

# 1 Wrapping up Scheffe's theorem

**Theorem 1.1** (Scheffe's Theorem).  $\theta(\cdot)$   $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $S$ .

If  $h_n$  and  $h : S \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  satisfy

$$\int_S h_n d\theta = 1, \quad \int_S h d\theta = 1, \quad h_n(s) \rightarrow h(s) \quad \theta - a.e. \quad (1.1)$$

then  $\int_S |h_n(s) - h(s)| \theta(ds) \rightarrow 0$

**Proposition 1.2.** Suppose  $(X_n, 1 \leq n < \infty)$  and  $X$  are integer-valued. The following are equivalent:

(a)  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$

(b)  $P(X_n = i) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X = i), \forall i$

(c)  $\sum_i |P(X_n = i) - P(X = i)| \rightarrow 0$

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b):  $P(X_n \leq i + \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow P(X \leq i + \frac{1}{2})$ .

$$P(X_n = i) = P(X_n \leq i + \frac{1}{2}) - P(X_n \leq i - \frac{1}{2}) \rightarrow P(X \leq i + \frac{1}{2}) - P(X \leq i - \frac{1}{2}) = P(X = i)$$

(b)  $\implies$  (c): Scheffe's theorem for  $\theta(i) = 1 \forall i, h_n(i) = P(X_n = i)$ .

(c)  $\implies$  (a):

$$|P(X_n \leq x) - P(X \leq x)| = \left| \sum_{i \leq x} (P(X_n = i) - P(X = i)) \right| \quad (1.2)$$

$$\leq \sum_i |P(X_n = i) - P(X = i)| \quad (1.3)$$

□

**Proposition 1.3.** If  $X_n$  and  $X$  have probability densities  $f_n(x)$  and  $f(x)$ ,  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  for almost all  $x$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ .

*Proof.* Scheffe's theorem.  $|P(X_n \leq x) - P(X \leq x)| \leq \int |f_n(x) - f(x)| dx \rightarrow 0$  □

# 2 Compactness/metric related theory

Let  $(X_n, 1 \leq n < \infty)$  be  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued.

**Definition 2.1.** Say  $(X_n)$  is *tight* if  $\lim_{B \uparrow \infty} \sup_n P(|X_n| \geq B) = 0$ .

Say  $(X_n)$  is *uniformly integrable* (UI) if  $\lim_{B \uparrow \infty} \sup_n \mathbb{E}[|X_n| 1_{|X_n| \geq B}] = 0$ .

These are actually properties of  $\mu_n = \text{dist}(X_n)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** (a) If  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}|X_n| < \infty$ , or more generally if  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}\phi(|X_n|) < \infty$  for some  $0 \leq \phi(x) \uparrow \infty$  as  $x \uparrow \infty$ , then  $(X_n)$  is tight.

(b) If  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}X_n^2 < \infty$ , or more generally if  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}\phi(|X_n|) < \infty$  for some  $0 \leq \phi(x) \uparrow \infty$  such that  $\frac{\phi(x)}{x} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $(X_n)$  is UI.

*Proof.* (a): Markov's inequality  $P(|X_n| > B) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\phi(|X_n|)}{\phi(B)}$  □

**Lemma 2.3** (From 205A). If  $\hat{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \hat{X}$ , if  $(\hat{X}_n, 1 \leq n < \infty)$  is UI, then  $\mathbb{E}|\hat{X}| < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}\hat{X}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}\hat{X}$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , if  $(X_n, 1 \leq n < \infty)$  is UI, then  $\mathbb{E}|X| < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}X_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}X$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A *distribution function*  $F$  satisfies

- $0 \leq F(x) \leq 1, \forall x \in (-\infty, \infty)$
- $x \mapsto F(x)$  increasing
- $F(x+) = F(x)$  i.e. right-continuity
- $\lim_{x \uparrow \infty} F(x) = 1, \lim_{x \downarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$

**Definition 2.6.** The *extended distribution function* (EDF) has the first three properties of a distribution function, and

$$\lim_{x \uparrow \infty} F(x) = "F(\infty)" \leq 1 \quad (2.1)$$

$$\lim_{x \downarrow -\infty} F(x) = "F(-\infty)" \geq 0 \quad (2.2)$$

1-1 correspondence between PMs  $\mu$  on  $[-\infty, \infty]$  and EDFs.

Think of RVs  $X$  with values in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ .

**Theorem 2.7** (Helly's selection theorem). Let  $F_1, F_2, \dots$  be distribution functions on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

(a) There exist  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$  and an EDF  $G$  such that  $F_{n_j}(x) \rightarrow G(x)$  for all continuity points  $x$  of  $G$ .

