Solution - Sheet 03

Jaya Bharatam 235291

Agatha Anna Baby 235293 Nishant Madan 230370 Amrutha Manoharan 236892

4/21/2022

```
library(nlme)
load("SimulatedTreatmentEffect-3pLL.RData")
```

```
##Part a)
##In 3pLL model the first parameter theta_1=0 for the entire dataset.
model.3pLL.001 <-
gnls(
    resp ~ (0 + (th4-0) / (1 + (exp((conc - th2) * th3)))),
    data = conc.resp.df,
    params = list(th2 + th3 + th4 ~ 1),
    start = c(1, 2, 100),
    control = gnlsControl(nlsTol = 0.1)
)
model.3pLL.001</pre>
```

```
## Generalized nonlinear least squares fit
##
     Model: resp ~ (0 + (th4 - 0)/(1 + (exp((conc - th2) * th3))))
##
     Data: conc.resp.df
     Log-likelihood: -228.6547
##
##
## Coefficients:
##
         th2
                   th3
                             th4
##
    0.959338 2.305889 99.081280
##
## Degrees of freedom: 68 total; 65 residual
## Residual standard error: 7.143413
```

The lower asymptote, θ_1 , is 0 and the upper asymptote, θ_4 is 99.081280, which is nearly close to 100. At θ_2 =0.959338, we got the half-maximal response which is (0.959338+99.081280)/2 = 50.02. The parameter θ_3 =2.305889 > 0, which signifies a decreasing profile for increasing concentrations.

```
##Part b)
##In 3pLL model the first parameter theta_11,theta_12,theta_13=0 for the entire dataset.
dum1 <- ifelse(conc.resp.df$treat == "T1", 1, 0)
dum2 <- ifelse(conc.resp.df$treat == "T2", 1, 0)
dum3 <- ifelse(conc.resp.df$treat == "T3", 1, 0)

model.3pLL.002 <- gnls(
    resp ~ th4 * dum3 +</pre>
```

```
(0 + (th4-0) / (1 + (exp((conc - th2) * th3)))),
data = conc.resp.df,
params = list(th2 + th3 + th4 ~ 1),
start = c(1, 2, 100),
control = gnlsControl(nlsTol = 0.1)
)
model.3pLL.002
```

```
## Generalized nonlinear least squares fit
     Model: resp \sim th4 * dum3 + (0 + (th4 - 0)/(1 + (exp((conc - th2) * th3))))
##
##
     Data: conc.resp.df
##
     Log-likelihood: -300.7103
##
## Coefficients:
##
         th2
                   th3
                              t.h4
    1.271901 3.373962 80.102577
##
## Degrees of freedom: 68 total; 65 residual
## Residual standard error: 20.61115
```

The lower asymptote, θ_1 , is 0 and the upper asymptote, θ_4 is 80.102577, which is actually not really close to 100. At θ_2 =1.271901, we got the half-maximal response which is (1.271901+80.102577)/2 = 40.69. The parameter θ_3 =3.373962 > 0, which signifies a decreasing profile for each increasing concentrations.

```
## Generalized nonlinear least squares fit
                                                                                            (0 + (th4 - 0)/(1 - th4 - 0))
##
     Model: resp \sim (0 + (th4 - 0)/(1 + (exp((conc - th21) * th31)))) * (dum1) +
##
     Data: conc.resp.df
     Log-likelihood: -313.5675
##
##
## Coefficients:
##
         th21
                     th22
                                 t.h31
                                             t.h32
                                                           t.h4
    0.7304149 1.1886932 2.3739178 2.4848554 99.2201308
##
```

The model use parameter θ_4 is shared across all three treatments and, θ_2 and θ_3 are estimated separately for the first and the second treatment. Lower asymptote θ_1 is zero. $\theta_2 1$ 0.730, $\theta_2 2$ 1.189 and the upper asymptote is 99.22, which is nearly close to 100. The parameter θ_{31} =2.3477416 > 0 and θ_{32} =2.4848554 > 0, a higher value for the slope parameter, demonstrates a steeper decrease of the curve.

##

Degrees of freedom: 68 total; 63 residual

Residual standard error: 25.29317

```
#Part d)
anova(model.3pLL.001, model.3pLL.002)
```

```
## Model df AIC BIC logLik
## model.3pLL.001 1 4 465.3094 474.1874 -228.6547
## model.3pLL.002 2 4 609.4207 618.2987 -300.7103
```

Both AIC and BIC value increases after adding the treatment 3 in the second model, which further increases p-value but not enough to cross the significance level of 5%, hence we can clearly reject the null hypothesis that both models are equivalent. This indicates that the second model has a significantly better fit and thus, we can difference between all the three treatment groups can be easily seen.

$$f(w) = y = 0_{1} + \frac{\theta_{11} - \theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((x - \theta_{2})\theta_{3})}$$
a) Calculate slope of upll modul at consent obtain $\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{1}}$.

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(w) = \frac{(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1})(\exp((x - \theta_{2})\theta_{3})) \cdot (-\theta_{3})}{[1 + \exp((x - \theta_{2})\theta_{3})]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(w) = \frac{(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1})(\exp((\theta_{2} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})) \cdot (-\theta_{3})}{[1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$= \frac{\theta_{3}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1})(\exp((\theta_{2} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3}))}{[1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$= \frac{\theta_{3}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{1}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{2}) \cdot \theta_{3})}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{1}) \cdot \theta_{3})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp((\theta_{1} - \theta_{1})} = \frac{\theta_{1}}{1 + \exp$$

C) Show the equivalence of (significal) Eman model as defined in tecture, Define the parameters of the model in terms of parameter on, on or, by. we know, 4ple model, Tupu (x) = 01 + 04-01

1+ exp ((w-or) 03) Also, foigmential (x) = Fot x". Emax x"+ EDSO". For estimating parameters of model, let's assure w.log. by 791. Now, whe assume case 1:- 03 LO case 2:- 03 70. Case 1:- 03<0. TAPEN(N) = 01 + 04-01 Now, we know, for \$360, E0=01 n = -03 >0 [since 03 60] Now, we plug into equation 1), we get, faign (x) = $\theta_1 + (\theta_4 - \theta_1) \cdot \frac{\chi^{-\theta_3}}{\chi^{-\theta_3} + \theta_2^{-\theta_3}}$ Chinde by 20 both numerator $=\theta_1+\left(\theta_4-\theta_1\right)\cdot\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{2\nu}{\theta_1}\right)^{\theta_2}}$ and denominator). = (Figure (+).

Frank Hot Company

Analogously, Case II: 0,70. E0 = 01 Enap = 01-04 [since 03 70] n = 03 70 They these values in eg O, again we get 1 $f_{aym}(n) = out \frac{(o_1 - o_4)^{2} \times o_3}{(o_1 + o_2)^{3}}$ Now, divide both Nr. I dr. by 1003 $= 04 + \frac{(0_1 - 04)}{(1 + \frac{x^{0.5}}{4,03})}$ $= 0_{4} + \left(0_{1} - 0_{4}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\frac{\sqrt{0_{3}}}{0_{2}^{0_{3}}} + 1}\right)$ $= 04 + 01 - 04 + (04 - 01) \frac{1}{(203 + 1)}$ $= 0_{1} + (0u-0_{1}) = f_{4}pu(x) = 0$ $1 + (20) = f_{4}pu(x) = 0$

K

Scanned with CamScanner

V.