# Midterm Solutions - Probability #1650









1,210



Please find below the solutions to the **Probability** section of the midterm exam.

# Question 1

1. C(U' = f(U, m, n) = (n-m)\*U + m)

To obtain uniform random numbers in a new interval [m,n], we can warp the interval from [0,1] to [m,n] using the function f(x) = (n-m)\*x + m

2.0.5

Since U' generates random numbers uniformly in the interval [-1,1], the probability of generating random numbers in the interval [-0.5,0.5] will be length of this interval divided by the length of the total interval, i.e.  $\frac{1}{2}$  = 0.5

3.0.25

We need to compute the joint probability of U' generating two numbers in the intervals [-0.5,0.5], which will be 0.5\*0.5 = 0.25

4. A (Yes)

Since the probability of generating random numbers in a certain sub-region is proportional to the size (area) of that sub-region, F(U') is a uniform random number generator.

# Question 2

1.0.5

The probability of lying on the right semi-circle is equal to the probability of the rotation angle being sampled in [0, pi/2] and [3\*pi/2, 2\*pi], which is equal to the size of this interval divided by the total interval, i.e.  $(pi/2 + pi/2)/(2*pi) = \frac{1}{2} = 0.5$ , multiplied by the probability of the radius lying anywhere in [0,1], i.e. probability 1. Hence, the total probability is 0.5\*1 = 0.5

2.0.5

For the point to lie within a circle of radius 0.5, the radius should lie in the interval [0,0.5], i.e. probability 0.5, and the sampled angle can be anything in the region [0,2\*pi], i.e. probability 1. Hence the total probability is 1\*0.5 = 0.5

#### 3. No

In 2a, the probability of generating random numbers in a region that was ½ the size of the total circle was 0.5. However, in 2b, we saw that the probability of generating numbers within a region that was ¼ the size of the total circle was still 0.5. Since the probability of generating random numbers in a certain sub-region is NOT proportional to the size (area) of that sub-region, G(U) is NOT a uniform random number generator.



#### Michael Joseph Matsako 2h

Safe to assume rounded to 6 decimals is perfectly valid?

0.500000

0.250000

Otherwise answers look correct.

♡3 Reply ···



# Harrison Takuya Ooi 2h

it said to round to 6 decimal places so we should be fine.

♡ Reply …



## Pardeep Singh 2h

Yeah, Usually the auto-graders use a value with a margin of error. So 0.5 - 0.500000 should be 0 and thus valid

○ Reply ····



#### Roshni Nita Mahtani 1h

I simulated these probabilities, so mine are close but not the exact values, so I hope they pass with that margin like eg: 0.500118, 0.249948

♡ Reply …



## Dana Tareq Alnabulsi 2h

I think question 2.2 is slightly off. Even if the coordinates generated are for example (0.9, 0.9), pushing it outside the circle of radius 0.5, multiplying it by 0.5 as a value for a would push it back in the circle as it becomes (0.45, 0.45). I used code to run this probability test and consistently came to the probability 0.561096.

cos(b) has a 50% chance of generating a number between -0.5 and 0.5, with sin(b) having the same probability. But multiplying it with a gives us a slightly higher probability to be pushed into the circle, hence my above probability.

○2 Reply ···



#### Piyush Mishra 2h

My experiment came close to 0.5. The probability of sine is affected by the probability of cosine I think since they are dependent.

♡1 Reply ···



### Dana Tareq Alnabulsi 2h

Yes they are dependent in that they use the same value for a and b. However the value of x and y are independent given the values of a and b.

♡ Reply …



#### Zhi Yao Tee 2h

I think (0.45,0.45) is outside the circle.  $sqrt(0.45^2 + 0.45^2) = 0.636396$ 

♡1 Reply ···



# Pardeep Singh 2h

essentially generating these a and b values is generating polar coordinates and then translating them to cartesian. Since a is generated from U(0,1) that used as radius. So probability of being inside a circle of radius 0.5 is dependent purely on the value we choose for a, thus the 0.5

♡11 Reply ···



# Dana Tareq Alnabulsi 2h

This explanation makes sense, thank you  $\stackrel{\omega}{=}$ 

♡1 Reply ···



## Benjamin Snider 34m

Well shoot, I didn't think to study trig for an AI exam. Thanks for the explanation though.

♡1 Reply ···



## Michael David Logan 2h

I did it my hand and verified with code. Maybe my explanation will help.

Let  $d = sqrt(x^2, y^2)$ , we want P(d < 0.5)...

 $--> 0.5 > sqrt(x^2 + y^2)$ 

 $--> 0.25 > x^2 + y^2$ 

Subbing in x = a\*cos(b), y = a\*sin(b)

 $--> 0.25 > a^2 * cos(b)^2 + a^2 * sin(b)^2$ 

 $--> 0.25 > (a^2) * (cos(b)^2 + sin(b)^2)$ 

--> 0.25 > a^2 \* 1

IDENTITY:  $cos(b)^2 + sin(b)^2 = 1$ 

--> 0.5 > a

a is uniformly distributed in [0, 1] so P(a < 0.5) would be 0.5

○4 Reply ···



# Anh Hong Nguyen 2h

I also simulated this distribution several times with 1,000,000 samples each time. My results came out to be very close to 0.5 every time.

○ Reply ····



#### Jun Zhu 2h

The function basically just generates a random angle from 0-2pi then projects a vector of random length [0,1] in the direction of that angle.

○ Reply …



# Dana Tareq Alnabulsi 2h

I haven't done trigonometry in a long time, so I didn't consider the logic whatsoever

♡1 Reply ···



#### Jun Zhu 1h

Replying to Dana Tareq Alnabulsi

It was tricky for sure. I have a game dev background and this is actually the same function you use to translate controller coordinates to movement. Even then I had to pause and stare at it a bit.

○ Reply ···



## Dana Tareq Alnabulsi 1h

Replying to Jun Zhu

Oh man that's nice though that you ran into this function in actual use

♡1 Reply ···