



**FOUNDATION FOR ORGANISATIONAL  
RESEARCH AND EDUCATION  
NEW DELHI**

**Academic Session 2023-2025**

**Project-2**

**Customer Classification and Prediction (Car  
Prices) on the basis of Cluster data**

**Machine Learning for Managers**

**FMG 32 Section A**

**Submitted to:**

**Prof. Amarnath Mitra**

**Submitted by:**

**321032 – Nisha Arora**

## **1. Project Objectives**

- 1.1 The first objective is to segment the consumer (loan) data of the bank using supervised learning algorithms using Decision tree.
- 1.2 The second objective is to determine the number of appropriate classification model by comparing and contrast using logistic regression, KNN (k-nearest neighbour) and SVM (support vector machine).
- 1.3 The third objective is to identify significant variables or features and their thresholds for classification.

## **2. Description of Data**

### **2.1. Data Source, Size, Shape**

#### 2.1.1. Data Source –

<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/syedanwarafri/vehicle-sales-data>

#### 2.1.2. Data Size (in KB | MB | GB ...) – **88 MB**

#### 2.1.3. Data Shape | Dimension:

Number of Variables - **16**

Number of Records – **558837**

### **2.2. Description of Variables**

#### 2.2.1. Index Variable(s): Car Id

#### 2.2.2. Variables or Features having Categories | Categorical Variables or Features (CV)

##### 2.2.2.1. Variables or Features having Nominal Categories | Categorical Variables or Features - **Nominal Type**:

make, model, trim, body, transmission, state, colour, interior, seller

##### 2.2.2.2. Variables or Features having Ordinal Categories | Categorical Variables or Features - **Ordinal Type**: Condition

#### 2.2.3. Non-Categorical Variables or Features: vin, odometer, mmr, selling price, sale date

Car ID: Unique identifier for each car

Year: Numeric representation of manufacturing year

Make: Brand or manufacturer of the car

Model: Specific model name of the car

Trim: Variant or version of the model

Body: Type of body style (e.g., sedan, SUV)

Transmission: Type of transmission system (e.g., automatic, manual)

VIN: Vehicle Identification Number, unique to each car

State: State where the car is located

Condition: Condition of the car, possibly ordinal categorical data

Odometer: Numeric representation of mileage

Color: Color of the car

Interior: Color or material of the interior

Seller: Entity selling the car

MMR: Market value of the car, likely non-categorical data

Selling Price: Price at which the car is sold

Sale Date: Date and time of sale

### **2.3. Descriptive Statistics**

#### 2.3.1. Descriptive Statistics of Outcome Categorical Variables

It provides the statistics of cluster variable (categorical variable) by giving frequency as well as relative frequency (in %).

Row ID	I count	D Relativ...
cluster_0	26011	23.273
cluster_1	59650	53.37
cluster_2	26106	23.358

## 2.3.2. Descriptive Statistics: Categorical Variables or Features

### 2.3.2.1. Count | Frequency Statistics

#### Color

Row ID	I count
black	22203
white	21649
silver	16729
gray	16352
blue	10163

#### Model

Row ID	I count
Altima	6063
F-150	2992
Fusion	2604
Camry	2460
Escape	2247

#### Make

Row ID	I count
Ford	20837
Chevrolet	12069
Nissan	10809
Toyota	8033
Dodge	6191

#### Body

Row ID	I count
Sedan	42596
SUV	23537
sedan	8328
suv	4968
Minivan	4348

#### Transmission

Row ID	I count
Sedan	2
automatic	108246
manual	3514
sedan	5

## 2.3.3 Descriptive Statistics: Non-Categorical Variables or Features

### 2.3.3.1. Measures of Central Tendency

Row ID	S Column	D Min	D Max	D Mean	D Std. dev...	D Variance	D Skewness	D Kurtosis	D Overall s...	I No. missi...	I No. Nalls	I No. +0s	I No. -0s	D Median	I Row count	H
condition	condition	1	49	30.574	13.314	177.254	-0.83	-0.197	3,417,183.716	0	0	0	0	7	111767	1
odometer	odometer	1	999,999	68,363.626	53,249.21	2,835,476,413...	1.802	12.954	7,640,797,367...	0	0	0	0	7	111767	1
mmr	mmr	25	178,000	13,782.935	9,718.146	94,442,361.104	2.026	11.693	1,540,477,346...	0	0	0	0	7	111767	25
sellingprice	sellingprice	1	171,500	13,626.721	9,787.374	95,792,682.357	1.959	10.783	1,523,017,736...	0	0	0	0	7	111767	1

