

# **AI Career Scope**

**Author: Niranjan**

**Date: 1 April 2025**

## **Project Overview: -**

- This project explores the AI job market by analysing trends in job titles, industries, required skills, salaries, remote work options, and future growth projections.
- The aim is to generate valuable insights for job seekers, companies, and analysts to better understand the evolving landscape of AI careers.

## **Problem Statement: -**

As Artificial Intelligence rapidly grows across industries, both job seekers and organizations face uncertainty about AI job trends.

This project addresses the following questions:

- Which industries are leading in AI adoption?
- What are the common required skills for AI roles?
- How does company size relate to salaries in AI jobs?
- What is the distribution between remote and onsite AI jobs?
- Which job titles are at higher automation risk?
- What is the projected growth for AI-related roles?

## **Data Collection: -**

- Source: Kaggle
- The data set includes 10 key columns:
  - Job Title
  - Industry
  - Company Size
  - Location
  - AI Adoption Level
  - Automation Risk
  - Required Skills
  - Salary (in USD)
  - Remote Friendly (Yes/No)
  - Job Growth Projection

## **Data Exploration: -**

- Rows: 500
- Columns: 10
- Continuous: Salary\_USD
- Categorical: Job\_Title, Industry, Company\_Size, Location, AI\_Adoption\_Level, Automation\_Risk, Required\_Skills, Remote\_Friendly, Job\_Growth\_Projection
- Count: There was count data.


## **Data Cleaning: -**

- No null values available in the data set.
- There is no duplicate rows in the data set.

# Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA): -

## ❖ Univariate Analysis: -


### Job Title



## Observations made: -

1. “Data Science” is the most frequent job title, with the highest count (~ 60)
2. “HR Manager” follows closely behind “Data Scientist”, indicating significant representation in both technical and management roles.
3. Roles like "Cybersecurity Analyst," "UX Designer," "AI Researcher," and "Software Engineer" have strong counts, highlighting a tech-focused dataset.
4. Titles such as "HR Manager," "Sales Manager," "Operations Manager," and "Product Manager" show that leadership and organizational roles are equally valued.
5. The frequency of job titles steadily decreases from left to right, suggesting a balanced but slightly skewed distribution.
6. "Product Manager" is the least frequent among the listed titles, with the lowest count (~39).
7. Titles like "AI Researcher," "Sales Manager," and "Marketing Specialist" have relatively similar counts, indicating a balanced presence of research, sales, and marketing roles.
8. There is no extreme outlier; the difference between the highest and lowest counts is moderate.
9. The dataset represents a mix of technical, research, managerial, creative, and marketing roles, implying diverse industry coverage.
10. Slight right skewness can be observed, where fewer job titles have lower counts.

Industry




Observations made: -

1. “Manufacturing” has the highest count (~58), suggesting it is the most represented sector.
2. “Education” and “Technology” follow closely behind Manufacturing, indicating strong representation in these fields too.
3. Industries like “Finance” and “telecommunications” also show significant counts, emphasizing a focus on finance and communication services.
4. “Energy” and “Entertainment” industries have moderately high counts, showing a healthy but slightly lesser presence compared to top industries.
5. “Transportation” has the lowest count (~39), indicating it is least represented sector in the dataset.
6. There’s a gradual and consistent decline from the top to bottom industries, without any about drops.
7. The data set covers a wide range of sectors – from Manufacturing and Technology to HealthCare and Retail – implying good diversity.
8. Both Healthcare and Retail industries have lower but still notable representation, hinting at growing but not dominant roles in the dataset.
9. The colour bar indicates count intensity: higher counts are associated with brighter colors (yellow), while lower counts trend towards darker shades (blue/purple).
10. The counts show a fairly even spread across industries, with no single sector overwhelmingly dominating.

## Company Size

Distribution of Company Sizes



## Observations made: -

1. Small-sized companies have the largest share at **34.2%** of the total.
2. The difference between the shares of Small (34.2%), Large (33.2%), and Medium (32.6%) companies is minimal, suggesting a very **balanced distribution**.
3. Large-sized companies contribute **33.2%**, almost equally to small companies, indicating significant representation.
4. Medium-sized companies make up **32.6%**, slightly lower but still close to the others.
5. All three company sizes (Small, Medium, Large) are **almost equally represented** with less than a 2% variation among them.
6. Different colors (blue for Small, green for Medium, red for Large) make the categories easily distinguishable in the pie chart.
7. No single company size type (small, medium, large) overwhelmingly dominates the dataset.
8. The dataset is **well-balanced** across company sizes, providing a diverse perspective for further analysis.


