Running KNN on validation set (Using 3 topics)

Methodologies	Accuracy(%) k=1	Accuracy(%) k=3	Accuracy(%) k=5
Hamming distance	42.33	37.5	36.66
Euclidean distance	59.164	55.33	54
Cosine similarity with TF-IDF weights	53	37	34.83

KNN accuracy result for 50 iterations over test set.

From the table above, we can see that best accuracy is obtained using Euclidean distance and k=1. Results for each iteration are -

Iteration 1: 60.0 Iteration 2: 60.0

Iteration 6: 60.0

Iteration 7: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 8: 60.0 Iteration 9: 50.0

Iteration 18: 70.0 Iteration 19: 70.0

Iteration 27: 90.0 Iteration 28: 90.0

Iteration 29: 76.6666666666667

Iteration 30: 80.0

Iteration 31: 93.33333333333333

Iteration 32: 70.0

Iteration 33: 80.0

Iteration 34: 83.33333333333334 Iteration 35: 86.66666666666667

Iteration 36: 80.0 Iteration 37: 90.0

Iteration 41: 80.0

Iteration 44: 90.0

Iteration 48: 80.0

Running Naive Bayes on validation set (using 3 topics)

Smoothing factor value	Accuracy
0.005	92.83
0.05	92.67
0.1	92.67
0.2	92.33
0.3	92.33
0.5	92.167
0.7	92.167
0.9	92.167
1.0	92.0
2.0	91.83

NB accuracy result for 50 iterations over test set.

From the table above, we can see that best accuracy is obtained using smoothing factor 0.005. Results for each iteration are -

Iteration 1: 93.333333333333333

Iteration 2: 96.6666666666667

Iteration 3: 100.0 Iteration 4: 90.0 Iteration 5: 100.0

Iteration 7: 96.6666666666667

Iteration 8: 100.0

Iteration 6: 90.0

Iteration 11: 90.0

Iteration 15: 90.0 Iteration 16: 100.0

Iteration 17: 96.666666666667 Iteration 18: 83.33333333333333

Iteration 19: 100.0

Iteration 20: 93.33333333333333

Iteration 21: 90.0 Iteration 22: 90.0 Iteration 23: 100.0

Iteration 24: 73.33333333333333

Iteration 25: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 28: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 29: 63.33333333333333

Iteration 30: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 33: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 34: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 35: 63.33333333333333

Iteration 40: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 41: 63.33333333333333

Iteration 43: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 47: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 48: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 49: 66.6666666666666

Iteration 50: 66.6666666666666

T-test Result analysis

After calculating t-statistic what we get is this-

- 1. The value of statistic is 0.7906173382529886 and
- 2. pvalue is 0.4329773247829769.

So, for every significance level(0.005, 0.01 and 0.05) pvalue is greater than significance level. So, for each case we fail to reject the null hypothesis, which is there is no significance difference in two algorithm's accuracy.

Therefore, in this case, none of the two algorithms is performing significantly better than the other one. So, we can choose any of the two algorithms.