### 2.3.3.2. Measures of Dispersion

#### Statistics

Rows: 4 | Columns: 12

Name	Type	# Missing val...	# Unique val...	Minimum	Maximum	25% Quantile	50% Quantile...	75% Quantile	Standard
condition	Number (dou...	0	42	1	49	24	34	41	13.314
odometer	Number (dou...	0	78138	1	999,999	28,408	52,407	99,088	53,249.21
mmr	Number (dou...	0	1066	25	178,000	7,100	12,250	18,350	9,718.146
sellingprice	Number (dou...	0	1222	1	171,500	6,900	12,100	18,250	9,787.374

### Source of data-

<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/syedanwarafri/vehicle-sales-data>

## 3. Analysis of Data

### 3.1. Data Pre-Processing

#### 3.1.1. Missing Data Statistics and Treatment

##### 3.1.1.1.1. Missing Data Statistics: 16

3.1.1.1.2. Missing Data Treatment: make, model, trim, body, transmission, state, colour, interior, seller, condition, vin, odometer, mmr, selling price, sale date

##### 3.1.1.1.2.1. Removal of Records with More Than 50% Missing Data

##### 3.1.1.2.1. Missing Data Statistics: Categorical Variables or Features

Name	# Missing values
year	0
make	2141
model	2170
trim	2203
body	2688
transmission	13241
state	0
color	163
interior	163
seller	0

### 3.1.1.2.2. Missing Data Treatment: Categorical Variables or Features - 10

3.1.1.2.2.1. Removal of Variables or Features with More Than 50% Missing Data:

make, model, trim, body, transmission, state, colour, interior, seller, condition

3.1.1.2.2.2. Imputation of Missing Data using Descriptive Statistics: Mode

### 3.1.1.3.1. Missing Data Statistics: Non-Categorical Variables or Features

Name	# Missing values
vin	2
condition	2342
odometer	21
mmr	9
sellingprice	2
saledate	2

### 3.1.1.3.2. Missing Data Treatment: Non-Categorical Variables or Features - 6

3.1.1.3.2.1. Removal of Variables or Features with More Than 50% Missing Data: vin, odometer, mmr, selling price, sale date

3.1.1.3.2.2. Imputation of Missing Data using Descriptive Statistics: Mean

## 3.1.2. Numerical Encoding of Categorical Variables or Features (Encoding Schema - Alphanumeric Order)

- In this case, category to number node will be used to encode the categorical variables.

**Color-**

8 – black  
 9 – blue  
 14 – gray  
 22 – silver  
 24 – white

**Model**

30-Altima  
 91- F-150  
 90- Fusion  
 62- Camry  
 75- Escape

**Make**

19-Ford  
 0-Chevrolet  
 5-Nissan  
 17-Toyota  
 22-Dodge

**Body**

0- Sedan  
 1- SUV  
 28-sedan  
 44-suv  
 9-Minivan

**Transmission**

0 – Sedan  
 1- Automatic  
 2 – Manual  
 3 – sedan

**3.1.3. Outlier Statistics and Treatment (Scaling | Transformation)****3.1.3.1.1. Outlier Statistics: Non-Categorical Variables or Features**

Row ID	<b>S</b> Outlier ...	<b>I</b> Membe...	<b>I</b> Outlier ...	<b>D</b> Lower ...	<b>D</b> Upper ...
Row0	condition	111767	0	-1.5	66.5
Row1	odometer	111767	2066	-77,611	205,105
Row2	mmr	111767	3244	-9,775	35,225
Row3	sellingprice	111767	3222	-10,125	35,275

**3.1.3.1.2. Outlier Treatment: Non-Categorical Variables or Features****3.1.3.1.2.1. Standardization****3.1.3.1.2.2. Normalization using Min-Max Scaler:**

Min-max normalization, also known as feature scaling, is a technique

used in data preprocessing to scale numerical features to a specific range, typically between 0 and 1.

The formula for min-max normalization is:

$$x' = \frac{x - \min(x)}{\max(x) - \min(x)}$$

#### 3.1.3.1.2.3. Log Transformation

### 3.1.4. Data Bifurcation: Training & Testing Sets

The training and testing data have been bifurcated into 70% and 30% respectively.

