

Consumer Confidence and Consumer Spending

Sydney C. Ludvigson

At least since the work of John Maynard Keynes, economists have pondered the ways in which consumer and investor sentiment—what Keynes (1936, Chapter 12) referred to as “animal spirits”—might influence the real economy. Today, the outcome of monthly consumer confidence surveys provides steady fodder for the business and financial press and is treated as an important piece of economic information. In the *New York Times* alone, more than 15 articles about consumer confidence and its potential impact on the economy appeared between July 2002 and June 2003. Consumer confidence is often cited by Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan as a key determinant of near-term economic growth (for example, Greenspan, 2002).

Despite the widespread attention given to surveys of consumer confidence, the mechanisms by which household attitudes influence the real economy are less well understood. Do consumer confidence surveys contain meaningful independent information about the economy, or do they simply repackage information already captured in other economic indicators? Do the surveys provide information about the future path of household spending, or do they reflect current or past events? Finally, do the surveys correspond neatly to any well-defined economic concept, or do they furnish only a nebulous barometer of household disposition?

This paper begins with an overview of how consumer confidence is measured and reported. It then evaluates what is known about the relationship between consumer attitudes and the real economy. The evidence suggests that the most popular survey measures do contain some information about the future path of

■ *Sydney C. Ludvigson is Assistant Professor of Economics, New York University, New York, New York, and Faculty Research Fellow, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts.*

aggregate consumer expenditure growth. However, much of that information can be found in other popular economic and financial indicators, and the independent information provided by consumer confidence predicts a relatively modest amount of additional variation in future consumer spending. Moreover, there is some evidence that consumer confidence surveys reflect expectations of income and non-stock market wealth growth, but evidence on the connection between these surveys and precautionary saving motives is mixed.

Measuring Consumer Attitudes: Survey Questions and Survey Components

The University of Michigan's Consumer Sentiment Index and the Conference Board's Consumer Confidence Index are the most widely followed measures of U.S. consumer confidence.¹ Although the financial markets and the business community closely follow both indexes, much published academic research focuses on the Michigan index—most likely because of its longer time series. The Michigan index began as an annual survey in the late 1940s. In 1952, it was converted to a quarterly survey and in 1978 to a monthly survey. The Conference Board launched its index on a bimonthly basis in 1967 and expanded it to a monthly series in 1977. Figure 1 presents the basic time series of the two indices, with periods of recession shown by shaded areas. The two indexes broadly measure the same concept—public confidence in the economy—but they are based on different questions and sometimes give conflicting signals. To interpret movements in these two series, it is important to understand some key differences in the specific questions that are asked as well as in sample size, survey methodology and index formulation.

Both the Conference Board and the University of Michigan base their overall index of consumer confidence on five questions that are part of a broader survey of consumer attitudes and expectations, shown in Exhibit 1. In both of the surveys, each of the five questions is given equal weight in the overall consumer confidence index. In addition to the overall index, both organizations report two component indexes: a present situation component and an expectations component.


Present Situation Component

On each survey, two of the five questions ask respondents to assess present economic conditions. The Conference Board's present situation component takes a "snapshot" approach, asking respondents to evaluate current business conditions and job availability. Notice that the Conference Board survey asks specifically about

¹ The material in this section closely reflects the discussion in Bram and Ludvigson (1998).

Figure 1

Two Indexes of Consumer Attitudes



Sources: Conference Board; University of Michigan Survey Research Center.

Note: Shaded areas denote periods designated recessions by the National Bureau of Economic Research.

job prospects in the respondent's area. As a result, the Conference Board's present situation component closely tracks labor market conditions like the nation's unemployment rate and the growth in payroll employment. Michigan asks respondents to comment on the advisability of big-ticket household purchases and to assess changes in their own financial situation. Although this latter question is about the personal financial situation of the respondent, it does not directly ask about changes in the employment outlook. Thus, Michigan's present conditions component is less closely tied to labor market conditions and tends to reflect recent changes in the economy rather than the level of economic activity.

These differences are reflected in the cyclical behavior of the two component indexes. As shown in Figure 2, Michigan's present conditions component generally peaks in the early stages of economic recovery, when growth is high. By contrast, the Conference Board's present situation component generally peaks in the late stages of economic expansion, when unemployment is low and the level of economic activity is high. The present conditions components of the two indexes are not closely correlated.

Expectations Component

The three questions that ask about consumers' expectations are fairly comparable in the two surveys. The Conference Board survey asks about expected changes in business conditions, job availability and respondents' income over the next six months. Because the Conference Board index includes a question about nominal income, it may overstate "confidence" during periods of high inflation. Michigan's poses questions on expected business conditions—both over the next year and over the next five years—and expected changes in the respondent's financial situation

*Exhibit 1***Component Questions of Consumer Confidence**


Five questions make up the confidence indexes reported by the University of Michigan and the Conference Board. Each set of questions is part of a broader monthly survey of consumer attitudes. The questions have been renumbered and reordered here, compared to the way in which they are asked, to facilitate comparisons between the surveys. Also, the two surveys use slightly different terminology for the index component based on the first two questions; the discussion here will adopt the term *present conditions* for both organizations.

<i>Michigan Survey</i>	<i>Conference Board Survey</i>
PRESENT CONDITIONS QUESTIONS	PRESENT CONDITIONS QUESTIONS
Q1) Do you think now is a good or bad time for people to buy major household items? [good time to buy/uncertain, depends/bad time to buy]	Q1) How would you rate present general business conditions in your area? [good/normal/bad]
Q2) Would you say that you (and your family living there) are better off or worse off financially than you were a year ago? [better/same/worse]	Q2) What would you say about available jobs in your area right now? [plentiful/not so many/hard to get]
EXPECTATIONS QUESTIONS	EXPECTATIONS QUESTIONS
Q3) Now turning to business conditions in the country as a whole—do you think that during the next twelve months, we'll have good times financially or bad times or what? [good times/uncertain/bad times]	Q3) Six months from now, do you think business conditions in your area will be [better/same/worse]?
Q4) Looking ahead, which would you say is more likely—that in the country as a whole we'll have continuous good times during the next five years or so or that we'll have periods of widespread unemployment or depression, or what? [good times/uncertain/bad times]	Q4) Six months from now, do you think there will be [more/same/fewer] jobs available in your area?
Q5) Now looking ahead—do you think that a year from now, you (and your family living there) will be better off financially, or worse off, or just about the same as now? [better/same/worse]	Q5) How would you guess your total family income to be six months from now? [higher/same/lower]

over the next year. This difference in time horizons appears to have little effect on response patterns and hence on index results.

The expectations components in the two surveys are highly correlated with each other, as shown in Figure 3. Moreover, Michigan's present conditions and expectations components are much more closely correlated than are the Conference Board's: the former exhibit a correlation of 0.82; the latter, a correlation of 0.40.


Figure 2

Present Conditions of Consumer Attitudes

Sources: Conference Board; University of Michigan Survey Research Center.

Note: Shaded areas denote periods designated recessions by the National Bureau of Economic Research.

Figure 3

Expectations Component of Consumer Attitudes

Sources: Conference Board; University of Michigan Survey Research Center.

Note: Shaded areas denote periods designated recessions by the National Bureau of Economic Research.

Sample Size and Survey Procedures

The most important methodological differences between the two surveys concern sample size, which affects sampling error and, thus, reliability, and index construction, which affects the range of movement in the indexes. The survey timing and release schedules also differ, which is an especially relevant consideration when conducting real-time analysis.

Michigan conducts its survey by phone throughout most of the month. Its sample size is approximately 500; a preliminary mid-month release is based on about two-thirds of the full sample phone interviews conducted early in the month. Final figures for the full sample are subsequently made available at the end of the month and are not subject to further revision. The Conference Board sends out a

mail survey at the end of the prior month, and responses flow in throughout the survey month. The effective sample size is roughly 3,500 (of a total mailing of 5,000). This sample of 5,000 is drawn from an original sample in which respondents agree to do the interviews. On the last Tuesday of the survey month, the Conference Board formally releases its preliminary figures based on about 2,500 responses. Final, revised data based on the full monthly sample are released with the next month's preliminary figures and are not subject to further revision. Thus, Michigan's preliminary figures are available earlier than the Conference Board's.

Both surveys may be affected by sampling problems. The Michigan index is based on a relatively small sample size of roughly 500, with the preliminary mid-month release only two-thirds of that. This small sample size undoubtedly leads to noisy estimates of the population values. The larger the sample size the smaller the sampling error and therefore the narrower the statistical confidence interval for the estimated consumer confidence index. Curtin (2002) computes quantitative estimates of how much sampling error could be reduced by increasing the sample size of the Michigan survey. He concludes that tripling the sample from 500 interviews to 1,500 would reduce the 95 percent confidence interval for the Index of Consumer Sentiment from ± 3.3 index points to ± 1.9 index points, which is a sizable reduction. On the other hand, Curtin (2002) also reports that the preliminary survey results based on two-thirds of the full Michigan sample are very accurate, having a correlation of 0.99 with the final estimate.

The Conference Board survey has a larger sample, but—unlike the Michigan index—the overall response rate is unknown because only the response rate of households that previously agreed to participate in the survey is reported. This procedure makes it difficult to assess the representativeness of the Conference Board sample. A representative sample requires assigning each sample element a known probability of selection and on the absence of nonresponse bias. For the Michigan survey, Curtin, Presser and Singer (2000) report that the response rate ranged from a high of 72 percent to a low of 67 percent between 1979 and 1996, averaging about 70 percent; Curtin, Presser and Singer (2003) find that since 1996, the Michigan index has experienced much more substantial reductions in the response rate. The authors note that access to technology designed to screen unwanted calls has skyrocketed in recent years, a factor that has likely increased the nonresponse error in both surveys. Both surveys are also subject to nonsampling sources of error including reporting, editing and processing errors.

Constructing the Indexes

The University of Michigan and the Conference Board use different methodologies to construct their indexes from the raw response data. The example in Table 1 illustrates how the Conference Board and Michigan would construct an index for one question using the same raw response data. For each index, the responses to the question can be categorized as positive, negative or neutral.

Table 1

Calculating the Two Indexes*(example: calculating index levels from raw response data)*

	<i>Base period</i>	<i>Prior month</i>	<i>Current month</i>
Percentages of responses			
Positive	25	30	24
Neutral	60	60	64
Negative	15	10	12
Indicator level			
Michigan diffusion measure	110.0	120	112.0
Michigan index	100.0	109.1	101.8
Conference Board			
Diffusion measure	62.5	75.0	66.7
Conference Board index	100.0	120.0	106.7

Hypothetical figures are shown for two months along with the base-period levels against which the indexes are benchmarked.

Michigan would first calculate a “diffusion measure” by adding the difference between the positive and negative percentages, plus 100. Thus, for example, the current month’s value is 112 ($100 + 24 - 12$), and the prior month’s level is 120 ($100 + 30 - 10$). Next, an index is constructed by dividing the level of diffusion measure by the base-period level of 110 and then multiplying by 100. In the example below, this calculation yields a value of 101.8 ($112 \div 110 \times 100$) for the current month, down from the prior month’s level of 109.1 ($120 \div 110 \times 100$).