## Distribution of location



## Observations made: -

1. San Francisco has the highest count among all locations with **over 60 entries**.
2. There is a noticeable gap between San Francisco and the second-highest, Singapore.
3. Singapore, Sydney, Dubai, and Tokyo have **very close counts**, all slightly above 50.
4. After Tokyo, the counts gradually decline across New York, Berlin, London, and Paris.
5. Toronto has the lowest number of entries, with a count slightly above 40.
6. San Francisco, Singapore, Sydney, Dubai, and Tokyo form the **top 5 locations** with the highest representation.
7. The color bar clearly shows the **gradient from highest to lowest counts**, making it easy to spot the distribution visually.
8. Locations span **multiple continents** — North America, Asia, Europe, and Australia, suggesting **global data coverage**.
9. The distribution is **slightly skewed toward San Francisco**, but otherwise relatively balanced across other cities.


Distribution of AI Adoption Levels



Observations made: -

- 1. The **Medium** level of AI adoption is the most common, making up **36.0%** of the total.
- 2. **Low** adoption follows closely at **34.7%**, indicating that a significant portion of companies are still in early stages.
- 3. **High** adoption is the least common at **29.3%**, suggesting fewer organizations have fully embraced AI so far.
- 4. The distribution is **relatively balanced** across all three categories, with no extreme dominance.
- 5. The lower percentage of high adopters could hint at **barriers or challenges** companies face in moving beyond medium/low AI adoption.
- 6. Together, Medium and Low adoption levels account for about **70%** of the companies — indicating most are still in early-to-moderate phases of AI integration.

Distribution of Required Skills




Observations made: -

1. **Project Management** is the most demanded skill, accounting for **12.1%** of the total requirements.
2. **Cybersecurity** and **Python** both have significant demand at **11.7%** each.
3. **Machine Learning** also features strongly at **10.5%**, showing the growing importance of AI-related skills.
4. **UX/UI Design**, **Sales**, and **Data Analysis** each represent **9.7%**, underlining a strong need for creative, customer-facing, and analytical expertise.
5. **Marketing** skills are required in **9.1%** of cases, and **JavaScript** slightly less at **8.9%**.
6. **Communication** skills, while the least mentioned at **6.9%**, are still a key part of the skillset mix, suggesting technical skills are prioritized, but interpersonal skills are not ignored.
7. The spread is relatively even across a broad range of disciplines, indicating that companies seek a **multidisciplinary talent pool**.





## Salary in USD

Boxplot of Salary (USD)




## Observations made: -

1. Salaries range approximately from **\$40,000** to **\$140,000** USD.
2. The median (middle line of the box) appears to be around **\$90,000** USD.
3. The box (middle 50% of data) spans roughly from **\$80,000** to **\$100,000**, indicating that most salaries fall in this range.
4. The lower whisker reaches down to about **\$40,000**, and the upper whisker extends up to around **\$140,000**.
5. No obvious outliers (extreme points beyond whiskers) are visible, meaning the salary distribution is quite **even** without extreme deviations.
6. Salaries are **well-distributed** with a healthy spread but a slightly **right-skewed tendency** (a few higher salaries pulling the maximum upward).



### Observations made: -


1. **Distribution Shape:** The histogram shows a **slightly right-skewed distribution**, meaning most salaries are clustered around **\$80,000–\$100,000**, but there are still notable counts above \$100K.
2. **Peak:** The most common salary bracket is around **\$95,000–\$100,000**.
3. **Spread:** Salaries are distributed between **\$40,000** and **\$140,000**.
4. **Multiple Skills Comparison:** Each colored line represents the salary distribution for a different skillset.
5. Skills like **Python**, **Project Management**, and **Cybersecurity** show slightly **higher salary peaks** compared to others.
6. **Communication** skills have a **wider spread** and slightly **lower peak**, indicating more variability in salaries.
7. **Data Analysis** and **Machine Learning** have fairly **centralized and high peaks** around **\$90,000–\$100,000**.



Observations made: -

- 1. Remote Jobs: 248 (50.1%)
- 2. Non-Remote Jobs: 247 (49.9%)
- 3. The split between remote and non-remote opportunities is almost perfectly balanced — practically 50/50.
- 4. Remote work is clearly widely accepted in the market you're analyzing.
- 5. Candidates looking for remote roles have just as many opportunities as those looking for on-site jobs.

Salary in USD



Observations made: -

1. **Blue Dots (Yes):** Remote-friendly jobs
2. **Orange Dots (No):** Non-remote jobs
3. Cities like **Sydney, San Francisco, and New York** show **higher remote salaries** compared to non-remote roles.
4. In places like **Berlin and Tokyo**, **non-remote jobs** seem to **dominate the higher salary range**.
5. **London and Singapore** have a relatively **even spread** of salaries for both remote and non-remote jobs.
6. **Paris** shows slightly **lower salaries** overall compared to others, regardless of remote status.
7. **Remote jobs can command competitive (even higher) salaries** — especially in tech-forward cities.
8. **Location still matters** — even for remote jobs!