

Name: Saif Mohammed

ID: 2121913642

HW-0

Q1:

a) If you are happy <sup>p</sup> and watch movies <sup>q</sup>, then your parents ask you to study. <sup>r</sup>

propositions:

p: "You are happy"

q: "You watch movies"

r: "Your parents ask you to study"

Relation using logical operators:

$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$$

b) You are a Bangladeshi <sup>b</sup> or <sup>v</sup> if you are not <sup>¬b</sup> a bangladeshi, then your friend is European. <sup>e</sup>

propositions:

b: "You are a Bangladeshi."

¬b: "You are not a Bangladeshi."

e: "Your friend is European."

Relation using logical operators:

$$\neq b \vee (\neg b \rightarrow e)$$

Q2 Given,

$$(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$$

$$\equiv (p \wedge (\neg p \vee q)) \rightarrow q \quad \text{Logical equivalence of implication}$$

$$\equiv ((p \wedge \neg p) \vee (p \wedge q)) \rightarrow q \quad ; \text{Distributive Law}$$

$$\equiv (F \vee (p \wedge q)) \rightarrow q \quad ; \text{Negation Law}$$

$$\equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow q \quad ; \text{Identity Law}$$

$$\equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee q \quad ; \text{Logical equivalence of implication.}$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee q \quad ; \text{DeMorgans Law}$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee (q \vee \neg q) \quad ; \text{Associative Law}$$

$$\equiv \neg p \vee T \quad ; \text{Negation Law}$$

$$\equiv T \quad ; \text{Domination Law}$$

$\therefore (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$  is a tautology.

Q3]

P	q	r	$\neg q$	$\neg r$	$p \vee \neg r$	$(\neg q) \rightarrow (p \vee \neg r)$
T	T	T	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	F	F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

$$(\neg q) \rightarrow (p \vee \neg r)$$

$\therefore$  It is a contingency.

Q4] "For you to get a good job in Pathao, it is sufficient for you to learn CSE173."

Propositions:

P: "For you to get a good job in Pathao,"

Q: "You to learn CSE173."

Relation using logical operators:

$$Q \rightarrow P$$

## HW-1

### Problem 1

a) If  $2+7=6$ , then crocodiles can fly

Here,

$p: 2+7=6$  which is false and  $q: crocodiles can fly$  is false. So, ~~from~~<sup>from</sup> the truth table of  $p \rightarrow q$  suggest that the statement is true.

Answer: True.

b) If  $5+5=10$ , then dogs can talk like humans.

Here,

$p: 5+5=10$  which is true

$q: dogs can talk like humans: which is false.$

So, ~~from~~ from the truth table of  $p \rightarrow q$  suggest that the statement is false.

Answer: False



c) If  $-3$  is a negative number, then birds can fly.

Here,  $p$ :  $-3$  is a negative number which is true

$q$ : birds can fly ~~true~~ which is true.

So, from the truth table of  $p \rightarrow q$  suggest that the statement is true.

Answer: True

d) If  $1+1=2$ , then  $5+7=12$

Here,  $p$ :  $1+1=2$  which is true

$q$ :  $5+7=12$  which is true

So, from the truth table of  $p \rightarrow q$  suggest that the statement is True.

Answer: True

### Problem 021

$p$	$q$	$\neg(\neg p \wedge q)$	$(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow \neg(\neg p \wedge q)$	$\neg(p \wedge \neg q)$	$(p \vee \neg q) \leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg q)$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T

### Problem-3 |

a) Neither the thunderstorm <sup>P</sup> nor the heavy  
rain did any damage to the house.

propositions:

P: "Thunderstorm did damage to the house."

Q: "The heavy rain did damage to the house."

We can see, both thunderstorm and heavy rain can damage the house. So it defined as  $p \vee q$ . As it is neither...nor, It becomes the negation of  $p \vee q$ .

$$\therefore \neg (p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q \quad [\text{De Morgan's Law}]$$

b) If global warming is not controlled, low-lying land will go under water within the next few decades.

propositions:

P: "Global warming is not controlled"

Q: "Low-lying land will go under water within the next few decades."

∴ with the logical expression it

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \quad [\text{Implication definition}]$$

c) Uber/Pathao driver should not drive more than 60 miles per hour nor violate traffic signals, or they will be penalized.

propositions:

p: "Uber/Patho driver should not drive more ~~the~~ than 60 miles per hour"

q: "Uber/Pathao driver should not violate traffic signals"

r: ~~they~~ Uber/Patho driver will be penalized.

Here, p and q connect with nor. so the logical expression is  $\neg(p \vee q)$  <sup>with them</sup> ~~and~~  $\wedge \vee$

is connected with nor. ∴ there fore the

Logical expression stands  $\neg(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$

[Implication definition]



Problem 04]

$$(p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

Truth Table:

P	q	r	$\neg p$	$(p \wedge q)$	$\neg(\neg p \vee r)$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)$	$(q \vee r)$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
T	T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	F	T	F	F	T

$\therefore (p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow q \vee r$  is a tautology.

Logical Equivalence:

$$(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$$

$$\equiv (p \wedge \neg p) \vee (q \wedge r) \rightarrow (q \vee r); \text{Distributive Law}$$

$$\equiv F \vee (q \wedge r) \rightarrow (q \vee r); \text{Negation Law}$$

$$\equiv (q \wedge r) \rightarrow (q \vee r); \text{Identity Law}$$

$$\equiv \neg(q \wedge r) \vee (q \vee r); \text{Implication definition}$$

$$\equiv (\neg q \vee \neg r) \vee (q \vee r); \text{De Morgan's Law}$$

$$\equiv (\neg q \vee q) \vee (\neg r \vee r); \text{Associative Law}$$

$$\equiv \emptyset \vee T \vee T; \text{Negation Law}$$

$$\equiv T$$

$\therefore (p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) \rightarrow q \vee r$  is a tautology.

### Problem 5

$R(x)$  : "Books are in the right place"

$E(x)$  : "Books are in excellent condition"

a) Some books are not at the right place

$$\exists x \neg R(x)$$

If reads, There exist <sup>at least</sup> ~~only~~ one  $x$  book that is not at the right place.

b) All books are at the right place and are in excellent condition

$$\forall x (R(x) \wedge E(x))$$

c) Every book is in the right place is in excellent condition

$$\forall x (R(x) \wedge E(x))$$

d) Nothing in the library is at right place and is in excellent condition.

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \forall x \neg \exists x (R(x) \wedge E(x)) \\ \equiv \forall x \neg (R(x) \wedge E(x)) \end{aligned}$$

e) One of the book is not in the right place,  
but it is in excellent condition.

$$\exists x (\neg R(x) \wedge E(x))$$

Problem 61

$p$  or  $q$  are true and  $r$  is false, it denoted as

$$(p \vee q) \wedge \neg r$$