Using the same raw responses, the Conference Board would calculate its diffusion measure by dividing the positive response percentage by the sum of the positive and negative response percentages. This procedure gives a value of 66.7 ($24 \div (24 + 12) \times 100$) for the current month and 75 ($30 \div (30 + 10) \times 100$) for the prior month. Next, the index is calculated to be 106.7 ($66.7 \div 62.5 \times 100$) in the current month, down from a level of 120 ($75.0 \div 62.5 \times 100$) in the prior month—a drop of 13.3 points.

How are the question-level indexes aggregated to obtain an overall index? Michigan first averages the diffusion indexes into a composite diffusion index and then converts the results to a base-period index. Conversely, the Conference Board converts each diffusion index to a base-year index and then averages the indexes together.² Because the Conference Board and Michigan use different base periods—1985 and 1966:Q1, respectively—the response patterns on which the indexes

² Because the Conference Board’s diffusion measures are converted into base-year indexes before they are averaged arithmetically, a given question’s effective weight in the index is influenced by the selection of the base year. In theory, the choice of the base year could affect the magnitude and even the direction of change in the index. (The resulting problems are similar to those associated with the old fixed-base-year GDP deflator.) In practice, however, this feature has no discernible effect on the Conference

are based may differ. As a result, the index *levels* of the two surveys are not comparable.

As a result of these methodological differences, the Conference Board's overall index and component measures have a wider range of movement than Michigan's; as the hypothetical example illustrates, identical shifts in the underlying responses tend to produce significantly larger moves in the Conference Board's indexes than in Michigan's. A good rule of thumb is that a one-point move in Michigan's index is comparable to a two-point move in the Conference Board index. Because of differences in survey methodology, index construction and base year, index levels are not comparable; monthly changes must be compared on a standardized basis rather than in absolute terms. On a standardized basis, the Conference Board's index is significantly less volatile than Michigan's index.

Interpretation of the Indexes

Two of the most common dilemmas in relying on consumer confidence as an economic indicator are whether to focus on index-level or month-to-month changes and whether to focus on the present conditions or the expectations component. For the Conference Board index, it is particularly useful to examine the present condition and expectations components individually. The level of the present conditions component serves as a good proxy for the *level* of economic activity, while the expectations component is more closely correlated with the *rate* of economic growth. For example, the present conditions component has a negative correlation of 0.88 with the unemployment rate, whereas the expectations component has a correlation with a four-quarter percent change in GDP growth of almost 0.60. On Michigan's survey, both components are closely correlated and in general serve as an indicator of the pace of economic growth.

Do Consumer Confidence Surveys Predict Consumption?

It would hardly be surprising to find that confidence surveys reflect the *current* state of the economy. After all, most households should be aware of recent changes in their own economic situation. A more interesting question is whether the surveys provide information about the *future* path of household spending that is not already contained in other popular economic indicators. We begin by presenting regressions that forecast consumer expenditure using consumer confidence, a baseline set of economic indicators, and then combin-

Board's index. Also, the Conference Board's responses are seasonally adjusted, while Michigan's are not. However, the seasonal adjustment has little effect, because neither index exhibits much seasonality.

ing the two. We then discuss other research drawing connections between consumer confidence and consumption.

Regressions with Consumer Confidence and Consumption

Table 2 presents how well the consumer confidence surveys can forecast the growth of various categories of personal consumption expenditure. Following Bram and Ludvigson (1998), Table 2 measures the effect of consumer attitudes on five categories of household personal consumption expenditure: total expenditure, motor vehicle expenditure, expenditure on all goods (excluding motor vehicles), expenditure on services and expenditure on durable goods excluding motor vehicles. The data, from the Bureau of Economic Analysis, span the period from the first quarter of 1968 to the fourth quarter of 2002; that is, the largest possible sample for which both indexes are available.

The independent variables included lags of consumer confidence over the previous four quarters. I do not report results for the present situation component because preliminary tests indicated that the expectations component of both indexes typically exhibited greater forecasting power. Previous research suggests that consumer sentiment may be linked to economic indicators largely because of unusually volatile movements in consumer attitudes during the Persian Gulf War and the 1990–1991 recession (for example, Leeper, 1992). To control for this possibility, we also included a dummy variable set equal to one in the quarters corresponding to the 1990–1991 recession.

For each category of consumption, Table 2 presents the adjusted R-squared statistic from a regression of consumption growth on the confidence measures. The *p*-values for the joint marginal significance of the lags of each variable, which appear in parentheses, give the probability that the explanatory variable can be excluded from the forecasting equation.³ When the *p*-values are very low, the variables are statistically significant predictors of consumption growth.

Table 2 shows that measures of consumer attitudes on their own have both statistically and economically significant predictive power for quarterly consumption growth, in a variety of expenditure categories. Lagged values of both the Conference Board and Michigan overall index explain about 15 percent of the one-quarter-ahead variation in total personal consumption expenditure growth. The probability that this explanatory power was generated by chance is very small,

³ The growth in spending on durable goods may be positively autocorrelated, with the error term following a first-order moving-average process (Mankiw, 1982). Such first-order autocorrelation in the error term could cause the error term to be correlated with the one-period-lagged endogenous variable, a condition that could skew in-sample statistical tests of the joint marginal significance of the explanatory variables (the reported *p*-values). To address this problem, I explicitly model the error term, as an MA(1) process in the in-sample regressions.

Table 2

Forecasts of Consumption Growth by Consumer Confidence Indicators

<i>Real personal consumption expenditure</i>	<i>Michigan index</i>	<i>Conference Board index</i>	<i>Both</i>
	Overall index		
Total	0.147	0.150	0.197
Conference Board	—	(0.008)	(0.082)
Michigan	(0.002)	—	(0.040)
Motor vehicles	0.021	0.036	0.052
Conference Board	—	(0.109)	(0.036)
Michigan	(0.066)	—	(0.067)
Goods, excluding motor vehicles	0.196	0.133	0.211
Conference Board	—	(0.011)	(0.208)
Michigan	(0.001)	—	(0.003)
Services	0.096	0.137	0.137
Conference Board	—	(0.007)	(0.008)
Michigan	(0.010)	—	(0.150)
Durables, excluding motor vehicles	0.174	0.084	0.231
Conference Board	—	(0.016)	(0.002)
Michigan	(0.000)	—	(0.000)
	Expectations component		
Total	0.158	0.211	0.200
Conference Board	—	(0.000)	(0.069)
Michigan	(0.002)	—	(0.593)
Motor vehicles	0.023	0.057	0.040
Conference Board	—	(0.006)	(0.054)
Michigan	(0.040)	—	(0.453)
Goods, excluding motor vehicles	0.193	0.157	0.186
Conference Board	—	(0.024)	(0.817)
Michigan	(0.002)	—	(0.016)
Services	0.098	0.207	0.197
Conference Board	—	(0.000)	(0.000)
Michigan	(0.006)	—	(0.709)
Durables, excluding motor vehicles	0.153	0.081	0.146
Conference Board	—	(0.138)	(0.526)
Michigan	(0.001)	—	(0.006)

Source: Author's calculation.

Notes: The table reports the adjusted R^2 statistic from the forecast of consumption growth with four lags of the confidence measures and a dummy variable for the 1990–1991 recession; p -values for the joint marginal significance of the lags of the confidence measures appear in parentheses. Hypothesis tests were conducted using a heteroskedasticity and serial correlation robust covariance matrix. The sample covers the period from the first quarter of 1968 to the fourth quarter of 2002.

less than 1 percent. The expectations component of each index exhibits even more predictive power. For example, the Conference Board's expectations component explains more than 20 percent of the variation in next quarter's total consumer expenditure growth; similarly, Michigan's expectation's component explains 19 percent of the variation in next quarter's expenditures on goods (excluding

motor vehicles). Thus, the evidence from in-sample regressions suggests that measures of consumer confidence—taken alone—have important predictive power for quarterly consumer expenditure growth. The next question is whether confidence measures contain predictive information that is not already contained in a standard set of baseline economic indicators.

Here, I use the same baseline indicators that are common in previous work on the predictive power of consumer confidence surveys, like Carroll, Fuhrer and Wilcox (1994) and Bram and Ludvigson (1998). The baseline indicators are lagged values of the dependent variable, labor income growth, the (log) first difference of the real stock price and the first difference of the three-month Treasury bill rate. Labor income is defined as wages and salaries plus transfers minus personal contributions for social insurance, as it appears in the quarterly components from the Department of Commerce's National Income and Product Accounts. Stock prices are quarterly averages based on the Standard and Poor's 500. The interest rate is the quarterly average based on the three-month Treasury bill rate, reported monthly by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Nominal labor income and the Standard and Poor's 500 index are deflated by the personal consumption expenditure implicit price deflator, as reported quarterly in the National Income and Product Accounts. Four lags of each of these variables are used in the benchmark regression.

The stock price and Treasury bill rate data are included because other researchers have argued that the information contained in consumer survey indicators should be assessed relative to that contained in financial indicators. For example, Leeper (1992) argues that consumer sentiment may have predictive power for spending because consumer surveys are made available on a more timely basis than other economic indicators such as income and consumption data. However, he notes that financial market indicators are available on an almost continuous basis and may contain much of the same information captured by consumer sentiment. Indeed, Leeper finds that consumer attitudes are only weakly correlated with variables such as unemployment and industrial production once financial indicators are included.

Table 3 reports the results of this baseline model, without consumer confidence indicators. For each category of consumption, the table presents the sum of the coefficients on the lags of each baseline variable and *p*-values for the joint marginal significance of the lags of each variable in parentheses. The sum of the coefficients on the four lags of each variable estimates the long-run effect of the variable on consumption growth. The long-run impact of most variables has the expected sign. Consumption growth is positively related to lagged consumption growth in every category of consumer expenditure studied, while lagged interest rates have a small negative effect on future consumption. Interestingly, the inclusion of the consumption and interest rate variables appears to reduce the statistical significance of the income and stock market variables in forecasting consumer expenditure growth on services, durable goods, excluding motor vehicles, and all goods, excluding motor vehicles.

To determine whether consumer sentiment contains additional information about future consumer spending, a measure of consumer confidence can be added

Table 3

Baseline Forecast of Consumption Growth

<i>Predicted variables</i>	<i>Four lags of consumption</i>	<i>Four lags of income</i>	<i>Four lags of Treasury Bill rate</i>	<i>Four lags of S&P 500</i>	\bar{R}^2
Total	0.76 (0.000)	0.02 (0.37)	-0.003 (0.002)	0.002 (0.01)	0.31
Motor vehicles	0.56 (0.02)	0.15 (0.62)	-0.021 (0.022)	0.008 (0.007)	0.26
Goods, excluding motor vehicles	0.55 (0.003)	0.15 (0.66)	-0.004 (0.027)	0.015 (0.37)	0.23
Services	0.97 (0.000)	0.01 (0.047)	-0.0004 (0.000)	-0.004 (0.21)	0.40
Durable goods, excluding motor vehicles	0.79 (0.000)	0.21 (0.86)	-0.007 (0.000)	0.02 (0.24)	0.25

Source: Author's calculations.

