

ECON675 – Assignment 3

Anirudh Yadav

October 24, 2018

Contents

1 Non-linear least squares	1
1.1 Identifiability	1
1.2 Asymptotic normality	2
1.3 Variance estimator under heteroskedasticity	2
1.4 Variance estimator under homoskedasticity	3
1.5 MLE	3
1.6 When the link function is unknown	4
1.7 Logistic link function	4
1.8 Logistic link function, MLE	5
1.9 Some data work	5

1 Non-linear least squares

1.1 Identifiability

This is a standard M-estimation problem. The parameter vector β_0 is assumed to solve the population problem

$$\beta_0 = \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}[(y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}_i' \beta))^2].$$

For β_0 to be identified, it must be the *unique* solution to the above population problem (i.e. the unique minimizer). In math, this means for all $\epsilon > 0$ and for some $\delta > 0$:

$$\sup_{\|\beta - \beta_0\| > \epsilon} M(\beta) \geq M(\beta_0) + \delta$$

where $M(\beta) = \mathbb{E}[(y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}_i' \beta))^2]$. Of course β_0 can be written in closed form if $\mu(\cdot)$ is linear. In this case, we know that

$$\beta_0 = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']^{-1} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i y_i].$$

1.2 Asymptotic normality

The M-estimator is asymptotically normal if:

1. $\hat{\beta} \rightarrow_p \beta_0$
2. $\beta_0 \in \text{int}(B)$ and $m(\mathbf{x}_i, \beta) \equiv (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta))^2$ is 3 times continuously differentiable.
3. $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{V}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} m(\mathbf{x}_i; \beta_0)] < \infty$ and $H_0 = \mathbb{E}[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} m(\mathbf{x}_i; \beta_0)]$ is full rank (and therefore invertible).

Now, the FOC for the M-estimation problem is

$$0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta)) \dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta) \mathbf{x}_i \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta)$. So, we've converted the M-estimation problem into a Z-estimation problem. Then we can use the standard asymptotic normality result to arrive at a precise form of the asymptotic variance:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, H_0^{-1} \Sigma_0 H_0^{-1}).$$

Now, taking the second derivative gives the Hessian

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= \mathbb{E}[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} m(\mathbf{x}_i; \beta_0)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[-\dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0) \dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i + (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0)) \ddot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0) \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i] \\ &= -\mathbb{E}[\dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0)^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i] \end{aligned}$$

by LIE. And, the variance of the score is

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_0 &= \mathbb{V}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} m(\mathbf{x}_i; \beta_0)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0))^2 \dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0)^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\sigma^2(\mathbf{x}_i) \dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0)^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i] \end{aligned}$$

again by LIE. Then we have the asymptotic variance

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = H_0^{-1} \Sigma_0 H_0^{-1}.$$

1.3 Variance estimator under heteroskedasticity

Under heteroskedasticity we can use the sandwich variance estimator

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{HC} = \hat{H}^{-1} \hat{\Sigma} \hat{H}^{-1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\beta})^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \\ \hat{\Sigma} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i^2 \dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\beta})^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i \end{aligned}$$

Now, to get an asymptotically valid CI for $||\beta_0||^2$ we need to use the Delta Method. First, note that:

$$\begin{aligned} ||\beta_0||^2 &= \beta_0' \beta_0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} ||\beta_0||^2 &= 2\beta_0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the Delta Method

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(|\hat{\beta}|^2 - ||\beta_0||^2) &\rightarrow_d 2\beta_0 \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{V}_0) \\ &= \mathcal{N}(0, 4\beta_0' \mathbf{V}_0 \beta_0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, an asymptotically valid 95% CI for $||\beta_0||^2$ is

$$CI_{95} = \left[\hat{\beta} - 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{4\hat{\beta}' \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{HC} \hat{\beta}}{n}}, \hat{\beta} + 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{4\hat{\beta}' \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{HC} \hat{\beta}}{n}} \right]$$

