

Homework 2 RW1: Props 1.12-14, 1.24, 1.25(i), 1.26

Prop. 1.12

$$(x \in \mathbb{Z}) (m \in \mathbb{Z}) m + x = m \Rightarrow x = 0$$

Proof.

Let $x, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (m+x)+(-m)=m+(-m), by adding the additive inverse (-m) to both sides
- m + (x + (-m)) = m + (-m), by associativity of addition
- m+((-m)+x)=m+(-m), by commutativity of addition
- (m+(-m))+x=m+(-m), by associativity of addition
- 0 + x = 0, by Axiom 1.4.
- Finally, x = 0, by Prop. 1.7.

Prop. 1.13.

Let $x\in\mathbb{Z}$. If x has the property that there exists an $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that m+x=m, then x=0.

Proof.

Let $x, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (m+x)+(-m)=m+(-m), by adding the additive inverse (-m) to both sides
- m + (x + (-m)) = m + (-m), by associativity of addition
- m + ((-m) + x) = m + (-m), by commutativity of addition
- (m+(-m))+x=m+(-m), by associativity of addition
- 0+x=0, by Axiom 1.4.
- Finally, x=0, by Prop. 1.7.

Prop. 1.14

$$(\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}) \ m \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot m$$

Proof.

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- m=m
- $m \cdot 0 = m \cdot 0$, by multiplying both sides by 0

We know 0 + 0 = 0, from Prop. 1.7.

- $m \cdot (0+0) = m \cdot 0$, by substitution
- $m \cdot 0 + m \cdot 0 = m \cdot 0$, by Axiom 1.1(iii)

We know $(m \cdot 0) \in \mathbb{Z}$, due to the closure property of binary operations.

We know the additive inverse $-(m \cdot 0) \in \mathbb{Z}$, by Axiom 1.4.

- $(m\cdot 0+m\cdot 0)+(-(m\cdot 0))=m\cdot 0+(-(m\cdot 0))$, by adding $-(m\cdot 0)$ to both sides
- $m \cdot 0 + (m \cdot 0 + (-(m \cdot 0))) = m \cdot 0 + (-(m \cdot 0))$, by Axiom 1.1(ii)
- $m \cdot 0 + 0 = 0$, by Axiom 1.4
- Finally, $m \cdot 0 = 0$ by Axiom 1.2.

 $m \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot m$, by Axiom 1.1(iv)

 $\therefore m \cdot 0 = 0 = 0 \cdot m$ by transitivity.

Prop. 1.24

$$(x \in \mathbb{Z}) \ x \cdot x = x \Rightarrow x = 1 \lor x = 0$$

Proof.

Assume $x \cdot x = x$, $x \neq 1$ and $x \neq 0$.

We know $m \cdot 1 = m$, by Axiom 1.3. Then by substitution, $x = x \cdot 1$.

- $x \cdot x = x = x \cdot 1$, AKA $x \cdot x = x \cdot 1$ by transitivity
- + x=1, by Axiom 1.5 since it is assumed x
 eq 0

Contradiction, we have proved that x=1 but have previously assumed $x\neq 1$.

$$\therefore (x=1) \lor (x=0)$$
 holds true.

Prop. 1.25 (i)

$$\forall m,n\in\mathbb{Z},\; -(m+n)=(-m)+(-n)$$

Proof.

Let $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

-(m+n) is an additive inverse of (m+n), by Axiom 1.4.

Prove (-m) + (-n) is an additive inverse of (m+n).

- (m+n)+((-m)+(-n))
- ((m+n)+(-m))+(-n), by associativity of addition
- ((m+(-m))+n)+(-n), by associativity of addition
- (m+(-m))+(n+(-n)), by associativity of addition
- 0+0, by the axiom of additive inverses.
- Finally, we reduce to 0, by prop. 1.7.

 \therefore (-m)+(-n) is an additive inverse of (m+n). (m+n)+((-m)+(-n))=0 and (m+n)+(-(m+n))=0 are true. By prop. 1.9, (-m)+(-n)=-(m+n).

Prop. 1.26

$$(m,n\in\mathbb{Z})\ mn=0\Rightarrow m=0\ orall\ n=0$$

Proof.

Let $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Assume mn=0, $m\neq 0$ and $n\neq 0$.

We know $m\cdot 0=0$, by Prop. 1.14.

- $mn=0=m\cdot 0$, AKA $mn=m\cdot 0$ by transitivity
- n=0, by Axiom 1.5 since it is assumed m
 eq 0

Contradiction, we have proved n=0, but have previously assumed $n\neq 0$.

 $\therefore (m=0) \lor (n=0)$ holds true.