Notes: The table reports the sum of the coefficients on the lags of the variable indicated; the probability that the variable can be excluded from the prediction equation appears in parentheses. Hypothesis tests were conducted using a heteroskedasticity and serial correlation robust covariance matrix. The column labeled " \bar{R}^2 " reports the adjusted R^2 statistic for each regression. The sample covers the period from the first quarter of 1968 to the fourth quarter of 2002. S&P = Standard and Poor's.

to the baseline regression in Table 3. Consumer confidence is measured by either the Michigan or the Conference Board overall index, or the expectations component of each overall index. As before, I do not report results for the present situation component, but a dummy variable for the 1990–1991 recession is included in the regression. Table 4 presents forecasting results from adding four lags of one of these consumer confidence measures to the baseline set of predictive variables for consumption growth. The table reports the *increment* to the adjusted R^2 that results from augmenting the baseline regression to include each of the attitudinal indicators. For example, if the increment to the adjusted R^2 from adding the four lags of some measure of confidence is X percent, the confidence augmented regression predicts about X percent more of the variation in the next quarter's consumption growth than do the baseline predictive indicators. Again, the probability that the confidence indexes can be excluded from the forecasting equation appears in parentheses.

The results indicate that both the Michigan and Conference Board overall indexes have modest incremental forecasting power for total personal consumer expenditure growth. For the Michigan survey, the lagged values of consumer sentiment increase the adjusted R^2 index by 5 percent, while adding the last four quarters of data from the Conference Board's overall confidence index to the baseline equation predicts an additional 7 percent of the variation in the next quarter's consumption growth. Including both indexes in the set of baseline indicators allows the regression to explain an additional 10 percent of the variation

Table 4

Forecast of Consumption Growth, Augmented by Consumer Confidence Indicators

<i>Real personal consumption expenditure</i>	<i>Michigan index</i>	<i>Conference Board index</i>	<i>Both</i>
	Overall index		
Total	0.05	0.07	0.10
Conference Board	—	(0.004)	(0.013)
Michigan	(0.012)	—	(0.026)
Motor vehicles	−0.05	−0.06	0.09
Conference Board	—	(0.114)	(0.001)
Michigan	(0.008)	—	(0.007)
Goods, excluding motor vehicles	0.03	0.05	0.05
Conference Board	—	(0.028)	(0.046)
Michigan	(0.106)	—	(0.284)
Services	−0.10	−0.08	0.01
Conference Board	—	(0.242)	(0.000)
Michigan	(0.082)	—	(0.000)
Durables, excluding motor vehicles	0.02	0.04	0.03
Conference Board	—	(0.146)	(0.109)
Michigan	(0.092)	—	(0.462)
	Expectations component		
Total	0.03	0.05	0.06
Conference Board	—	(0.087)	(0.047)
Michigan	(0.046)	—	(0.201)
Motor vehicles	0.04	0.04	0.05
Conference Board	—	(0.044)	(0.102)
Michigan	(0.018)	—	(0.055)
Goods, excluding motor vehicles	0.01	0.06	0.06
Conference Board	—	(0.038)	(0.013)
Michigan	(0.257)	—	(0.177)
Services	−0.10	−0.09	0.02
Conference Board	—	(0.143)	(0.000)
Michigan	(0.117)	—	(0.001)
Durables, excluding motor vehicles	0.01	0.11	0.10
Conference Board	—	(0.233)	(0.024)
Michigan	(0.172)	—	(0.359)

Source: Author's calculations.

Notes: The table reports the increment to the adjusted R^2 statistic from adding four lags of the confidence measures; p -values for the joint marginal significance of the lags of the confidence measures appear in parentheses. Hypothesis tests were conducted using a heteroskedasticity and serial correlation robust covariance matrix. The sample covers the period from the first quarter of 1968 to the fourth quarter of 2002.

in next period's total personal consumer expenditure growth.⁴ The bottom half of Table 4 shows the predictive power of each index's measure of consumer expectations. Interestingly, the expectations index of each survey appears to have less forecasting power for total expenditure growth than does the overall index.

The results from the components of consumer spending are also mixed. For motor vehicle spending, the overall indexes taken individually actually weaken the predictive power of the baseline equation, but when *both* overall indexes are included, however, or when only the expectations component of either index is included, measures of consumer confidence have forecasting power for spending on motor vehicles. For spending on services and durable goods (excluding motor vehicles), lagged values of either Michigan's or the Conference Board's overall index or their expectations component generally add little explanatory power to the consumption growth regressions. For services spending growth, the incremental adjusted R^2 is negative when either the Michigan or the Conference Board overall index is included, suggesting that including lagged measures of consumer attitudes in the baseline model weakens its predictive capacity. The Conference Board index does help to forecast growth in the goods (excluding motor vehicles) category, but again the improvement is quite modest, although statistically significant. Neither the Michigan expectations component nor the Michigan overall index is a statistically significant marginal predictor of expenditure on goods (excluding motor vehicles), but when both the Michigan and Conference Board expectations component are included as predictive variables, the fraction of next quarter's expenditure growth that is explained rises by a fairly large 10 percent, with the Conference Board variables strongly statistically significant.

In summary, the results on the predictability of consumer attitudes for consumer spending are somewhat mixed. For total consumer expenditures, there is modest incremental information about the future path of spending in both the Michigan and Conference Board indexes, and including both surveys' measure of expectations delivers fairly strong predictability of expenditure growth on goods (excluding motor vehicles). For other expenditure categories, however, the results are generally weaker, and for some categories of expenditure the inclusion of confidence indicators actually weakens the statistical relation between contemporaneous indicators and future consumer spending.

Other Empirical Connections from Consumer Confidence to Consumption

The analysis above uses historical data on consumption and income. Historical data on consumption and income become available as advanced estimates only with

⁴ Although these regressions are the same as those carried out and reported in Bram and Ludvigson (1998), the results reported here differ because of the inclusion of new data. In a sample that ended in the third quarter of 1996, Bram and Ludvigson found that the Conference Board Index substantially outperformed the Michigan index in forecasting total consumer expenditures. Here, in data ending in the fourth quarter of 2002, the difference between the two indicators is found to be much more modest.

a one-month delay, and are subsequently revised twice over the following two months, with occasional “benchmark revisions” done approximately every five years. It thus leaves open questions about whether consumer confidence might be useful as a real-time predictor.⁵

Some researchers have used historical data to explore the possibility that consumer confidence measures may improve forecasts because observations on sentiment for the first month of the quarter are available to predict the advanced estimate of the full-quarter value of consumption. Howrey (2001) and Slacalek (2003) recognize that this timing advantage of consumer confidence data is a benefit to real-time forecasters who are often charged with predicting the actual releases of consumer spending data. For example, if one wanted to forecast the advanced quarterly estimate of consumer expenditure growth from the Bureau of Economic Analysis, available with a one-month delay, one could use the *contemporaneous* value of consumer confidence as a predictive variable, since it is available within the month. Slacalek (2003) finds that both measures of consumer confidence have statistically significant out-of-sample forecasting power for three-month consumption growth. Howrey (2001) also finds that the first-month value of Michigan’s Index of Consumer Sentiment is a statistically significant predictor of the full-quarter value of several categories of consumption growth, but once the values of personal consumption expenditure and disposable income for the first month of the current quarter are known, the statistical significance of the sentiment index disappears. Although this approach explicitly takes into account the timing advantage of consumer confidence indexes over other indicators in real-time-forecasting exercises, it still relies on historical data that has been revised, rather than real-time data.

To assess the real-time forecasting power of consumer surveys for consumption would require the construction of a real-time data set, a laborious task demanding tedious amalgamation of thousands of data points from the historical archives of data collection agencies. A real-time data set of several macroeconomic series has been amassed by researchers at the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia and by Dean Croushore at the University of Virginia, but no formal statistical study of the real-time forecasting power of consumer confidence indexes has yet been completed.⁶

It is natural to ask if surveys of consumer attitudes forecast the spending of households actually surveyed, rather than merely capturing the broad economic trends in aggregate consumption data. To address this question, Souleles (2003)

⁵ One can simulate the real-time forecasting process using historical data by performing out-of-sample forecasts of consumer expenditure growth. For example, one could estimate the forecasting model on an initial subset of the whole sample and then perform a series of one-step-ahead forecasts. Such out-of-sample procedures are subject to caveats, however, because they are known to be considerably less powerful than in-sample procedures at detecting true predictability (Inoue and Kilian, 2002).

⁶ However, Lovell (2001) points out that the preliminary data errors have likely decreased over time, implying that the historical gap between real time data and the most recent revisions will overstate the errors in future preliminary observations.

analyzed the household-level data that underlies the Michigan Index of Consumer Sentiment, a data set called the Michigan Survey of Consumer Attitudes and Behavior. The CAB contains the answers each household gave to the five questions that comprise the Michigan Index of Consumer Sentiment. To study how sentiment is related to spending, Souleles uses the most comprehensive household-level data set on consumer expenditures, the Consumer Expenditure Survey, which also contains a rich set of household demographic indicators. Souleles links the household-level sentiment data with consumer spending by imputing the sentiment levels of households who participated in the Consumer Expenditure Survey from demographically similar households who participated in the survey of Consumer Attitudes and Behavior. Using the imputed values of sentiment, Souleles finds that consumer confidence is useful in forecasting the one-quarter-ahead consumption of individual households, even controlling for lagged consumption growth, other household characteristics and several macroeconomic variables.

Finally, other consumer survey questions may play a role in forecasting household expenditure. Carroll and Dunn (1997) study the University of Michigan's Unemployment Expectations Index, constructed by forming the fraction of consumers surveyed who thought unemployment would rise over the next twelve months minus the fraction who thought unemployment would fall. Carroll and Dunn find that higher unemployment expectations predict lower consumer spending, even controlling for the movement in consumption that could be attributed to predictable movements in labor earnings.

What Economic Concept Does Consumer Confidence Measure?

Does the output of consumer confidence surveys correspond to any well-defined economic concept that would help explain the modest predictive power of consumer attitudes? This section considers two possible economic interpretations: that the indexes reflect precautionary savings motives or that the indexes capture household expectations of future income or wealth.

Consumer Confidence and Precautionary Saving

If higher consumer confidence levels capture reduced uncertainty about the future and therefore diminished the precautionary motive for saving, then higher consumer confidence should be associated with a higher level of consumption today, relative to tomorrow. All else equal, this means lower consumption *growth* going forward. Thus, if precautionary motives drive consumer sentiment, consumption growth measured from today to tomorrow should be negatively correlated with consumer sentiment today. This result is not what is found here, nor in previous studies like Carroll, Fuhrer and Wilcox (1994) or Bram and Ludvigson (1998). Instead, the sum of coefficients on the lagged consumer confidence measures in the forecasting regressions reported above is almost always greater than zero, indicating a positive rather than negative

correlation between sentiment and future spending growth. Thus, a simple model of precautionary saving cannot explain the sentiment-spending correlation documented above.

Interestingly, one empirical study using micro data finds the opposite result. Using the Michigan Survey of Consumer Attitudes and Behavior (CAB), the household-level data that underlies the Michigan Index of Consumer Sentiment, Souleles (2003) reports that higher confidence is correlated with less saving (lower consumption growth), consistent with precautionary motives. It's possible that the discrepancy between the micro-level and macro-level results is attributable to some sort of aggregation bias, but without a detailed study of the relation between the individual and aggregate survey responses, the possibility remains speculative.

Confidence and Expectations of Future Income or Wealth

Another interpretation of consumer confidence surveys is that they primarily capture household expectations of future income or wealth. Of course, under the general version of the permanent income hypothesis, consumption should change because of unexpected rises in permanent income. However, higher confidence levels could be related to future consumption growth if households are liquidity constrained so that greater income is closely tracked by greater consumption, or if some households follow a "rule-of-thumb" of consuming some fraction of their current income every period (Campbell and Mankiw, 1989).