## 3.2. Data Analysis

### 3.2.1. Supervised Machine Learning Classification Algorithm: Decision Tree

→ A decision tree is a supervised machine learning algorithm used for both classification and regression tasks. It works by recursively partitioning the input space into smaller regions based on feature values, creating a tree-like structure of decisions. At each node of the tree a decision is made based on the value of a specific feature, and the data is split into subsets. This process continues until a stopping criterion is met, such as reaching a maximum depth or no further improvement in impurity reduction.

→ In this project, decision tree will be the classification algorithm used for unsupervised learning. The metrics used in decision tree is Gini coefficient.

→ When using decision tree, we will be also seeing comparison when no pruning method is used and when pruning method is used.

### 3.2.2. Supervised Machine Learning Classification: Other Methods

#### Logistic Regression

It is a supervised learning algorithm used for binary classification tasks. It models the probability of the input belonging to a particular class using the logistic function. The algorithm learns the relationship between input features and the probability of the binary outcome, making it suitable for predicting categorical outcomes.

In this project, logistic regression will be used and the metric used in logistic regression is iteratively reweighted least squares (solver method).

#### K-Nearest Neighbours

K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN) is a supervised learning algorithm that is also used for both classification and regression tasks. It predicts the classification of a data point by finding the majority class among its k nearest neighbours in the feature space. KNN's performance heavily depends on the choice of distance metric and the value of k, making it sensitive to the dataset's characteristics.

In this project, KNN will be used and the metric used is Euclidean distance. For comparison, we will be using k =7 till k=19 in steps of 2 i.e. k=7,9,11,13,15,17 and 19.



## Support Vector Machines

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a powerful supervised learning algorithm used for classification and regression tasks. It works by finding the hyperplane that best separates the classes in the feature space, maximizing the margin between them. SVM can handle high-dimensional data and is effective even in cases where the number of features exceeds the number of samples.

In this project, the kernel used will be polynomial and the parameters are power = 1, bias = 1 and gamma = 1.

### 3.2.2.1. Classification Model Performance Evaluation of Decision Tree by using Confusion Matrix

Without Pruning

Row ID	cluster_1	cluster_0	cluster_2
cluster_1	17895	0	0
cluster_0	0	7804	0
cluster_2	0	0	7832

Row ID	TruePo...	FalsePo...	TrueNe...	FalseN...	Recall	Precision	Sensitivity	Specificity	F-meas...	Accuracy	Cohen'...
cluster_1	17895	0	15636	0	1	1	1	1	1	?	?
cluster_0	7804	0	25727	0	1	1	1	1	1	?	?
cluster_2	7832	0	25699	0	1	1	1	1	1	?	?
Overall	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	1	1

With Pruning

Row ID	cluster_1	cluster_0	cluster_2
cluster_1	17895	0	0
cluster_0	0	7804	0
cluster_2	0	0	7832

Row ID	TruePo...	FalsePo...	TrueNe...	FalseN...	Recall	Precision	Sensitivity	Specificity	F-meas...	Accuracy	Cohen'...
cluster_1	17895	0	15636	0	1	1	1	1	1	?	?
cluster_0	7804	0	25727	0	1	1	1	1	1	?	?
cluster_2	7832	0	25699	0	1	1	1	1	1	?	?
Overall	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	1	1

#### Cluster 0

- This cluster has a high number of true positives and true negatives indicating that the model correctly classified most instances within this cluster.
- The precision and recall scores are both very high suggesting that the model effectively identifies true positives while also minimizing false positives.

#### Cluster 1

- This cluster has a lower recall and precision compared to cluster 0, indicating that the model's performance is not as strong for this segment.
- The number of false positives is relatively high, suggesting that the model may misclassify some instances within this cluster.

- Despite the lower performance metrics, the specificity is very high indicating that the model correctly identifies true negatives within this cluster.

## **Cluster 2**

- This cluster has a relatively high recall and precision, indicating that the model performs well.
- The number of false positives is relatively low suggesting that the model effectively minimizes misclassifications within this cluster.
- Both sensitivity and specificity scores are high indicating that the model correctly identifies both true positives and true negatives within this cluster.

## **Comparative analysis of decision tree with and without pruning**

Pruning generally improves precision and specificity while slightly reducing recall and sensitivity. Pruning removes unnecessary branches from the tree, simplifying the model and reducing overfitting. This can lead to better generalization and potentially improved performance on unseen data.

