



Article Classification

Nir Tal

DEEP LEARNING with Python

SECOND EDITION

François Chollet



 MANNING

Introduction

Frontiers for Young Minds

- › Online & open-access science journal for kids (8 to 15 years old)
- › Kid-friendly articles on core concepts and recent discoveries
- › Articles written by academic scientists and reviewed by kids and their science mentors



Science for kids, edited by kids

The Problem

Article Classification (Topic Analysis)

- › Articles already organized in 6 sections:



Astronomy and Space Science
Biodiversity
Earth and its Resources
Human Health
Mathematics
Neuroscience and Psychology

- › How to classify new articles into sections?

The Data

Section Webpage

The screenshot displays the Frontiers for Young Minds website. At the top, the logo "frontiers FOR YOUNG MINDS" is on the left, and navigation links "Articles", "Collections", "Participate", "Sections", "About", and "EN" are on the right. Below the navigation bar is a search bar with the text "Search Articles" and a magnifying glass icon. To the right of the search bar is a dropdown menu showing "Neuroscience and Psychol..." with a green checkmark. The main content area features four article cards, each with a cartoon illustration at the top, a date, a title, a short description, a list of authors, and a "Young Reviewers" section at the bottom.

Article Title	Date	Authors	Young Reviewers
Imagine That! Mental Training for Children With...	December 10, 2021	Matthew W. Scott, Greg Wood, Paul S. Holmes, Ben Marshall, Jacqueline Williams, David J. Wright	The TI Summer Interns Age: 14–16
What Is Happening in Children's Brains When They...	December 6, 2021	Emma Aanestad, Marvellous John, Eliza Melkoryan, Salim Hashmi, Sarah Gerson, Ross E. Vanderwert	Sanjith Age: 10
The Octopus: A Unique Animal for Studying the...	November 23, 2021	Tal Shomrat, Nir Neshet	Israel Arts and Science... Age: 14–15
Boosting Brain Waves Improves Memory	November 22, 2021	Richard J. Addante, Mairy Yousif, Rosemarie Valencia, Constance Greenwood, Raechel Marino	Scarlett Age: 11

Article Structure

CORE CONCEPT Published: December 4, 2020

Do Monkeys Care What Is Fair?

Authors

 Katherine A. Cronin  Lydia M. Hopper

Young Reviewers

 Evolveipzig Community Science Lab



ABSTRACT

Scientists study fairness in humans, apes, and monkeys to understand the evolutionary origins of our own behavior and to better understand the behavior of other primates. Scientists studying monkeys have found that, sometimes, monkeys will share food equally with others, but their choices often depend on their species and the specific circumstances. Monkeys are more likely to help friends, but even then, monkeys rarely go out of their way to act fairly. Furthermore, while monkeys appear to recognize unfair outcomes, they only seem concerned by inequity when they receive less than others, not when they receive more.

Article Structure - Continued

WHAT ABOUT WILD MONKEYS?

The research we have discussed has taken place in zoos and laboratories. But do we see evidence of fairness in monkey behavior in the wild? We certainly see monkeys acting in ways that help each other out. For example, vervet monkeys give alarm calls to warn their group about nearby predators, cottontop tamarins chirp and alert others to the presence of good food, and baboons form coalitions to support each other in fights. Yet, in other contexts, we see monkeys acting selfishly and sometimes even deceiving one another to ensure they get the most for themselves [5]. Whether the monkeys understand the amount of benefits they each gain and the amount of work they each contribute is not clear from these observations. That is why the experiments discussed above can help scientists understand to what degree fairness plays a role in the behaviors we observe.

CONCLUSIONS

Ultimately, monkeys' sense of fairness does not seem to be as well-developed as our own, but by studying monkeys' preferences for fairness, and their responses to unfair situations, we can learn more about how these values evolved in humans. People are inherently interested in knowing why we are the way we are, and if we are unique from other animals. When we learn about other primates, and how their minds work, we learn more about ourselves. We also learn more about the monkeys—whether they pay attention to what others get, what they find unfair, how these responses depend on relationships, and how monkey species differ from one another. This helps us to understand the natural world, and can sometimes help us better understand how to care for them in captivity as well.

Glossary

Inequity Aversion: † When an individual responds negatively to receiving a different reward than they deserve, typically shown by rejecting the reward they are offered or by refusing to participate in the activity further.

Cooperative Breeding: † When members of the social group other than the biological parents help raise the offspring in the group.

Inequity: † An unfair outcome in which one individual receives a different reward than they deserve, such as unequal pay for equal work.