(b) If  $(F_n, 1 \leq n < \infty)$  is tight, then  $G$  is a distribution function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$

**Example 2.8.**  $Z$  standard normal,  $\Phi(z)$ .

$J$  uniform on  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

$$X_n = \begin{cases} -n & \text{if } J = 1 \\ Z & \text{if } J = 2 \\ +n & \text{if } J = 3 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

TODO: Fig 2.1

*Proof.* (a) Let  $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots$  be the rationals. The sequence  $f_1(q_1), f_2(q_2), f_3(q_1), \dots$  is a  $[0, 1]$ . By compactness of  $[0, 1]$ , exists convergent subsequence  $m(1, 1), m(1, 2), m(1, 3)$ , such that

$$F_{m(1,1)}(q_1) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{some limit } G_0(q_1) \quad (2.4)$$

**Diagonal Argument:**  $F_{m(1,i)}(q_2), i = 1, 2, \dots$  is a sequence in  $[0, 1]$ ; exists convergent subsequence  $m(2, 1), m(2, 2), m(2, 3), \dots$ , such that  $F_{m(2,i)}(q_2) \rightarrow \text{some limit } G_0(q_2)$ .

Repeat for each  $k \geq 1$ , find subsequence  $(m(k, i), i \geq 1)$  of  $(m(k-1, i), i > 1)$  such that  $F_{m(k,i)}(q_k) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{some } G_0(q_k)$ .

Consider  $m(i, i)$  "diagonal". This has property  $F_{m(i,i)}(q_k) \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} G_0(q_k)$  for all  $k$ .

Now define an EDF  $G$  by  $G(x) = \inf_{q \in \mathbb{Q}, q > x} G_0(q)$ .

Check that  $G$  is an EDF.

Fix  $x$ . For any  $q > x$ ,  $\limsup_i F_{m(i,i)}(x) \leq \limsup_n F_{m(i,i)}(q) = G_0(q) \leq G(x)$ . Let  $q \downarrow x$ . By same argument,  $\liminf_i F_{m(i,i)}(x) \geq G(x)$ . So if  $G(x) = G(x-)$  (i.e. continuity point), then  $F_{m(i,i)}(x) \rightarrow G(x)$ .

(b) tight  $\implies \exists k(B)$  such that  ${}_nP(X_n \leq B) \geq 1 - k(B)$ ,  $K(B) \downarrow 0$  as  $B \uparrow \infty$ . Consider  $F_{m(i,i)}(q) \rightarrow G(q) \forall q \implies G(B) \geq 1 - k(B) \implies G$  puts 0 mass on  $+\infty$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** Given  $(X_n, 1 \leq n < \infty)$  and  $X$  ( $\mathbb{R}$ -valued RVs).

Suppose  $(X_n)$  is tight.

Suppose that, whenever  $X_{n_j} \xrightarrow{d} \text{some } Y$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $(n_j)$  we have  $Y \stackrel{d}{=} X$ .

Then  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* By contradiction. If  $X_n \not\xrightarrow{d} X$ , then  $\exists x_0$  continuity point of  $X$  such that  $P(X_n \leq x_0) \not\rightarrow P(X \leq x_0)$ .

$\implies \exists \varepsilon > 0$  and  $m_j \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $|P(X_{m_j} \leq x_0) - P(X \leq x_0)| \geq \varepsilon, \forall j$ .

Apply Helly to  $(X_{m_j})$ :  $\exists$  subsequence  $X_{n_j} \xrightarrow{d} \text{some } Y$ . But  $Y \stackrel{d}{=} X$  by hypothesis.  $|P(X_{n_j} \leq x_0) - P(X \leq x_0)| \rightarrow 0$ , which is not  $\geq \varepsilon$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** Suppose  $\mathbb{E}X = 0, \mathbb{E}X^2 = 1, \mathbb{E}X^4 \leq K$ . Then there exists  $c(K) \geq 0$ , depending only on  $K$ , such that  $P(X > 0) \leq c(K)$ .

*Proof.* By contradiction. Suppose  $\exists K$  such that the assertion is false. So  $\exists X_n$  such that  $\mathbb{E}X_n = 0, \mathbb{E}X_n^2 = 1, \mathbb{E}X_n^4 \leq K$ , but  $P(X_n > 0) \leq n^{-1}$ . These  $X_n$  are tight, so by Helly  $\exists$  subsequence  $X_{n_j} \xrightarrow{d} \text{some } X$ .

So  $\mathbb{E}X = 0, \mathbb{E}X^2 = 1, P(X > 0) = 0$ . But this is impossible.  $\square$