To assess this interpretation, we should first investigate the possibility that confidence surveys forecast quarterly income and wealth growth. Table 5 reports the results of several forecasting regressions. The dependent variables are labor income growth (defined in the same way as in Table 2), the growth of wealth as measured by Federal Reserve data on stock market wealth or nonstock wealth;⁷ and the excess return on the Standard and Poor's 500 stock market index, measured as returns to the Standard and Poor's 500 index minus the three-month Treasury bill rate. The explanatory variables were four lags of either the Conference Board or Michigan measure of consumer confidence or consumer expectations, in each case controlling for lags in the dependent variable. Four lags each of the dependent variable were included in each regression.

As above, the table reports the increment to the adjusted R^2 statistic from

⁷ A complete description of the Federal Reserve wealth data may be found at (<http://www.federalreserve.gov/release/Z1/Current/>). Briefly, total wealth is household net worth in billions of current dollars, measured at the end of the period. Stock market wealth includes direct household holdings, mutual fund holdings, holdings of private and public pension plans, personal trusts and insurance companies. Nonstock wealth includes tangible/real estate wealth, nonstock financial assets (all deposits, open market paper, U.S. Treasuries and Agency securities, municipal securities, corporate and foreign bonds and mortgages) and also includes ownership of privately traded companies in noncorporate equity and other. Subtracted off are liabilities, including mortgage loans and loans made under home equity lines of credit and secured by junior liens, installment consumer debt and other.

Table 5

Forecast of Income Growth, Wealth Growth and Excess Return Augmented by Consumer Confidence Indicators

<i>Predicted variable</i>	<i>Michigan index</i>	<i>Conference Board index</i>	<i>Both</i>	\bar{R}^2
Overall index				
Income growth	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.11
Conference Board	—	(0.004)	(0.445)	
Michigan	(0.002)	—	(0.410)	
Stock wealth	0.004	0.03	0.04	−0.03
Conference Board	—	(0.175)	(0.071)	
Michigan	(0.302)	—	(0.337)	
Nonstock wealth	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.24
Conference Board	—	(0.050)	(0.413)	
Michigan	(0.081)	—	(0.300)	
Excess return	0.004	0.05	0.07	−0.01
Conference Board	—	(0.211)	(0.047)	
Michigan	(0.465)	—	(0.308)	
Excess return	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.07
Conference Board	—	(0.422)	(0.135)	
Michigan	(0.384)	—	(0.257)	
CAY	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Expectation component				
Income growth	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.11
Conference Board	—	(0.000)	(0.027)	
Michigan	(0.001)	—	(0.687)	
Stock wealth	0.02	0.01	0.02	−0.03
Conference Board	—	(0.132)	(0.108)	
Michigan	(0.163)	—	(0.120)	
Nonstock wealth	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.24
Conference Board	—	(0.006)	(0.240)	
Michigan	(0.035)	—	(0.183)	
Excess return	0.02	0.03	0.05	−0.01
Conference Board	—	(0.097)	(0.061)	
Michigan	(0.313)	—	(0.126)	
Excess return	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.07
Conference Board	—	(0.303)	(0.116)	
Michigan	(0.311)	—	(0.102)	
CAY	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.001)	

Source: Author's calculation.

Notes: The table reports the increment to the adjusted R^2 statistic from adding four lags of the confidence measures for income growth, stock and nonstock wealth growth and excess return; p -values for the joint marginal significance of the lags of the confidence measures and CAY appear in parentheses. Hypothesis tests were conducted using a heteroskedasticity and serial correlation robust covariance matrix. The column labeled " \bar{R}^2 " reports the adjusted R^2 statistic for the predictive regression excluding consumer confidence. The sample covers the period from the first quarter of 1968 to the fourth quarter of 2002.

including the lagged confidence measures to a regression of future income or wealth on lagged values of the dependent variable. The p -values for the joint marginal significance of the lags of each variable, which appear in parentheses, give the probability that the confidence measure can be excluded from the forecasting equation for income or wealth growth.

The results from Table 5 suggest that consumer confidence has some forecasting power for future labor income growth. The lagged values of both Michigan's measure of consumer sentiment and the Conference Board's measure of consumer confidence increase the adjusted R^2 index by 7 percent, and the statistical probability that these variables have no predictive power for labor income growth is estimated to be less than 1 percent. By contrast, neither measure on its own has statistically significant predictive power for stock market wealth growth. Nevertheless, some evidence exists that consumer attitudes lead the stock market. When both the Michigan and Conference Board index are included in a predictive regression for the one-quarter-ahead excess return on the Standard and Poor's 500 stock market index, the measures together explain about 7 percent of next quarter's stock return and lags of the Conference Board measure are jointly statistically significant at better than the 5 percent level.

This result is not, however, robust to the inclusion of a proxy for the log consumption-wealth ratio, denoted CAY, shown in the last row of each panel.⁸ CAY is equal to the log of nondurables and services consumption minus a linear combination of the log of labor income and the log of household asset wealth. This variable can be thought of as a proxy for the log consumption-aggregate wealth ratio, where aggregate wealth includes human capital. Labor income is included as a proxy for the trend movements in unobservable human capital, and the linear combination of log labor income and log asset wealth serves as a proxy for the trend movements in log aggregate wealth. CAY has been found to be a strong forecaster of quarterly excess returns on the aggregate stock market (Lettau and Ludvigson, 2001). In this regression, CAY drives out the sentiment variables, implying that whatever information is in sentiment about future stock returns is better captured by the consumption-wealth proxy CAY.

Finally, both measures of consumer attitudes have some forecasting power for non-stock market wealth (primarily housing and nonstock financial wealth). The Conference Board and the Michigan expectations component, for example, predict about 5 percent more of next quarter's fluctuations in non-stock market wealth growth.

In summary, the results from Table 5 suggest that consumer confidence has

⁸ The coefficients of the linear combination of log labor income and log asset wealth in CAY are estimated using a dynamic least squares procedure. See Lettau and Ludvigson (2001, 2004) for details. CAY is not included as a control in the nonstock wealth regressions because it has no forecasting power for future nonstock wealth (Lettau and Ludvigson, 2004).

modest but statistically significant predictive power for future labor income growth and non-stock market wealth growth. Can this predictive power explain the forecastability of consumption growth by measures of consumer attitudes? In other words, does consumer confidence matter for future consumption only because it predicts future income and wealth growth, which in turn determine future consumer spending growth?

For labor income, this question was addressed by Carroll, Fuhrer and Wilcox (1994). They used a two-stage approach. In the first stage, income and/or nonstock wealth growth can be predicted by lags of consumption growth, labor income growth, the Standard and Poor's 500 price index return, a Treasury bill rate *and* one of the consumer confidence measures studied above. The forecasted values of labor income and nonstock wealth growth are saved. In the second stage, measures of consumption growth are regressed on the forecasted values of labor income growth and/or nonstock wealth growth, as well as the same lagged values of consumer confidence that were used in the first stage. If the consumer confidence measures only matter for future consumption because they forecast future labor income or wealth growth, they should display no incremental predictive power for consumption growth in the second stage regression.

However, Carroll, Fuhrer and Wilcox find that the forecasting power of consumer confidence cannot be entirely attributed to its predictive power for labor income growth. I updated their data on labor income to the present, carried out their calculations and also carried out a parallel exercise using data on nonstock wealth. The general pattern is that consumer sentiment again has predictive power for consumption growth above and beyond its ability to forecast labor income and/or nonstock wealth. In short, the information about expenditures in consumer attitudes is largely independent of the information in the predictable movements of household's resources.

In summary, to the extent that measures of consumer attitudes have genuine forecasting power for consumption, the explanation for such a relation remains unclear. Measures of consumer confidence do forecast future changes in labor earnings and non-stock market wealth, but measures of consumer attitudes appear to be directly related to future consumption growth, not just indirectly through their predictive power for household income or wealth. Carroll, Fuhrer and Wilcox (1994) rule out other explanations for why sentiment forecasts aggregate spending growth, including a simple model of habit formation. The question of why consumer attitudes help predict future consumption growth remains a puzzle.

Concluding Remarks

The preceding investigation has focused only on the consumer spending-consumer confidence relation, but other researchers have explored the relation between consumer confidence and broader measures of economic activity. Mishkin (1978) focuses on the interrelation between household investment and

consumer sentiment. Matsusaka and Sbordone (1995) find a relation between the Michigan Index of Consumer Sentiment and GDP growth. Of course, the results in these papers and those presented here raise the question of whether confidence measures serve mainly as proxies for some other fundamental variable that contributes to business cycle fluctuations. The difficulty with assessing this concern is that we don't know what those other fundamentals might be. In assessing the incremental predictive power of consumer confidence measures, the best researchers can do is to rely on including, as control variables, those determinants of household spending that are both suggested by economic theory and empirically observable.

The discussion above has also focused on the question of whether consumer confidence forecasts consumption; much less research has been done on what causes movements in consumer confidence itself. For example, Fuhrer (1993) finds that 70 percent of the variation in Michigan's Index of Consumer Sentiment can be explained by variation in national income, the unemployment rate, inflation and real interest rates. Nevertheless, Fuhrer also finds that some of the movement in consumer attitudes cannot be explained with broad economic aggregates. It is possible that there are more complex, possibly nonlinear, interactions between consumer confidence and economic variables, such as the stock market or unemployment. More work, both theoretical and empirical, is needed to understand the simultaneous relation between household attitudes and household spending.

■ *I am grateful to the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the National Science Foundation and the C.V. Starr Center for Applied Economics (NYU) for financial support, to Jason Bram, Richard Curtin and Alan Krueger for helpful comments and to Jinyong Kim for excellent research assistance.*

References

- Bram, Jason and Sydney C. Ludvigson.** 1998. "Does Consumer Confidence Forecast Household Expenditure? A Sentiment Index Horse Race." *Federal Reserve Bank of New York: Economic Policy Review*. June, 4:2, pp. 59–78.
- Campbell, John Y. and N. Gregory Mankiw.** 1989. "Consumption, Income, and Interest Rates: Reinterpreting the Time Series Evidence," in *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*. Oliver Blanchard and Stanley Fischer, eds. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 185–216.
- Carroll, Christopher D. and Wendy E. Dunn.** 1997. "Unemployment Expectations, Jumping (S,s) Triggers, and Household Expectations," in *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*. Benjamin S. Bernanke and Julio Rotemberg, eds. Cambridge: MIT Press, pp. 165–229.
- Carroll, Christopher D., Jeffrey C. Fuhrer and David W. Wilcox.** 1994. "Does Consumer Sentiment Forecast Household Spending? If So Why?" *American Economic Review*. December, 84:5, pp. 1397–408.
- Curtin, Richard.** 2002. "Surveys of Consumers: Theory, Methods, and Interpretation." Paper written for the NABE 44th Annual Meeting, September 30.
- Curtin, Richard, Stanley Presser and Eleanor Singer.** 2000. "The Effects of Response Rate

Changes on the Index of Consumer Sentiment." *Public Opinion Quarterly*. 64:4, pp. 413–28.

Curtin, Richard, Stanley Presser and Eleanor Singer. 2003. "Recent Response Rate Changes on the Michigan Survey of Consumer Attitudes." Working paper, Survey Research Center, University of Michigan.

Fuhrer, Jeffrey C. 1993. "What Role Does Consumer Sentiment Play in the U.S. Macroeconomy?" *New England Economic Review*. January/February, pp. 32–44.

