

BAYESIAN GAMES

Dripto Bakshi

Retro – Dating



Battle of Sexes

- Two Players: **Boy** (Player 1) & **Girl** (Player 2)

Battle of Sexes

- Two Players: **Boy** (Player 1) & **Girl** (Player 2)
- Pure Strategy set of each player: {Cricket (C) , Movie (M)}

Battle of Sexes

- Two Players: **Boy** (Player 1) & **Girl** (Player 2)
- Pure Strategy set of each player: {Cricket (C) , Movie (M)}
- The pay off matrix is given by:

	Cricket (C)	Movie (M)
Cricket (C)	10,5	0,0
Movie (M)	0,0	5,10

Best Responses

	Cricket (C)	Movie (M)
Cricket (C)	10*, 5*	0,0
Movie (M)	0,0	5*, 10*

Pure Strategy Nash Equilibria

- The two pure strategy Nash equilibria are: (C,C) & (M,M)

Pure Strategy Nash Equilibria

- The two pure strategy Nash equilibria are: (C,C) & (M,M)
- Does there exist mixed strategy N.E?
- Let's find them !

Mixed Strategies

- Boy (P_1) plays the mixed strategy $(p, 1-p)$, i.e He plays C with probability p and M with probability $1-p$.
- Girl (P_2) plays the mixed strategy $(q, 1-q)$, i.e She plays C with probability q and M with probability $1-q$.

Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium

- The MSNE of the game is given by: $((\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}))$

i.e Boy plays the mixed strategy $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ & the Girl plays the mixed strategy $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$

Incomplete Information

- Now let's make it a little more realistic.
- The Boy does not know whether the girl is “Interested” or “Uninterested”.
- So the Girl in this case has two “types” namely: “Interested” and “Uninterested”

The “Interested” Girl

- The pay off matrix is given by:

	Cricket (C)	Movie (M)
Cricket (C)	10,5	0,0
Movie (M)	0,0	5,10

The “Uninterested” Girl

- The pay off matrix is given by:

	Cricket (C)	Movie (M)
Cricket (C)	10,0	0,10
Movie (M)	0,5	5,0

Belief

- The boy does not know the type of the girl.
- But he has a **belief** about the type of the girl.
- The belief is a probability distribution over the set of types.
- Let's say the girl has given no hint.
- So the “**belief**” he has that the girl is of type “Interested” is $= \frac{1}{2}$.
- The **belief** is common knowledge

Bayesian Nash Equilibrium (Def.)

A **Bayesian Nash Equilibrium (BNE)** is a set of strategies, one for each “type” of a player, such that no “type” of any player has any incentive to change his/her strategy, given the beliefs (*which are common knowledge*) & what other players are doing.

Boy's Expected Payoffs

	c , c	C , M	M , C	M , M
c	10			
M				

Boy's Expected Payoffs

	C , C	C , M	M , C	M , M
C	10			
M	0			

Boy's Expected Payoffs

	C , C	C , M	M , C	M , M
C	10			
M	0	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 5 = 5/2$		

Boy's Expected Payoffs

	C , C	C , M	M , C	M , M
C	10	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 10 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 = 5$		
M	0	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 5 = 5/2$		

Boy's Expected Payoffs

	C , C	C , M	M , C	M , M
C	10	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 10 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 = 5$	5	0
M	0	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 5 = 5/2$	5/2	5

Boy's Expected Payoffs (Best Responses)

	C , C	C , M	M , C	M , M
C	10	5	5	0
M	0	5/2	5/2	5

Boy's Expected Payoffs (Best Responses)

	C , C	C , M	M , C	M , M
C	10	5	5	0
M	0	5/2	5/2	5

Let's inspect:

- (C, (C,C))
- (C, (C,M))
- (C, (M,C))
- (M, (M,M))

- $(C, (C,C))$: If the Boy plays C it is NOT optimal for the “Uninterested girl” to play C. So $(C, (C,C))$ is not a BNE.

- $(C, (C,C))$: If the Boy plays C it is NOT optimal for the “Uninterested girl” to play C. So $(C, (C,C))$ is not a BNE.
- $(C,(C,M))$: If the Boy plays C it is optimal for the “interested” type girl to play C & it is also optimal for the “Uninterested” type girl to play M. **So $(C,(C,M))$ is indeed a pure strategy BNE.**

- $(C, (C,C))$: If the Boy plays C it is NOT optimal for the “Uninterested girl” to play C. So $(C, (C,C))$ is not a BNE.
- $(C,(C,M))$: If the Boy plays C it is optimal for the “interested” type girl to play C & it is also optimal for the “Uninterested” type girl to play M. **So $(C,(C,M))$ is indeed a pure strategy BNE.**
- Similarly we can argue that $(C, (M,C))$ & $(M, (M,M))$ are not BNE.

