# CV202, HW2

Dan Tsir Cohen, ID 208538314  
Nir Ben Zikri, ID #######

## Problem 18

The answer is no. There is no continuous curve , from the unit interval to the affine group, such that and .

We will show that by observing any possible continuous curve , , such that:

We know that:

is a continuous curve, so for any :

And is continuous!

Now, as we know from the Leibniz formula, a determinant of a matrix is a polynomial expression of the matrix entries. Every entry in our is continuous over , therefore we can say is a continuous curve as well!

Now we know it begins in , and ends at , therefore exists such that !

So we can see that we do not have the case , showing no continuous curve exists between the two affine maps.

## Problem 19

### Part (i):

We need to find the least square estimator for .

We want to find such that for all : .

So our problem is described by:

Now let’s observe :

Now let:

**,**

And we get:

Now:

And as we’ve learned, the minimizer satisfies:

### Part (ii):

For this part we will note that we are looking for (let be our probability density function):

We know the probability density function of , so:

Where:

### Part (iii):

The maximum likelihood estimator for will be achieved by getting the maximum of the **log likelihood function** (as it is a monotonic non-decreasing function):

To get the maximum , we will look for a gradient of .

We will start with :

And now for :

## Problem 20

We need to find:

Let’s observe:

And this is of LS form, so we know our solution is:

## Problem 21

So we need to find:

But means:

for some

So we can rewrite our problem:

As when we find the right , we can get our .

Now, as we know is a monotonic non-decreasing function, so we can rewrite again:

And now we can it’s a LS problem. For :



So now we can use our known solution:

## Computer Exercise 2

Done – ADD RESULTS HERE.

## Problem 25

The effect of convolving an image with this given , is moving the entire image 2 pixels to the left, and 1 pixel upwards. This is a very small change, barely noticeable in sizeable images, so we can see this with this very small image example:



## Problem 26

### Part (i)

### Part (ii)

From , we get by definition of the inverse matrix. Therefore directly we can see that:

Proving that is an orthogonal square matrix.

### Part (iii)

For any , directly from part (ii), by definition of matrix multiplication we get (as is a column of ):

### Part (iv)

For any , by definition:

### Part (v)

Similarly to part (iii), for any :

This means the angle between and is .

### Part (vi)

We will prove this by definition. We mark this group of all orthogonal matrices .

This is clear because , meaning it’s orthogonal so in .

1. We need to show that if , so is .

Let’s observe, remembering both are orthogonal matrices:

is an orthogonal matrix so in .

1. We need to show that if , exists and it’s in .

is orthogonal so meaning exists and .

We’ve proven before that is also orthogonal, meaning it’s in , so is in as we wanted.

And that shows by definition that our group is a matrix group.

## Problem 27

is a separable filter. Is it invertible? Well a matrix is invertible if and only if it has a full rank. So we need to see whether .

is separable so:

Such that is a diagonal matrix with values , and **only on of them** is a non-zero!

This directly means !

And from fact 3 we know:

So we got (it’s actually 1 otherwise it’s a matrix). So unless which is a very trivial case, is not invertible.

## Problem 28

Bilateral filtering is not a linear operation, because as we can see in equation (28), the filter operation is dependent on the in a non-linear way.

Any change to the input image will not result in a proportional change in the output.  
First of all is not necessarily linear, and even if it is: It’s input is in absolute value which is not linear.  
In addition, the component which has in it, is not linear in any way.

## Problem 29

## Problem 30

## Computer Exercise 6