Greenspan, Alan. 2002. "Remarks to the Bay Area Council Conference." San Francisco, California, January 11; Available at <http://www.federalreserve.gov/boarddocs/speeches/2002/20020111/default.htm>.

Howrey, E. Philip. 2001. "The Predictive Power of the Index of Consumer Sentiment." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*. 1, pp. 175–216.

Inoue, Atsushi and Lutz Kilian. 2002. "In-Sample or Out-of-Sample Tests of Predictability: Which One Should We Use?" Working paper, University of Michigan.

Keynes, John Maynard. 1936. *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*. New York: Hartcourt, Brace.

Leeper, Eric M. 1992. "Consumer Attitudes: King for a Day." *Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta Economic Review*. July/August, 77:3, pp. 1–16.

Lettau, Martin and Sydney C. Ludvigson. 2001. "Consumption, Aggregate Wealth, and Expected Stock Returns." *Journal of Finance*. 56:3, pp. 815–49.

Lettau, Martin and Sydney C. Ludvigson. 2004. "Understanding Trend and Cycle in Asset Values: Reevaluating the Wealth Effect on Consumption." *American Economic Review*. Forthcoming.

Lovell, Michael C. 2001. "Discussion of 'The Predictive Power of the Index of Consumer Sentiment.'" *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*. 1, pp. 208–13.

Mankiw, Gregory. 1982. "Hall's Consumption Hypothesis and Durable Goods." *Journal of Monetary Economics*. 10:3, pp. 417–25.

Matsusaka, John G. and Argia M. Sbordone. 1995. "Consumer Confidence and Economic Fluctuations." *Economic Enquiry*. 33:2, pp. 296–318.

Mishkin, Frederic S. 1978. "Consumer Sentiment and Spending on Durable Goods." *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*. 1, pp. 217–32.

Slacalek, Jiri. 2003. "Forecasting Consumption." Working paper, Johns Hopkins University.

Souleles, Nicholas. 2003. "Expectations, Heterogeneous Forecast Errors, and Consumption: Micro Evidence From the Michigan Consumer Sentiment Surveys." *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*. Forthcoming.

This article has been cited by:

1. Nadine McCloud. 2024. What has inflation targeting done for household consumption?. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **95**, 103499. [[Crossref](#)]
2. João Ricardo Faria, Peter McAdam. 2024. The Janus model of money demand. *International Journal of Economic Theory* **20**:3, 334-351. [[Crossref](#)]
3. MARK J. GARMAISE, YARON LEVI, HANNO LUSTIG. 2024. Spending Less after (Seemingly) Bad News. *The Journal of Finance* **79**:4, 2429-2471. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Sangyup Choi, Jaehun Jeong, Dohyeon Park, Donghoon Yoo. 2024. News or animal spirits? Consumer confidence and economic activity: Redux. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **39**:5, 960-966. [[Crossref](#)]
5. Nick Pitas, Sharon Zou, Andrew Mowen. 2024. Direct Democracy and Funding for Public Park and Recreation Services: Consumer Confidence and Support for Greenspace Ballot Initiatives. *Leisure Sciences* **76**, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Hector H. Sandoval, Anita N. Walsh. 2024. Sentiments and spending intentions: Evidence from Florida. *Economic Inquiry* **62**:3, 1046-1073. [[Crossref](#)]
7. SARAH BROWN, MARK N. HARRIS, CHRISTOPHER SPENCER, KARL TAYLOR. 2024. Financial Expectations and Household Consumption: Does Middle-Inflation Matter?. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **56**:4, 741-768. [[Crossref](#)]
8. David A. Comerford. 2024. Response Bias in Survey Measures of Expectations: Evidence from the Survey of Consumer Expectations' Inflation Module. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **56**:4, 933-953. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Qi Wang, Xiong Xiong, Zhuoyi Yang, Yahui An, Xu Feng. 2024. Attention of women's liberation and investor herding behavior. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **93**, 520-544. [[Crossref](#)]
10. SOO-EUN KIM, JUN HO SEOK. 2024. HOW DOES U.S. REDUCE THE FOOD WASTE BY PREDICTING FOOD AWAY FROM HOME AND FOOD AT HOME?. *The Singapore Economic Review* **69**:03, 1195-1209. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Bingjie Liu-Lastres, Ignatius Cahyanto, Chase Edwards. 2024. Tourist confidence: a scale development, testing and application. *Consumer Behavior in Tourism and Hospitality* **19**:2, 177-188. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Dilip Kumar, Elie Bouri. 2024. Consumer Confidence, Uncertainties, and Risk of the UK Travel and Leisure Industry. *Tourism Analysis* **29**:2, 205-220. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Debasis Rooj, Anurag Banerjee, Reshmi Sengupta, Prasad Pathak. 2024. Shedding light on consumer sentiments: evidence from India. *Spatial Economic Analysis* **13253**, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Debasis Rooj, Anurag Banerjee, Reshmi Sengupta. 2024. Economic Policy Uncertainty and Household Consumer Confidence: Evidence from Indian Household Data. *The Indian Economic Journal* **104**. . [[Crossref](#)]
15. Partha Gangopadhyay, Narasingha Das, Satish Kumar, Tauhidul Islam Tanin. 2024. Information Warfare: Analyzing COVID-19 News and its Economic Fallout in the US. *Research in International Business and Finance* **45**, 102343. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Murat Akkaya. 2024. An Analysis of the Relationship between Business Confidence and Macroeconomic Factors: Türkiye Case. *Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* **26**:1, 140-152. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Didem Güneş, İbrahim Özkan, Lütfi Erden. 2024. Economic sentiment and foreign portfolio flows: Evidence from Türkiye. *Central Bank Review* **24**:1, 100147. [[Crossref](#)]

18. Mehmet Anıl KITKIT, Yunus GÜLCÜ. 2024. Türkiye’de Hane Halkı Tüketim Harcamalarının Belirleyicileri: Gecikmesi Dağıtılmış Otoregresif Modelden Kanıtlar. *Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Vizyoner Dergisi* **15**:41, 277-298. [[Crossref](#)]
19. Luis A. Gil-Alana, Emmanuel Joel Aikins Abakah, Nieves Carmona-González, Aviral Kumar Tiwari. 2024. Consumer sentiments across G7 and BRICS economies: Are they related?. *Journal of Economics and Finance* **141**. . [[Crossref](#)]
20. Emilia Madudova, Tatiana Corejova. 2024. The Issue of Measuring Household Consumption Expenditure. *Economies* **12**:1, 9. [[Crossref](#)]
21. Helmut Kraemer-Eis, Antonia Botsari, Frank Lang. Venture Capital Sentiment 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
22. Aram Balagyozyan. 2024. Animal-Spirits and Foresight Hypotheses of Consumer Sentiment: New Evidence from a Dynamic Factor Model of Consumption. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **50**. . [[Crossref](#)]
23. Ioannis Pragidis. 2024. News Sentiments and Consumer Confidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **28**. . [[Crossref](#)]
24. Mohamed Sahbi Nakhli, Khaled Mokni, Manel Youssef. 2023. Time-varying causality between investor sentiment and oil price: Does uncertainty matter?. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **31**. . [[Crossref](#)]
25. Andrea Fronzetti Colladon, Francesca Grippa, Barbara Guardabascio, Gabriele Costante, Francesco Ravazzolo. 2023. Forecasting consumer confidence through semantic network analysis of online news. *Scientific Reports* **13**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
26. Debasis Roop, Anurag Banerjee, Reshmi Sengupta. 2023. COVID-19 and Consumer Confidence: Evidence from India. *Arthaniti: Journal of Economic Theory and Practice* **84**. . [[Crossref](#)]
27. Dimitris Anastasiou, Panayotis Kapopoulos, Kalliopi-Maria Zekente. 2023. Sentimental Shocks and House Prices. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* **67**:4, 627-655. [[Crossref](#)]
28. Hengyun Li, Huicai Gao, Haiyan Song. 2023. Tourism forecasting with granular sentiment analysis. *Annals of Tourism Research* **103**, 103667. [[Crossref](#)]
29. Huson Joher Ali Ahmed, A.S.M. Sohel Azad, Wai Ching Poon, Md Safiullah. 2023. Is there a CSI-leverage nexus?. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **89**, 102799. [[Crossref](#)]
30. Taufiq Choudhry, Mark Wohar. 2023. What drives US consumer confidence? The asymmetric effects of economic uncertainty. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **8**. . [[Crossref](#)]
31. Khandokar Istiak. 2023. Psychological factors of Canadian and Mexican tourists and the US tourism sector. *Tourism Economics* **29**:5, 1328-1354. [[Crossref](#)]
32. Javier Rojo-Suárez, Ana Belén Alonso-Conde, Rubén Lago-Balsalobre. 2023. Industry bubbles and unexpected consumption shocks: A cross-sectional explanation of stock returns under recursive preferences. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **80**. . [[Crossref](#)]
33. Suzanna Elmassah, Shereen Bacheer, Eslam Hassanein. 2023. US consumers' confidence and responses to COVID-19 shock. *Review of Economics and Political Science* **8**:3, 186-207. [[Crossref](#)]
34. Chi Wei Su, Fangying Liu, Meng Qin, Tsangyao Chnag. 2023. Is a consumer loan a catalyst for confidence?. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* **36**:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
35. Murat AKKAYA. 2023. Reel Kesim Güvenini Etkileyen Faktörlerin Analizi: Türkiye Uygulaması. *Anadolu Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* **23**:2, 463-480. [[Crossref](#)]
36. Andresa Lagerborg, Evi Pappa, Morten O Ravn. 2023. Sentimental Business Cycles. *The Review of Economic Studies* **90**:3, 1358-1393. [[Crossref](#)]
37. Nick Pitas, Sharon Zou. 2023. Consumer Confidence and Recreation Behavior: Willingness to Buy and Attitudes toward a Proposed Recreation User Fee Increase. *Society & Natural Resources* **36**:5, 497-512. [[Crossref](#)]