1.4 Variance estimator under homoskedasticity

Using the above results, under homoskedasticity, the asymptotic variance collapses to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}_0 &= \mathbb{E}[\mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i]^{-1} \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[\mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i] \mathbb{E}[\mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i]^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 \mathbb{E}[\mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0))^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}'_i]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The variance estimator is now takes a simpler form

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{HO} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \hat{H}^{-1}$$

where \hat{H} is the same as above and

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-d} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\beta}))^2$$

Then, as above, the asymptotically valid 95% CI for $||\beta_0||^2$ is

$$CI_{95} = \left[\hat{\beta} - 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{4\hat{\beta}' \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{HO} \hat{\beta}}{n}}, \hat{\beta} + 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{4\hat{\beta}' \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{HO} \hat{\beta}}{n}} \right].$$

1.5 MLE

Given the assumption of a normal DGP we have the conditional density

$$f(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \beta_0))^2 \right).$$

Then, the sample log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2; \mathbf{X}) = n \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}))^2$$

Dividing by n gives

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2; \mathbf{X}) = \log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right) - \frac{1}{n2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}))^2$$

The FOC wrt $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is

$$0 = \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta})) \dot{\mu}(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i,$$

which is equivalent to the FOC for the M-estimation problem (1) (since σ^2 just scales the FOC, it does not affect the solution). Thus,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{MLE} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{M.est}.$$

Now, the FOC of the log-likelihood wrt σ^2 is

$$0 = -\frac{1}{2} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1} 2\pi + \frac{1}{2n} (\sigma^2)^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}))^2$$

Solving for σ^2 gives the MLE:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}))^2,$$

which is not the same as the estimator proposed in [4], since it does not adjust for the number of regressors.

1.6 When the link function is unknown

Suppose the link function is unknown, and consider two pairs of true parameters, $(\mu_1, \boldsymbol{\beta}_1)$ and $(\mu_2, \boldsymbol{\beta}_2)$ where $\mu_2(u) = \mu_1(u/c)$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 = c\boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ for some $c \neq 0$. Then the parameters are clearly different, but $\mu_1(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_1) = \mu_2(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_2)$.

1.7 Logistic link function

The link function is

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) &= \mathbb{E}[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 \geq \epsilon_i) | \mathbf{x}_i] \\ &= \Pr[\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 \geq \epsilon_i | \mathbf{x}_i] \\ &= F(\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{x}'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)}, \text{ if } s_0 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The conditional variance of y_i is

$$\sigma^2(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbb{V}[y_i | \mathbf{x}_i]$$

Now, note that $y_i | \mathbf{x}_i$ is a Bernoulli random variable, with $\Pr[y_i = 1 | \mathbf{x}_i] = F(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(\mathbf{x}_i) &= F(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)(1 - F(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)) \\ &= \mu(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)(1 - \mu(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)) \end{aligned}$$

To derive an expression for the asymptotic variance, first note that for the logistic cdf: $\dot{\mu}(u) = (1 - \mu(u))\mu(u)$. Then, the asymptotic variance is

$$\mathbf{V}_0 = H_0^{-1} \Sigma_0 H_0^{-1}.$$

where

$$H_0 = \mathbb{E}[(1 - \mu(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^2 \mu(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']$$

and

$$\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{E}[(1 - \mu(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0))^3 \mu(\mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)^3 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i']$$

1.8 Logistic link function, MLE

MLE gives the same point estimator as NLS (we did this in 672), but is asymptotically efficient, so $\mathbf{V}_0^{ML} \leq \mathbf{V}_0^{NLS}$.

1.9 Some data work

(a) I estimated the logistic model with robust standard errors in both **R** and **Stata**. The results from **R** are presented in Table 1. The standard errors from **Stata** are slliiightly different, but I'm not sure why.

Table 1: **Logistic Regression Estimates for dmissing**

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t-stat	p-val	CI.lower	CI.upper
Const.	1.755	0.335	5.245	0.000	1.099	2.411
S_age	1.333	0.123	10.826	0.000	1.092	1.575
S_HHpeople	-0.067	0.023	-2.871	0.004	-0.112	-0.021
log_inc	-0.119	0.044	-2.707	0.007	-0.205	-0.033