max Torque (With Gearbox): | 4Nm (566.56oz.in) | 20Nm (2832.8oz.in) | 5Nm(708oz.in) |
| Encoder: | Yes | No | No |
| Current: | 1.68A | 2.8A | 1.58A |
| Cost: | \$63.08 | \$90.81 | \$37.16 |

Discussion: For stepper motor selection, a high gear ratio is a must because it must have enough torque to move the scope and enough steps per rotation that it provides smooth movement after being geared down. The second option doesn't have as much precision as the other two. The first option includes an encoder on the back of the stepper motor itself which seems attractive; however, the higher backlash and price is higher than the third option while providing less torque. If the need for an encoder arises, then it can be added on after. The third option's gearbox also has a waterproof rating of IP54 which protects the gears from dust and moisture.

Selection: 17HS15-1584S-PLMG100, NEMA 17

| STEPPER MOTOR DRIVER | | | |
|----------------------|---|------------|------------|
| Picture: | Copies Segret Diver Ton | | |
| Driver Name: | DM542T | DRV8825 | A4988 |
| Max Rated Amperage: | 4.20A | 2.2A | 2A |
| Micro stepping: | Up to 1/256 | Up to 1/32 | Up to 1/16 |
| Price: | \$20.99 | \$18.95 | \$14.45 |

Discussion: The selected motor requires 1.58A to run, and the A4988 could only provide that if it was cooled with heatsinks and fans. The DRV8825 could handle that load better, but not with much room to spare, so it would likely get hot too. The DM542T can easily handle the load with high micro stepping resolution as well.

Selection: DM542T

| SELECTED PARTS | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------|
| Part: | Link: | Order Date: | Price: |
| Raspberry Pi | https://thepihut.com/products/raspberry-pi- 3-model-b?src=raspberrypi | N/A (Already Owned) | 0 |
| Nema 17 Stepper Motor | https://www.omc-stepperonline.com/nema- 17-stepper-motor-l-40mm-gear-ratio-100-1- plm-series-planetary-gearbox-17hs15-1584s- plmg100 | 10/28/2022 | \$37.16 |
| DM542T Digital Stepper Driver | https://www.omc-stepperonline.com/digital- stepper-driver-1-0-4-2a-20-50vdc-for-nema- 17-23-24-stepper-motor-dm542t | 10/28/2022 | \$20.99 |

REFERENCES

DFM Engineering, Inc. (2005, March 9). Engineering Article: Comparing Telescope Drive

Technologies. Www.dfmengineering.com.

https://www.dfmengineering.com/news_telescope_gearing.html