38. Chi-Wei Su, Xian-Li Meng, Ran Tao, Muhammad Umar. 2023. Chinese consumer confidence: A catalyst for the outbound tourism expenditure?. *Tourism Economics* **29**:3, 696-717. [[Crossref](#)]
39. Zihan Zhang. 2023. Check and Balance Overspending in Average American Household Consumption. *Highlights in Business, Economics and Management* **7**, 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
40. CHI-WEI SU, ADELINA DUMITRESCU PECULEA, KAI-HUA WANG. 2023. CAN CONSUMER CREDIT STIMULATE CONSUMER CONFIDENCE? EVIDENCE FROM THE TIME-VARYING ASPECT. *The Singapore Economic Review* **24**, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Ritwik Banerjee, Priyama Majumdar. 2023. Exponential growth bias in the prediction of COVID-19 spread and economic expectation. *Economica* **90**:358, 653-689. [[Crossref](#)]
42. Chi-Wei Su, Muhammad Umar, Tsangyao Chang. 2023. How consumer confidence is reshaping the outbound tourism expenditure in China? A lesson for strategy makers!. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* **36**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
43. Stewart J. H. McCann. 2023. Personality and Money Matters: The Relation of State Resident Neuroticism to Common State-Level Financial and Economic Indicators. *Current Psychology* **46**. . [[Crossref](#)]
44. Sven Hartlieb. 2023. Consumer Sentiment, Managerial Expectations and Resource Adjustment Decisions. *European Accounting Review* **32**:2, 481-511. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Faisal Nazir Zargar, Dilip Kumar. 2023. Market fear, investor mood, sentiment, economic uncertainty and tourism sector in the United States amid COVID-19 pandemic: A spillover analysis. *Tourism Economics* **29**:2, 551-558. [[Crossref](#)]
46. Dzung Bui, Lena Dräger, Bernd Hayo, Giang Nghiem. 2023. Macroeconomic expectations and consumer sentiment during the COVID-19 pandemic: The role of others' beliefs. *European Journal of Political Economy* **77**, 102295. [[Crossref](#)]
47. Hyeongwoo Kim, Peng Shao, Shuwei Zhang. 2023. Policy coordination and the effectiveness of fiscal stimulus. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **75**, 103489. [[Crossref](#)]
48. Sungkyu Lim, Neelu Seetaram, Sameer Hosany, Matthew Li. 2023. Consumption of pop culture and tourism demand: Through the lens of herding behaviour. *Annals of Tourism Research* **99**, 103531. [[Crossref](#)]
49. Dimitris Anastasiou, Zied Ftiti, Waël Louhichi, Dimitris Tsouknidis. 2023. Household deposits and consumer sentiment expectations: Evidence from Eurozone. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **131**, 102775. [[Crossref](#)]
50. Mohammed Bouaddi, Johnson Kakeu. 2023. Estimating sentiment and risk in a consumption model: a factor analysis approach. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* **4**, 1-27. [[Crossref](#)]
51. Andrew Greenland, David A. Savage. 2023. Shooting the Economy in the Foot: The Economic Effects of School Shootings in America. *Defence and Peace Economics* **34**:2, 129-141. [[Crossref](#)]
52. Cheng-Wen Lee, Peiyi Kong. 2023. Forecasting Travel Sentiment under the Shock Effects of COVID-19 and Vaccination Using Grey Prediction Models. *Journal of Mathematics* **2023**, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
53. Lenka Mynaříková, Vít Pošta. 2023. The Effect of Consumer Confidence and Subjective Well-being on Consumers' Spending Behavior. *Journal of Happiness Studies* **24**:2, 429-453. [[Crossref](#)]
54. Qi-An Chen, Huashi Li, Jianyi Lin, Youliang Yan. 2023. Asset pricing with two types of heterogeneous consumption volatilities in mind: Evidence from China. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* **77**, 101890. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Pieter Balcaen, Caroline Buts, Cind Du Bois, Olesya Tkacheva. 2023. The effect of disinformation about COVID-19 on consumer confidence: Insights from a survey experiment. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **102**, 101968. [[Crossref](#)]

56. Suk-Joon Byun, Byoungyun Jeon, Donghoon Kim. 2023. Investor sentiment and the MAX effect: evidence from Korea. *Applied Economics* 55:3, 319-331. [[Crossref](#)]
57. Andres Algaba, Samuel Borms, Kris Boudt, Brecht Verbeken. 2023. Daily news sentiment and monthly surveys: A mixed-frequency dynamic factor model for nowcasting consumer confidence. *International Journal of Forecasting* 39:1, 266-278. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Wändi Bruine de Bruin, Alycia Chin, Jeff Dominitz, Wilbert van der Klaauw. Household surveys and probabilistic questions 3-31. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Christopher Carroll, Tao Wang. Epidemiological expectations 779-806. [[Crossref](#)]
60. Christopher Lingwei Zhang. 2023. Feel the Market: An Attempt to Identify Additional Factor in the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) Using Generative Pre-Trained Transformer (GPT) and Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
61. M. Pavithra, R. Velmurugan. 2023. Impact of consumers confidence on buying behaviour. *E3S Web of Conferences* 449, 04012. [[Crossref](#)]
62. Husna Memon, Amir Rubin. 2023. Sentiment Inequality, Relative Performance of Firms, and the Stock Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 4. . [[Crossref](#)]
63. Irene Daskalopoulou. Consumer Confidence Index 1334-1337. [[Crossref](#)]
64. Martin Hodula, Simona Malovaná, Jan Frait. 2022. Too much of a good thing? Households' macroeconomic conditions and credit dynamics. *German Economic Review* 23:4, 529-566. [[Crossref](#)]
65. Hamid Baghestani. 2022. Forecasts of growth in US durables spending: assessing the usefulness of disaggregated consumer survey data. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 35:1, 6067-6084. [[Crossref](#)]
66. Görkem YILDIRIM. 2022. Türkiye'nin Ekonomik Güven Endeksi Tahmin Modellemesi ve ARIMA Yöntemiyle İleri Tahmini. *19 Mayıs Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 3:4, 366-378. [[Crossref](#)]
67. Timothy Webb, Zvi Schwartz, Zheng Xiang, Mehmet Altin. 2022. Hotel revenue management forecasting accuracy: the hidden impact of booking windows. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights* 5:5, 950-965. [[Crossref](#)]
68. Aleksandar Vasilev. 2022. How Important Are Consumer Confidence Shocks for the Propagation of Business Cycles in Bulgaria?. *Problems of Economic Transition* 63:10-12, 589-603. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Vincenzo Merella, Stephen E. Satchell. 2022. By force of confidence. *European Economic Review* 150, 104311. [[Crossref](#)]
70. Aila Khan, Siddharth Jain, Bruce Cameron. 2022. Analysing the donor behaviour of a Muslim diaspora in Australia. *Voluntary Sector Review* 13:3, 376-395. [[Crossref](#)]
71. Martin Feldkircher, Florian Huber, Gary Koop, Michael Pfarrhofer. 2022. APPROXIMATE BAYESIAN INFERENCE AND FORECASTING IN HUGE-DIMENSIONAL MULTICOUNTRY VARs. *International Economic Review* 63:4, 1625-1658. [[Crossref](#)]
72. Gene Ambrocio. 2022. Euro-area business confidence and COVID-19. *Applied Economics* 54:43, 4915-4929. [[Crossref](#)]
73. L. A. Kitrar, T. M. Lipkind, R. A. Ustinova. 2022. Experimental Composite Indicators of Cyclical Response in Surveys of Consumer Expectations of the Population. *Voprosy statistiki* 29:4, 14-32. [[Crossref](#)]
74. K. Thomas. 2022. Amending China's Notion of a "Consumer": Lessons from Comparative Analysis of the PRC Consumer Protection Law. *Journal of Consumer Policy* 45:3, 435-456. [[Crossref](#)]

75. Mihaela Simionescu. 2022. Econometrics of sentiments- sentometrics and machine learning: The improvement of inflation predictions in Romania using sentiment analysis. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* **182**, 121867. [[Crossref](#)]
76. Riza Demirer, Konstantinos Gkillas, Rangan Gupta, Christian Pierdzioch. 2022. Risk aversion and the predictability of crude oil market volatility: A forecasting experiment with random forests. *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **73**:8, 1755-1767. [[Crossref](#)]
77. Petar Sorić. 2022. Ability to consume versus willingness to consume: the role of nonlinearities. *Empirica* **49**:3, 663-689. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Jose Arreola Hernandez, Sang Hoon Kang, Zhuhua Jiang, Seong-Min Yoon. 2022. Spillover Network among Economic Sentiment and Economic Policy Uncertainty in Europe. *Systems* **10**:4, 93. [[Crossref](#)]
79. Ahmet Yağmur Ersoy, Metin Saygılı, Mustafa İlteriş Yılmaz, Mustafa Emre Uslu, İhsan Hakan Selvi. 2022. Consumer Sentiment in Turkey, from Closure to the New Normal. *Sustainability* **14**:15, 9135. [[Crossref](#)]
80. Ivan Hajdukovic. 2022. Transmission mechanisms of conventional and unconventional monetary policies in open economies. *International Economics and Economic Policy* **19**:3, 491-536. [[Crossref](#)]
81. Dimitris Georgarakos, Geoff Kenny. 2022. Household spending and fiscal support during the COVID-19 pandemic: Insights from a new consumer survey. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **129**, S1-S14. [[Crossref](#)]
82. Adam Hale Shapiro, Moritz Sudhof, Daniel J. Wilson. 2022. Measuring news sentiment. *Journal of Econometrics* **228**:2, 221-243. [[Crossref](#)]
83. Elif ATAMAN, Durmus YILDIRIM. 2022. KREDİ KARTI KULLANIMI, KOMPÜLSİF SATIN ALMA, BORCA KARŞI TUTUM VE FİNANSAL REFAH İLİŞKİSİ. *Dicle Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi* **12**:23, 159-184. [[Crossref](#)]
84. Caetano Haberli Júnior, Eduardo Eugênio Spers, Lilian Maluf de Lima, Débora da Costa Simões, Marcos Fava Neves. 2022. Brazilian farmer confidence in business performance. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review* **25**:3, 361-378. [[Crossref](#)]
85. Daragh Clancy, Lorenzo Ricci. 2022. Economic sentiments and international risk sharing. *International Economics* **169**, 208-229. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Gerrit Antonides, Robert Goedegebure, Eveline van Leeuwen. 2022. How Did COVID-19 Change Opinions and Behaviors in the Netherlands?. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities* **4**. [[Crossref](#)]
87. Ozgur Ozdemir, Wenjia Han, Michael Dalbor. 2022. Economic policy uncertainty and hotel occupancy: the mediating effect of consumer sentiment. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights* **5**:2, 253-273. [[Crossref](#)]
88. Patrícia Felini, Fábio Augusto Reis Gomes, Gian Paulo Soave. 2022. Previsão do Consumo Agregado: o papel de índices de confiança do consumidor. *Estudos Econômicos (São Paulo)* **52**:2, 243-279. [[Crossref](#)]
89. Zuzana Gric, Dominika Ehrenbergerova, Martin Hodula. 2022. The power of sentiment: Irrational beliefs of households and consumer loan dynamics. *Journal of Financial Stability* **59**, 100973. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Raúl Compés, Samuel Faria, Tânia Gonçalves, João Rebelo, Vicente Pinilla, Katrin Simon Elorz. 2022. The shock of lockdown on the spending on wine in the Iberian market: the effects of procurement and consumption patterns. *British Food Journal* **124**:5, 1622-1640. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Yu Li, Kai Liu, Xiaoying Lu, Ben Zhe Wang, Xuan Zhou. 2022. Welfare housing and household consumption in urban China. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **195**, 326-334. [[Crossref](#)]
92. Paul Crosby, Jordi McKenzie. 2022. Survey evidence on the impact of COVID-19 on Australian musicians and implications for policy. *International Journal of Cultural Policy* **28**:2, 166-186. [[Crossref](#)]