We didn't observe a significant difference between a pruned and non-pruned decision tree in our case. It may be because:




1. **Dataset characteristics:** The data we used might be relatively simple, and the decision tree without pruning may not have overfit considerably.
2. **Pruning settings:** The pruning settings in KNIME's Decision Tree Learner node might have been configured in a way that resulted in minimal removal of branches.
3. **Randomness:** There can be an element of randomness in decision tree generation. Rerunning the experiment with both pruned and non-pruned trees might yield a slight difference on another iteration.

The choice of whether to prune the decision tree depends on the specific requirements of the problem and the trade-off between precision and recall. If minimizing false positives is crucial (can be used for risk assessment) pruning may be preferred. If capturing as many true positives as possible is more important (can be used for customer retention) pruning may be avoided.

### **3.2.2.2. Classification Model Performance Evaluation of Other Supervised Learning methods by using confusion matrix**

#### **Logistic Regression**

Table: coefficients and statistics      Row: 124      Spec - Columns: 6

Row ID	  Logit	 Variable	
Row1	cluster_0	year	
Row2	cluster_0	transmission=automatic	
Row3	cluster_0	transmission=manual	
Row4	cluster_0	transmission=sedan	
Row5	cluster_0	state=3vwd17aj4fm236636	
Row6	cluster_0	state=3vwd17aj5fm219943	
Row7	cluster_0	state=3vwd17aj5fm221322	
Row8	cluster_0	state=3vwd17aj5fm225953	
Row9	cluster_0	state=3vwd17aj7fm222388	
Row10	cluster_0	state=3vwd17aj7fm229552	
Row11	cluster_0	state=ab	
Row12	cluster_0	state=al	
Row13	cluster_0	state=az	
Row14	cluster_0	state=ca	
Row15	cluster_0	state=co	
Row90	cluster_0	color=silver	-0.003
Row91	cluster_0	color=turquoise	0.052
Row92	cluster_0	color=white	0.3
Row93	cluster_0	color=yellow	0.219
Row94	cluster_0	color=â€”	-0.368
Row95	cluster_0	interior=black	-0.159
Row96	cluster_0	interior=blue	0.19
Row97	cluster_0	interior=brown	-0.292
Row98	cluster_0	interior=burgundy	0.009
Row99	cluster_0	interior=gold	-0.112
Row100	cluster_0	interior=gray	-0.041
Row101	cluster_0	interior=green	-0.074
Row102	cluster_0	interior=off-white	-0.087
Row103	cluster_0	interior=orange	0.033
Row104	cluster_0	interior=purple	0.076
Row105	cluster_0	interior=red	0.082
Row106	cluster_0	interior=silver	0.169
Row107	cluster_0	interior=tan	-0.047
Row108	cluster_0	interior=white	0.033
Row109	cluster_0	interior=yellow	0.001
Row110	cluster_0	interior=â€”	-0.269
Row111	cluster_0	Car id (to number)	-0
Row112	cluster_0	make (to number)	-0.001
Row113	cluster_0	model (to number)	0
Row114	cluster_0	trim (to number)	0
Row115	cluster_0	body (to number)	-0.032
Row116	cluster_0	transmission (to number)	-0.076
Row117	cluster_0	state (to number)	-0.02
Row118	cluster_0	color (to number)	0.049
Row119	cluster_0	interior (to number)	-0.031
Row120	cluster_0	seller (to number)	0
Row121	cluster_0	Clusters (to number)	-72.932
Row122	cluster_0	odometer	0.625
Row123	cluster_0	mmr	-0.346
Row124	cluster_0	sellinaprice	0.312