Bayesian Nash Equilibrium (Def.)

A **Bayesian Nash Equilibrium (BNE)** is a set of strategies, one for each “type” of a player, such that no “type” of any player has any incentive to change his/her strategy, given the beliefs (*which are common knowledge*) & what other players are doing.

Mixed Strategy BNE (MSBNE)

■ Let's consider the following mixed strategy profile:

- *The Boy (P_1) plays $(p, 1-p)$*
- *The girl of "Interested" type plays $(q_1, 1-q_1)$*
- *The girl of "Un-Interested" type plays $(q_2, 1-q_2)$*

Mixed Strategy BNE (MSBNE)

■ Let's consider the following mixed strategy profile:

- *The Boy (P_1) plays $(p, 1-p)$*
- *The girl of "Interested" type plays $(q_1, 1-q_1)$*
- *The girl of "Un-Interested" type plays $(q_2, 1-q_2)$*

Is this mixed strategy profile a BNE given the beliefs??

The Boy's Payoffs

- Given that the girl of “Interested” type plays $(q_1, 1 - q_1)$ & the girl of “Un-Interested” type plays $(q_2, 1 - q_2)$

The Boy's Payoffs

- Given that the girl of “Interested” type plays ($q_1, 1 - q_1$) & the girl of “Un-Interested” type plays ($q_2, 1 - q_2$)

- Expected Payoff of the “Boy” if he plays C:

$$\{10 \cdot q_1 + 0 \cdot (1 - q_1)\} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \{10 \cdot q_2 + 0 \cdot (1 - q_2)\} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

The Boy's Payoffs

- Given that the girl of “Interested” type plays $(q_1, 1 - q_1)$ & the girl of “Un-Interested” type plays $(q_2, 1 - q_2)$

- Expected Payoff of the “Boy” if he plays C:

$$\{10 \cdot q_1 + 0 \cdot (1 - q_1)\} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \{10 \cdot q_2 + 0 \cdot (1 - q_2)\} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

- Expected Payoff of the “Boy” if he plays M:

$$\{0 \cdot q_1 + 5 \cdot (1 - q_1)\} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \{0 \cdot q_2 + 5 \cdot (1 - q_2)\} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

- Remember in the mixed strategy profile the Boy is playing is $(p, 1-p)$

- Remember in the mixed strategy profile the Boy is playing is $(p, 1-p)$
- Since he is randomizing between C & M, that can only happen if the expected payoffs (*ceteris paribus*) of playing them are the same.

- Remember in the mixed strategy profile the Boy is playing is (p,1-p)
- Since he is randomizing between C & M, that can only happen if the expected payoffs (*ceteris paribus*) of playing them are the same. i.e
$$\{10.q_1 + 0.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2} + \{10.q_2 + 0.(1 - q_2)\}.\frac{1}{2} = \{0.q_1 + 5.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2} + \{0.q_2 + 5.(1 - q_2)\}.\frac{1}{2}$$

- Remember in the mixed strategy profile the Boy is playing is (p,1-p)
- Since he is randomizing between C & M, that can only happen if the expected payoffs (*ceteris paribus*) of playing them are the same. i.e

$$\{10.q_1 + 0.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2} + \{10.q_2 + 0.(1 - q_2)\}.\frac{1}{2} = \{0.q_1 + 5.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2} + \{0.q_2 + 5.(1 - q_2)\}.\frac{1}{2}$$

The Girl Connect Equation !!!!!

“Interested” Girl

- Is it optimal for the interested girl to play mixed strategy $(q_1, 1 - q_1)$

“Interested” Girl

- Is it optimal for the interested girl to play mixed strategy $(q_1, 1 - q_1)$
- Given that the boy is playing $(p, 1 - p)$
 - Her expected payoff from playing C: $5.p + 0.(1 - p)$
 - Her expected payoff from playing M: $0.p + 10.(1 - p)$

“Interested” Girl

- Is it optimal for the interested girl to play mixed strategy $(q_1, 1 - q_1)$
- Given that the boy is playing $(p, 1 - p)$
 - Her expected payoff from playing C: $5.p + 0.(1 - p)$
 - Her expected payoff from playing M: $0.p + 10.(1 - p)$
- If the “Interested” girl playing $(q_1, 1 - q_1)$ **with $q_1 > 0$** in response to the Boy playing $(p, 1 - p)$ is a BNE:

$$5.p + 0.(1 - p) = 0.p + 10.(1 - p) \text{ i.e. } P = \frac{2}{3}$$

“Uninterested” Girl

- Is it optimal for the interested girl to play mixed strategy $(q_2, 1 - q_2)$

“Uninterested” Girl

- Is it optimal for the interested girl to play mixed strategy $(q_2, 1 - q_2)$
- Given that the boy is playing $(p, 1 - p)$
 - Her expected payoff from playing C: $0 \cdot p + 5 \cdot (1 - p)$
 - Her expected payoff from playing M: $10 \cdot p + 0 \cdot (1 - p)$