93. Ivana Lolić, Marija Logarušić, Mirjana Čizmešija. 2022. Recent Revision of the European Consumer Confidence Indicator: Is There any additional Space for Improvement?. *Social Indicators Research* **159**:3, 845-863. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Vincenzo Merella, Stephen E. Satchell. 2022. By Force of Confidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **80**. . [[Crossref](#)]
95. Irene Daskalopoulou. Consumer Confidence Index 1-4. [[Crossref](#)]
96. Zhenling Zhao. 2022. Consumer Confidence and Corporate Credit Spreads. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **104**. . [[Crossref](#)]
97. Mustafa Onur Caglayan, Mehmet Canayaz, Timothy T. Simin, Le Zhao. 2022. Macro Sentiment Risk and Hedge Fund Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **29**. . [[Crossref](#)]
98. George M. Constantinides, Maurizio Montone, Valerio Potì, Stella N. Spilioti. 2022. Sentiment, Productivity, and Economic Growth. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **195**. . [[Crossref](#)]
99. Yoichi Sekizawa, Yasuo Goto, Remi Noguchi, Miral So, Eiji Shimizu. 2021. Associations between Japan's consumer confidence index and emotion-related variables such as depression, anxiety, and positive affect. *Cogent Psychology* **8**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
100. Cristina Bernini, Silvia Emili, Federica Galli. 2021. Does urbanization matter in the expenditure-happiness nexus?. *Papers in Regional Science* **100**:6, 1403-1428. [[Crossref](#)]
101. Maksim Godovykh, Jorge Ridderstaat, Carissa Baker, Alan Fyall. 2021. COVID-19 and Tourism: Analyzing the Effects of COVID-19 Statistics and Media Coverage on Attitudes toward Tourism. *Forecasting* **3**:4, 870-883. [[Crossref](#)]
102. Gautham Vadakkepatt, Venkatesh Shankar, Rajan Varadarajan. 2021. Should firms invest more in marketing or R&D to maintain sales leadership? An empirical analysis of sales leader firms. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* **49**:6, 1088-1108. [[Crossref](#)]
103. Daniel Peter Hampson, Shuang (Sara) Ma, Yonggui Wang, Myat Su Han. 2021. Consumer confidence and conspicuous consumption: A conservation of resources perspective. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* **45**:6, 1392-1409. [[Crossref](#)]
104. Efthymia Symitsi, Panagiotis Stamolampros. 2021. Employee sentiment index: Predicting stock returns with online employee data. *Expert Systems with Applications* **182**, 115294. [[Crossref](#)]
105. Yufei Xia, Junhao Zhao, Lingyun He, Yinguo Li, Xiaoli Yang. 2021. Forecasting loss given default for peer-to-peer loans via heterogeneous stacking ensemble approach. *International Journal of Forecasting* **37**:4, 1590-1613. [[Crossref](#)]
106. Hector H. Sandoval, Anita N. Walsh. 2021. The role of consumer confidence in forecasting consumption, evidence from Florida. *Southern Economic Journal* **88**:2, 757-788. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Efthymia Symitsi, Panagiotis Stamolampros, Antonios Karatzas. 2021. Augmenting Household Expenditure Forecasts with Online Employee-generated Company Reviews. *Public Opinion Quarterly* **85**:S1, 463-491. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Lucía Galicia-Sanguino, Javier Rojo-Suárez, Ana B. Alonso-Conde, M. Victoria López-Pérez. 2021. Trade integration and research and development investment as a proxy for idiosyncratic risk in the cross-section of stock returns. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* **68**, 101623. [[Crossref](#)]
109. Angelos Kanas, Panagiotis D. Zervopoulos. 2021. Systemic risk, real GDP growth, and sentiment. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* **57**:2, 461-485. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Daniel P. Hampson, Shiyang Gong, Yi Xie. 2021. How consumer confidence affects price conscious behavior: The roles of financial vulnerability and locus of control. *Journal of Business Research* **132**, 693-704. [[Crossref](#)]

111. Esra N. KILCI. 2021. A study on confidence indexes in Turkey under structural breaks for the period covering the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Ömer Halisdemir Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi* 14:3, 948-960. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Hamid Baghestani. 2021. Forecasts of growth in US residential investment: accuracy gains from consumer home-buying attitudes and expectations. *Applied Economics* 53:32, 3744-3758. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Roel Beetsma, Oana Furtuna, Massimo Giuliadori, Haroon Mumtaz. 2021. Revenue- versus spending-based fiscal consolidation announcements: Multipliers and follow-up. *Journal of International Economics* 131, 103455. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Bingjie Liu-Lastres, Mona Mirehie, Amanda Cecil. 2021. Are female business travelers willing to travel during COVID-19? An exploratory study. *Journal of Vacation Marketing* 27:3, 252-266. [[Crossref](#)]
115. Hamid Baghestani. 2021. Predicting growth in US durables spending using consumer durables-buying attitudes. *Journal of Business Research* 131, 327-336. [[Crossref](#)]
116. Simona Malovaná, Martin Hodula, Jan Frait. 2021. What Does Really Drive Consumer Confidence?. *Social Indicators Research* 155:3, 885-913. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Sudeshna Ghosh. 2021. Consumer Confidence and Consumer Spending in Brazil: A Nonlinear Autoregressive Distributed Lag Model Analysis. *Arthaniti: Journal of Economic Theory and Practice* 20:1, 53-85. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Javier Rojo-Suárez, Ana Belén Alonso-Conde, Ricardo Ferrero-Pozo. 2021. Can the seasonal pattern of consumption growth reproduce habits in the cross-section of stock returns? Evidence from the European equity market. *The European Journal of Finance* 27:8, 721-739. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Alan Collins, Adam Cox, Renatas Kizys, Frederick Haynes, Sarah Machin, Benjamin Sampson. 2021. Suicide, sentiment and crisis. *The Social Science Journal* 58:2, 206-223. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Hamid Baghestani, Sehar Fatima. 2021. Growth in US Durables Spending: Assessing the Impact of Consumer Ability and Willingness to Buy. *Journal of Business Cycle Research* 17:1, 55-69. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Zhiming Cheng. 2021. Education and consumption: Evidence from migrants in Chinese cities. *Journal of Business Research* 127, 206-215. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Saif Gazali, V. Pattabiraman. Forecasting Election Data Using Regression Models and Sentimental Analysis 501-509. [[Crossref](#)]
123. Burze Yaşar. The Impact of COVID-19 on Volatility of Tourism Stocks 23-44. [[Crossref](#)]
124. P. L. A. I. Shehara, C. S. A. Siriwardana, Dilanthi Amaratunga, Richard Haigh, T. Fonseka. A Study on Stakeholder Trust in Sri Lanka's Multi-Hazard Early Warning (MHEW) Mechanism 711-736. [[Crossref](#)]
125. Shaun Hargreaves Heap, Karadimitropoulou Aikaterini, Levi Eugenio. 2021. Narrative based information: is it the facts or their packaging that matters?. *MUNI ECON Working Papers* :8. . [[Crossref](#)]
126. Sven Hartlieb. 2021. Consumer Sentiment, Managerial Expectations and Resource Adjustment Decisions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 36. . [[Crossref](#)]
127. Walid M.A. Ahmed. 2020. Stock market reactions to domestic sentiment: Panel CS-ARDL evidence. *Research in International Business and Finance* 54, 101240. [[Crossref](#)]
128. Zhixu Yang, Ziqiang Xin. 2020. Heterogeneous Risk Perception amid the Outbreak of COVID-19 in China: Implications for Economic Confidence. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being* 12:4, 1000-1018. [[Crossref](#)]
129. Hanjo Odendaal, Monique Reid, Johann F. Kirsten. 2020. Media-Based Sentiment Indices as an Alternative Measure of Consumer Confidence. *South African Journal of Economics* 88:4, 409-434. [[Crossref](#)]

130. Javier Rojo-Suárez, Ana Belén Alonso-Conde. 2020. Impact of consumer confidence on the expected returns of the Tokyo Stock Exchange: A comparative analysis of consumption and production-based asset pricing models. *PLOS ONE* 15:11, e0241318. [[Crossref](#)]
131. Hashmat Khan, Santosh Upadhayaya. 2020. Does business confidence matter for investment?. *Empirical Economics* 59:4, 1633-1665. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Gomaa Agag, Riyad Eid. 2020. Which consumer feedback metrics are the most valuable in driving consumer expenditure in the tourism industries? A view from macroeconomic perspective. *Tourism Management* 80, 104109. [[Crossref](#)]
133. Soosung Hwang, Youngha Cho, Jinho Shin. 2020. The impact of UK household overconfidence in public information on house prices. *Journal of Property Research* 37:4, 360-389. [[Crossref](#)]
134. Javier Rojo-Suárez, Ana Belén Alonso-Conde. 2020. Consumer sentiment and time-varying betas: Testing the validity of the consumption CAPM on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange. *Investment Analysts Journal* 49:4, 303-321. [[Crossref](#)]
135. ALLEN N. BERGER, FELIX IRRESBERGER, RALUCA A. ROMAN. 2020. Bank Size and Household Financial Sentiment: Surprising Evidence from University of Michigan Surveys of Consumers. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 52:S1, 149-191. [[Crossref](#)]
136. Junjian Gu. 2020. Risk Assessment on Continued Public Health Threats: Evidence from China's Stock Market. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17:20, 7682. [[Crossref](#)]
137. Tomás Gómez Rodríguez, María de la Luz Pérez Reveles, Humberto Ríos Bolívar. 2020. Efectos de las medidas de prevención y mitigación de la pandemia de COVID-19 sobre los índices de confianza en países de la OCDE. *Contaduría y Administración* 65:5, 210. [[Crossref](#)]
138. Yue Qiu. 2020. Forecasting the Consumer Confidence Index with tree-based MIDAS regressions. *Economic Modelling* 91, 247-256. [[Crossref](#)]
139. Chao Ma. 2020. Momentum and Reversion to Fundamentals: Are They Captured by Subjective Expectations of House Prices?. *Journal of Housing Economics* 49, 101687. [[Crossref](#)]
140. Helen X.H. Bao, Steven Haotong Li. 2020. Housing wealth and residential energy consumption. *Energy Policy* 143, 111581. [[Crossref](#)]
141. Elizabeth Yost, Jorge Ridderstaat, Murat Kizildag. 2020. Early warning indicators? The effect of consumer and investor sentiments on the restaurant industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 89, 102575. [[Crossref](#)]
142. Solikin M. Juhro, Bernard Njindan Iyke. 2020. Consumer confidence and consumption expenditure in Indonesia. *Economic Modelling* 89, 367-377. [[Crossref](#)]
143. Sławomir Śmiech, Monika Papież, Syed Jawad Hussain Shahzad. 2020. Spillover among financial, industrial and consumer uncertainties. The case of EU member states. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 70, 101497. [[Crossref](#)]
144. Andres Algaba, David Ardia, Keven Bluteau, Samuel Borms, Kris Boudt. 2020. ECONOMETRICS MEETS SENTIMENT: AN OVERVIEW OF METHODOLOGY AND APPLICATIONS. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 34:3, 512-547. [[Crossref](#)]
145. Abhi Bhattacharya, Valerie Good, Hanieh Sardashti. 2020. Doing good when times are bad: the impact of CSR on brands during recessions. *European Journal of Marketing* 54:9, 2049-2077. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Mehmet Ali PAYLAN, Yusuf Bahadır KAVAS. 2020. A Study in the Covid-19 Process on Determining the Moderated Mediation Effect of Consumer Confidence Between Perceived Financial Well-Being, Prosocial Consumer Ethnocentrism Tendencies and Domestic Product Purchase Behaviour. *Gaziantep University Journal of Social Sciences* 19:COVID-19 Special Issue, 398-412. [[Crossref](#)]