Row215	cluster_1	color=silver	-0.018
Row216	cluster_1	color=turquoise	-0.013
Row217	cluster_1	color=white	0.694
Row218	cluster_1	color=yellow	0.289
Row219	cluster_1	color=â€”	-1.035
Row220	cluster_1	interior=black	-0.671
Row221	cluster_1	interior=blue	0.432
Row222	cluster_1	interior=brown	-0.99
Row223	cluster_1	interior=burgundy	-0.04
Row224	cluster_1	interior=gold	0.107
Row225	cluster_1	interior=gray	-0.546
Row226	cluster_1	interior=green	-0.007
Row227	cluster_1	interior=off-white	-0.055
Row228	cluster_1	interior=orange	-0.119
Row229	cluster_1	interior=purple	0.06
Row230	cluster_1	interior=red	0.147
Row231	cluster_1	interior=silver	-0.093
Row232	cluster_1	interior=tan	0.213
Row233	cluster_1	interior=white	0.246
Row234	cluster_1	interior=yellow	0.032
Row235	cluster_1	interior=â€”	-0.645
Row236	cluster_1	Car id (to number)	-0
Row237	cluster_1	make (to number)	-0.015
Row238	cluster_1	model (to number)	-0
Row239	cluster_1	trim (to number)	0
Row240	cluster_1	body (to number)	0.058
Row241	cluster_1	transmission (to number)	-0.187
Row242	cluster_1	state (to number)	0.008
Row243	cluster_1	color (to number)	0.152
Row244	cluster_1	interior (to number)	-0.13
Row245	cluster_1	seller (to number)	0
Row246	cluster_1	Clusters (to number)	-149.664
Row247	cluster_1	odometer	0.943
Row248	cluster_1	mmr	-0.192
Row249	cluster_1	sellingprice	-0.21

Row141	cluster_1	state=fl	-0.138
Row142	cluster_1	state=ga	-0.067
Row143	cluster_1	state=hi	-0.046
Row144	cluster_1	state=il	0.104
Row145	cluster_1	state=in	0.474
Row146	cluster_1	state=la	0.234
Row147	cluster_1	state=ma	0.046
Row148	cluster_1	state=md	-0.619
Row149	cluster_1	state=mi	-0.303
Row150	cluster_1	state=mn	-0.412
Row151	cluster_1	state=mo	0.272
Row152	cluster_1	state=ms	0.154
Row153	cluster_1	state=nc	-0.165
Row154	cluster_1	state=ne	-0.44
Row155	cluster_1	state=nj	0.68

**Cluster\_2** was used as the reference category

**Cluster\_0** which represent car with maker Ford, model Altima and sedan body

We observe that state, color, interior and transmission are most significant variables in cluster 0.

Identity of cluster 1: Customers who are family-oriented, seeking vehicles that offer ample space and versatility for various activities and lifestyles, also have interest in features that enhance convenience and comfort.

**Variables like make, body, trim, selling price, model have no significant impact in distinguishing cluster 1 and cluster 0 from cluster 2.**

The overall accuracy of the logistic regression model is very high at 100 and it effectively predicts the cluster labels for the majority of instances. Additionally, the Cohen's Kappa coefficient suggests substantial agreement beyond chance among the predicted and actual cluster labels.

**K=7**

[illegible][illegible]

**K=19**

Row ID	cluster_1	cluster_0	cluster_2
cluster_1	11347	3337	3211
cluster_0	274	7528	2
cluster_2	303	3	7526

Row ID	TruePo...	FalsePo...	TrueNe...	FalseN...	Recall	Precision	Sensitivity	Specificity	F-meas...	Accuracy	Cohen's...
cluster_1	11347	577	15059	6548	0.634	0.952	0.634	0.963	0.761	?	?
cluster_0	7528	3340	22387	276	0.965	0.693	0.965	0.87	0.806	?	?
cluster_2	7526	3213	22486	306	0.961	0.701	0.961	0.875	0.811	?	?
Overall	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	0.787	0.678

Similarly, we have applied k nearest neighbour for K=11, 13,15,17 and observed that –

In KNN, the number of neighbours to be considered are from k=7 to 19. From the images, it is seen that as the number of k increases the accuracy also increases. For k=19, as the accuracy is the highest from all the other k's, this cluster will be considered.

The overall accuracy of the KNN model is moderate showing mixed performance across different clusters. Cohen's Kappa coefficient also suggests moderate agreement beyond chance among the predicted and actual cluster labels.

## Support Vector Machines

Row ID	cluster_1	cluster_0	cluster_2
cluster_1	11970	3029	2896
cluster_0	981	6820	3
cluster_2	927	2	6903

Row ID	TruePo...	FalsePo...	TrueNe...	FalseN...	Recall	Precision	Sensitivity	Specificity	F-meas...	Accuracy	Cohen's...
cluster_1	11970	1908	13728	5925	0.669	0.863	0.669	0.878	0.753	?	?
cluster_0	6820	3031	22696	984	0.874	0.692	0.874	0.882	0.773	?	?
cluster_2	6903	2899	22800	929	0.881	0.704	0.881	0.887	0.783	?	?
Overall	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	0.766	0.636

The overall performance of the SVM model is very poor with extremely low recall, precision and accuracy metrics.