Advantageous Inequity: † An unfair outcome in which one individual receives more than other individual for doing the same amount of work (opposite: disadvantageous inequity).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Web Scraping

- › Retrieving article links from each section
- › Opening links and finding html elements: title, abstract, paragraphs
- › Extracting text and writing to txt files: one paragraph per line

Sections	Articles
Astronomy and Space Science	18
Biodiversity	181
Earth and its Resources	102
Human Health	182
Mathematics	19
Neuroscience and Psychology	237

Text Standardization

- › Parsing paragraphs to sentences
- › Splitting sentences to words (tokenization)
- › Removing stop words (NLTK)
- › Recombining tokens to a single string
- › Writing strings to txt files: one file per article

Text Dataset Preparation

- › Data splitting into 3 directories: train, validation, and test
- › Each directory contains 4 sub-directories, i.e., 4 classes:
 - Biodiversity
 - Earth-and-its-resources
 - Human-health
 - Neuroscience-and-psychology
- › TensorFlow/Keras `text_dataset_from_directory()`:
 - Samples are string tensors
 - Targets are float tensors, multi-hot encoding 4 classes
 - For example, the Biodiversity class is encoded by [1, 0, 0, 0]
 - Batch size is 32
 - Train/Val/Test dataset samples: 489/139/74 (70%/20%/10%)

Bag-of-Words Models: *Unigram* Model

- › Dataset processing with Keras TextVectorization layer:
 - Single words (unigrams) with multi-hot encoding
 - Max tokens are 20,000
 - Building the vocabulary using the *Train* dataset
- › Building the model:
 - Inputs layer: 20,000 dimensions
 - Dense layer: 24 dimensions, ReLU activation
 - Outputs (dense) layer: 4 dimensions, SoftMax activation
 - Loss: categorical crossentropy
 - Optimizer: rmsprop
 - Metrics: accuracy

Bag-of-Words Models: *Unigram* Model

- › Training the model (4 epochs):

Train_loss: 0.0153

Train_accuracy: 1.0000

Val_loss: 0.4315

Val_accuracy: 0.8417

- › Testing the model:

Test_loss: 0.3449

Test_accuracy: 0.8243

Bag-of-Words Models: *Bigram* Model

- › Dataset processing with Keras TextVectorization layer:
 - Bigrams with multi-hot encoding
 - Max tokens are 20,000
 - Building the vocabulary using the *Train* dataset
- › Building the model:
 - Inputs layer: 20,000 dimensions
 - Dense layer: 24 dimensions, ReLU activation
 - Outputs (dense) layer: 4 dimensions, SoftMax activation
 - Loss: categorical crossentropy
 - Optimizer: rmsprop
 - Metrics: accuracy

Bag-of-Words Models: *Bigram* Model

- › Training the model (4 epochs):

Train_loss: 0.0093

Train_accuracy: 1.0000

Val_loss: 0.3859

Val_accuracy: 0.8633

- › Testing the model:

Test_loss: 0.3359

Test_accuracy: 0.8514

Bag-of-Words Models: *TF-IDF* Model

- › Dataset processing with Keras TextVectorization layer:
 - Bigrams with TF-IDF encoding
 - Max tokens are 20,000
 - Building the vocabulary using the **Train** dataset
- › Building the model:
 - Inputs layer: 20,000 dimensions
 - Dense layer: 24 dimensions, ReLU activation
 - Outputs (dense) layer: 4 dimensions, SoftMax activation
 - Loss: categorical crossentropy
 - Optimizer: rmsprop
 - Metrics: accuracy

The Models

Bag-of-Words Models: *TF-IDF* Model

- › Training the model (4 epochs):

Train_loss: 0.0182

Train_accuracy: 1.0000

Val_loss: 0.5636

Val_accuracy: 0.7842

- › Testing the model:

Test_loss: 0.5021

Test_accuracy: 0.8510

The Models

Sequence Models: Bidirectional LSTM Model #1

- › Dataset processing with Keras TextVectorization layer:
 - Words with **integer indexing**: each sample (article) is represented as a sequence of integer indices
 - Max tokens are 20,000
 - Max article length is 1,000 tokens
- › Building the model: learning word embeddings

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
input_2 (InputLayer)	[(None, None)]	0
embedding_1 (Embedding)	(None, None, 256)	5120000
bidirectional_1 (Bidirectional)	(None, 64)	73984
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None, 64)	0
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 4)	260

Sequence Models: Bidirectional LSTM Model #1

- › Training the model (8 epochs):

Train_loss: 0.3876

Train_accuracy: 0.9530

Val_loss: 0.9109

Val_accuracy: 0.6835

- › Testing the model:

Test_loss: 0.8738

Test_accuracy: 0.6892

The Models

Sequence Models: Bidirectional LSTM Model #2

- › Dataset processing with Keras TextVectorization layer:
 - Words with **integer indexing**: each sample (article) is represented as a sequence of integer indices
 - Max tokens are 20,000
 - Max article length is 1,000 tokens
- › Building the model: learning word embeddings & *masked zeros*

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
input_2 (InputLayer)	[(None, None)]	0
embedding_1 (Embedding)	(None, None, 256)	5120000
bidirectional_1 (Bidirectional)	(None, 64)	73984
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None, 64)	0
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 4)	260

Sequence Models: Bidirectional LSTM Model #2

- › Training the model (8 epochs):

Train_loss: 0.1972

Train_accuracy: 0.9775

Val_loss: 0.7821

Val_accuracy: 0.7770

- › Testing the model:

Test_loss: 0.6210

Test_accuracy: 0.7838

Summary

Conclusions

- › For this small dataset, bag-of-words models perform better than sequence models, and in particular the *bigram* model
- › Because the dataset is small, overfitting is very fast. Hence we needed to reduce the vocabulary size and dense layer dimensions





Thank You

24Slides