“Uninterested” Girl

- Is it optimal for the interested girl to play mixed strategy $(q_2, 1 - q_2)$
- Given that the boy is playing $(p, 1 - p)$
 - Her expected payoff from playing C: $0 \cdot p + 5 \cdot (1 - p)$
 - Her expected payoff from playing M: $10 \cdot p + 0 \cdot (1 - p)$
- If the “Uninterested” girl playing **$(q_2, 1 - q_2)$ with $q_2 > 0$** in response to the Boy playing $(p, 1 - p)$ is a BNE:

$$0 \cdot p + 5 \cdot (1 - p) = 10 \cdot p + 0 \cdot (1 - p) \text{ i.e. } P = \frac{1}{3}$$

If $P = 2/3$ Then ?

- For the “Interested” girl:

➤ Her expected payoff from playing C: $5.p + 0.(1-p) = 5.(\frac{2}{3}) = \frac{10}{3}$

➤ Her expected payoff from playing M: $0.p + 10.(1-p) = 10(\frac{2}{3}) = \frac{10}{3}$

If $P = 2/3$ Then ?

- For the “Interested” girl:

➤ Her expected payoff from playing C: $5.p + 0.(1-p) = 5.\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{10}{3}$

➤ Her expected payoff from playing M: $0.p + 10.(1-p) = 10\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{10}{3}$

- For the “Uninterested girl”:

➤ Her expected payoff from playing C: $0.p + 5.(1-p) = \frac{5}{3}$

➤ Her expected payoff from playing M: $10.p + 0.(1-p) = \frac{20}{3}$

➤ So it is optimal for her to play M.

➤ i.e $q_2 = 0$

- If $p = \frac{2}{3}$ i.e if the Boy plays the mixed strategy $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$
 - The “Interested” girl will (can?) opt for a mixed strategy.
 - The “Uninterested” girl simply plays the pure strategy M. i.e $q_2 = 0$

- If $p = \frac{2}{3}$ i.e if the Boy plays the mixed strategy $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$
 - The “Interested” girl will (can?) opt for a mixed strategy.
 - The “Uninterested” girl simply plays the pure strategy M. i.e $q_2 = 0$

- Recall the “girl connect Equation”

$$\{10.q_1 + 0.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2} + \{10.q_2 + 0.(1 - q_2)\}.\frac{1}{2} = \{0.q_1 + 5.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2} + \{0.q_2 + 5.(1 - q_2)\}.\frac{1}{2}$$

- If $q_2 = 0$ it implies $q_1 = \frac{2}{3}$

- So $p = \frac{2}{3}$, $q_1 = \frac{2}{3}$, $q_2 = 0$ seems to do the trick !
- So $\{ (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), [(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (0, 1)] \}$ is a MSBNE given the Boy's belief $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ about the Girl's type

If $P = 1/3$ Then ?

- For the “Interested” girl:

➤ Her expected payoff from playing C: $5.p + 0.(1-p) = 5.\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{5}{3}$

➤ Her expected payoff from playing M: $0.p + 10.(1-p) = 10\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{20}{3}$

➤ So it is optimal for her to play M.

➤ i.e $q_1 = 0$

If $P = 1/3$ Then ?

- For the “Interested” girl:

- Her expected payoff from playing C: $5.p + 0.(1-p) = 5.\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{5}{3}$
- Her expected payoff from playing M: $0.p + 10.(1-p) = 10\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{20}{3}$
- So it is optimal for her to play M.
- i.e $q_1 = 0$

- For the “Uninterested girl”:

- Her expected payoff from playing C: $0.p + 5.(1-p) = \frac{10}{3}$
- Her expected payoff from playing M: $10.p + 0.(1-p) = \frac{10}{3}$

- If $p = \frac{1}{3}$ i.e if the Boy plays the mixed strategy $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$

- The “Interested” girl simply plays the pure strategy M. i.e $q_1 = 0$
- The “UnInterested” girl will (can?) opt for a mixed strategy.

- Recall the “girl connect Equation”

$$\{10.q_1 + 0.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2} + \{10.q_2 + 0.(1 - q_2)\}.\frac{1}{2} = \{0.q_1 + 5.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2} + \{0.q_1 + 5.(1 - q_1)\}.\frac{1}{2}$$

- If $q_1 = 0$ it implies $q_2 = 2/3$

- So $p = \frac{1}{3}$, $q_1 = 0$, $q_2 = \frac{2}{3}$ seems to do the trick !
- So $\{ (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}), [(0, 1), (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})] \}$ is a MSBNE given the Boy's belief $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ about the Girl's type