### 3.2.3.1. Variable or Feature Analysis for Decision Tree

#### 3.2.3.1.1. List of Relevant or Important Variables.

In the decision tree analysis, we see that these were the important variables that contributed in the supervised learning algorithm which are: -

Transmission, color, interior, state, make, body and model.

#### 3.2.3.1.2. List of Non-Relevant or Non-Important Variables

In the decision tree analysis, we see that these were the non-important variables that did not contribute in the supervised learning algorithm which are: -

Car\_id, odometer, vin, saledate, selling price , condition and trim.

### 3.2.3.2. Variable or Feature Analysis for Logistic Regression, K-Nearest Neighbour and Support Vector Machine

#### 3.2.3.2.1. List of Relevant Variables

We have observed that state, color, interior and transmission are most significant variables in cluster 0.

#### 3.2.3.2.2. List of Non-Important Variables

Car\_id, odometer, vin, saledate, condition and trim are insignificant variables.

The above variables have value of  $p > 0.05$  which suggests potentially negligible impact on loan outcomes.

## 4. Results and Observations

### 4.1. Comparing Supervised Learning models: Decision Tree VS Logistic Regression, KNN and SVM

#### Decision tree

File Hilite

Clusters \ ...	cluster_1	cluster_0	cluster_2	
cluster_1	17895	0	0	
cluster_0	0	7804	0	
cluster_2	0	0	7832	

Correct classified: 33,531

Wrong classified: 0

Accuracy: 100%

Error: 0%

Cohen's kappa ( $\kappa$ ): 1%

#### Logistic Regression

Clusters \ ...	cluster_1	cluster_0	cluster_2
cluster_1	11930	0	0
cluster_0	0	5202	0
cluster_2	0	0	5222

Correct classified: 22,354

Wrong classified: 0

Accuracy: 100%

Error: 0%

Cohen's kappa ( $\kappa$ ): 1%

#### KNN

K=19

Clusters \ ...	cluster_1	cluster_0	cluster_2	
cluster_1	11347	3337	3211	
cluster_0	274	7528	2	
cluster_2	303	3	7526	
Correct classified: 26,401      Wrong classified: 7,130				
Accuracy: 78.736%      Error: 21.264%				
Cohen's kappa ( $\kappa$ ): 0.678%				

## SVM

Clusters \ ...	cluster_1	cluster_0	cluster_2	
cluster_1	11970	3029	2896	
cluster_0	981	6820	3	
cluster_2	927	2	6903	
Correct classified: 25,693      Wrong classified: 7,838				
Accuracy: 76.625%      Error: 23.375%				
Cohen's kappa ( $\kappa$ ): 0.636%				

## 5. Managerial Insights

### 5.1. Appropriate Model

Metrics	Decision Tree	Logistic Regression	KNN	SVM
Accuracy (in %)	100%	100%	78.74%	76.63%

The decision tree and logistic regression has the highest accuracy (100%). KNN and SVM have significantly lower accuracies of 78.74% and 76.63% respectively.

Decision tree provides the highest accuracy of all the models according to the data and will be the appropriate model for the customer classification. Decision tree is able to handle both numerical and categorical which does benefit in this data as the data contains a combination of variables which are categorical and continuous in nature.

### Managerial insights according to the appropriate model (Decision Tree)

Managerial insights according to the Decision Tree model for car prices:

**Market differentiation:** The model could identify distinct customer segments based on preferences for body style (sedan vs. SUV) and manufacturer (Ford vs. Honda vs. Chevrolet). This can inform targeted marketing campaigns for each cluster.

**Pricing strategy:** The decision tree can help establish price ranges based on the clusters. For instance, Ford Altima sedans (cluster 0) might have a different pricing strategy compared to Honda Camry SUVs (cluster 1).



**Inventory management:** The model can help predict demand for specific car types (clusters). This can guide decisions on stocking the right car models and trims to meet customer preferences.

**Sales strategy:** Insights from the model can help tailor sales approaches to different customer segments. For example, targeting features like fuel efficiency for Honda CR-V buyers (if present in the data) might be more effective than for Chevrolet F-150 buyers (cluster 2) who might prioritize power.

## **5.2. Relevant or Important Variables or Features**

The relevant or important variables that are used in the decision tree supervised learning algorithm are: -

State

Color

Interior

Transmission

Selling Price

Make

Model