147. Esra N Kilci. 2020. Do confidence indicators have an impact on macro-financial indicators? An analysis of the financial service and real sector confidence indexes: evidence from Turkey. *European Journal of Government and Economics* 9:1, 74-94. [[Crossref](#)]
148. Larissa Bătrâncea. 2020. Does Economic Growth and Inflation Impact Consumer Confidence during a Pandemic? An Empirical Analysis in EU Countries. *Marketing – from Information to Decision Journal* 3:1, 5-13. [[Crossref](#)]
149. André Filipe Guedes Almeida, Gabriel Caldas Montes. 2020. Effects of crime and violence on business confidence: evidence from Rio de Janeiro. *Journal of Economic Studies* 47:7, 1669-1688. [[Crossref](#)]
150. Emek Aslı CİNEL, Ufuk YOLCU. 2020. Ekonomik Güven Endeksi ve Ekonomik Büyüme İlişkisi: Yapay Sinir Ağları ile Türkiye Örneği Öngörüsü. *OPUS Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi* 17:36, 2490-2517. [[Crossref](#)]
151. Lasse Bork, Stig V. Møller, Thomas Q. Pedersen. 2020. A New Index of Housing Sentiment. *Management Science* 66:4, 1563-1583. [[Crossref](#)]
152. Zhifang He. 2020. Dynamic impacts of crude oil price on Chinese investor sentiment: Nonlinear causality and time-varying effect. *International Review of Economics & Finance* 66, 131-153. [[Crossref](#)]
153. Stephen Kirchner. 2020. The Effect of Changes in Monetary Policy on Consumer and Business Confidence. *Australian Economic Review* 53:1, 118-125. [[Crossref](#)]
154. Özer ARABACI, Metin ÖZDEMİR. 2020. TÜRKİYE'DE TÜKETİCİ GÜVENİ VE DÖVİZ KURU İLİŞKİSİ. *TESAM Akademi Dergisi* 7:1, 231-248. [[Crossref](#)]
155. Javier Rojo Suárez, Ana Belén Alonso Conde, Ricardo Ferrero Pozo. 2020. European equity markets: Who is the truly representative investor?. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 75, 325-346. [[Crossref](#)]
156. Guillermo Acuña, Cristián Echeverría, Cristian Pinto-Gutiérrez. 2020. Consumer confidence and consumption: empirical evidence from Chile. *International Review of Applied Economics* 34:1, 75-93. [[Crossref](#)]
157. L. H. Binge. Business Confidence and the Business Cycle in South Africa 237-263. [[Crossref](#)]
158. Willem Vanlaer, Samantha Bielen, Wim Marneffe. 2020. Consumer Confidence and Household Saving Behaviors: A Cross-Country Empirical Analysis. *Social Indicators Research* 147:2, 677-721. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Jeroen Jonkman, Mark Boukes, Rens Vliegthart. 2020. When Do Media Matter Most? A Study on the Relationship between Negative Economic News and Consumer Confidence across the Twenty-Eight EU States. *The International Journal of Press/Politics* 25:1, 76-95. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Walter Diegel, Alexandra Moritz, Joern H. Block, Antonia Botsari, Frank Lang, Helmut Krämer-Eis. Measuring Venture Capital Sentiment in Europe 137-174. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Gady Jacoby, Chi Liao, Sheldon Lin, Lei Lu. 2020. Market Sentiment and the Cross-section of Expected Stock Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 5. . [[Crossref](#)]
162. Andres Algaba, Samuel Borms, Kris Boudt, Brecht Verbeken. 2020. Monitoring Consumer Confidence: A Real-Time Approach Using Media News Articles. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 34. . [[Crossref](#)]
163. Emma Pleeging, Martijn Burger. Hope in Economics 165-178. [[Crossref](#)]
164. Zhuo Chen, Bibo Liu, Huijun Wang, Zhengwei Wang, Jianfeng Yu. 2020. Investor Sentiment and the Pricing of Characteristics-Based Factors. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 48. . [[Crossref](#)]
165. Marta Necadova. 2019. Changes in Economic Sentiment Indicators before and after Economic Crisis (Position of Visegrad Group and Germany in EU). *Central European Business Review* 8:4, 55-85. [[Crossref](#)]

166. B. Öztürk, A.C.J. Stokman. 2019. Animal spirits and household spending in Europe and the US. *Economics Letters* **185**, 108697. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Ruben H.G.M. Cox, Remco C.J. Zwinkels. 2019. Mortgage Insurance Adoption in the Netherlands. *Real Estate Economics* **47**:4, 977-1012. [[Crossref](#)]
168. Nazneen Ahmad, Sandeep Kumar Rangaraju. 2019. Macroeconomic effects of consumer confidence shock – evidence for state dependence. *Journal of Economic Studies* **46**:7, 1293-1308. [[Crossref](#)]
169. George J. Jiang, H. Zafer Yüksel. 2019. Sentimental mutual fund flows. *Financial Review* **54**:4, 709-738. [[Crossref](#)]
170. David Ardia, Keven Bluteau, Kris Boudt. 2019. Questioning the news about economic growth: Sparse forecasting using thousands of news-based sentiment values. *International Journal of Forecasting* **35**:4, 1370-1386. [[Crossref](#)]
171. Mahmoud Qadan. 2019. Risk appetite, idiosyncratic volatility and expected returns. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **65**, 101372. [[Crossref](#)]
172. Petar Sorić, Ivana Lolić, Oscar Claveria, Enric Monte, Salvador Torra. 2019. Unemployment expectations: A socio-demographic analysis of the effect of news. *Labour Economics* **60**, 64-74. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Zhifang He, Fangzhao Zhou, Xiaohua Xia, Fenghua Wen, Yiyuan Huang. 2019. Interaction between Oil Price and Investor Sentiment: Nonlinear Causality, Time- Varying Influence, and Asymmetric Effect. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* **55**:12, 2756-2773. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Joseph P. Byrne, Shuo Cao, Dimitris Korobilis. 2019. Decomposing global yield curve co-movement. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **106**, 500-513. [[Crossref](#)]
175. Mali Chivakul, Bernhard Kassner. 2019. Can Consumption Growth in China Keep Up as Investment Slows?. *Comparative Economic Studies* **61**:3, 381-412. [[Crossref](#)]
176. Minchae Song, Kyung-shik Shin. 2019. Forecasting economic indicators using a consumer sentiment index: Survey-based versus text-based data. *Journal of Forecasting* **38**:6, 504-518. [[Crossref](#)]
177. Masayuki Morikawa. 2019. Policy Uncertainty and Saving Attitude: Evidence from a Survey on Consumers. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* **53**:3, 1297-1311. [[Crossref](#)]
178. Hamid Baghestani, Ajalavat Viriyavipart. 2019. Do factors influencing consumer home-buying attitudes explain output growth?. *Journal of Economic Studies* **46**:5, 1104-1115. [[Crossref](#)]
179. Baptiste Massenot, Yuri Pettinichchi. 2019. Can households see into the future? Survey evidence from the Netherlands. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **164**, 77-90. [[Crossref](#)]
180. Sai-wei LI, Chen ZHU, Qi-hui CHEN, Yu-mei LIU. 2019. Consumer confidence and consumers' preferences for infant formulas in China. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* **18**:8, 1793-1803. [[Crossref](#)]
181. Michael Tang, Li Yao. 2019. The Impact of Economy-Wide Sentiment on Analysts' Research Activities. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance* **34**:3, 385-410. [[Crossref](#)]
182. Yuliya Demyanyk, Dmytro Hryshko, María José Luengo-Prado, Bent E. Sørensen. 2019. The Rise and Fall of Consumption in the 2000s: A Tangled Tale. *Economica* **86**:343, 495-531. [[Crossref](#)]
183. Mahmoud Qadan, David Y. Aharon. 2019. Can investor sentiment predict the size premium?. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **63**, 10-26. [[Crossref](#)]
184. Han Chen, Rui Chen, Shaniel Bernard, Imran Rahman. 2019. US hotel industry revenue: an ARDL bounds testing approach. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management* **31**:4, 1720-1743. [[Crossref](#)]
185. Joseph P. Byrne, Marco Lorusso, Bing Xu. 2019. Oil prices, fundamentals and expectations. *Energy Economics* **79**, 59-75. [[Crossref](#)]

186. Jess Benhabib, Mark M Spiegel. 2019. Sentiments and Economic Activity: Evidence from US States. *The Economic Journal* **129**:618, 715-733. [[Crossref](#)]
187. Megan Doherty Bea. 2019. Racial and Ethnic Differences in Consumers' Economic Expectations. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World* **5**, 237802311881527. [[Crossref](#)]
188. Andres Algaba, David Ardia, Keven Bluteau, Samuel Borms, Kris Boudt. 2019. Econometrics Meets Sentiment: An Overview of Methodology and Applications. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
189. Myroslav Pidkuyko. 2019. Consumer Sentiment, Durable Consumption, and Stock Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
190. Ben Zhe Wang. 2019. The Impact of Fiscal Budget Deficit on Consumer Sentiment: News from Perceived News. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
191. Helen X. H. Bao, Haotong Li. 2019. Housing Wealth and Residential Energy Consumption in the UK. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
192. Daragh Clancy, Lorenzo Ricci. 2019. Loss Aversion, Economic Sentiments and International Consumption Smoothing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
193. Daniel J. Lewis, Christos Makridis, Karel Mertens. 2019. Do Monetary Policy Announcements Shift Household Expectations?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **106**. . [[Crossref](#)]
194. Daniel Borup, Erik Christian Montes Schütte. 2019. Asset Pricing With Data Revisions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **96**. . [[Crossref](#)]
195. Erik Kole, Liesbeth Noordegraaf-Eelens, Bas Vringer. 2019. Cognitive Biases and Consumer Sentiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **104**. . [[Crossref](#)]
196. Mark J. Garmaise, Yaron Levi, Hanno N. Lustig. 2019. Spending Less After (Seemingly) Bad News. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **93**. . [[Crossref](#)]
197. Daniel Navarro-Martinez, Graham Loomes, Andrea Isoni, David Butler, Larbi Alaoui. 2018. Boundedly rational expected utility theory. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **57**:3, 199-223. [[Crossref](#)]
198. Abel Brodeur. 2018. The Effect of Terrorism on Employment and Consumer Sentiment: Evidence from Successful and Failed Terror Attacks. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* **10**:4, 246-282. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
199. Christian Gillitzer, Nalini Prasad. 2018. The Effect of Consumer Sentiment on Consumption: Cross-Sectional Evidence from Elections. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* **10**:4, 234-269. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
200. Miia Parnaudeau, Jean-Louis Bertrand. 2018. The contribution of weather variability to economic sectors. *Applied Economics* **50**:43, 4632-4649. [[Crossref](#)]
201. Tong Suk Kim, Heewoo Park. 2018. Is stock return predictability of option-implied skewness affected by the market state?. *Journal of Futures Markets* **38**:9, 1024-1042. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Robert Skikiewicz, Krzysztof Blonski. 2018. Economic Sentiment Level versus the Quality of Life in European Union Member States. *Prague Economic Papers* **27**:4, 379-396. [[Crossref](#)]
203. Hatice Gökçe Karasoy Can, Çağlar Yüncüler. 2018. The Explanatory Power and the Forecast Performance of Consumer Confidence Indices for Private Consumption Growth in Turkey. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* **54**:9, 2136-2152. [[Crossref](#)]
204. Byungoh Kim, Sangwon Suh. 2018. Sentiment-based momentum strategy. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **58**, 52-68. [[Crossref](#)]
205. Steven Ongena, Anna (Ania) Zalewska. 2018. Institutional and individual investors: Saving for old age. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **92**, 257-268. [[Crossref](#)]
206. Blanka Škrabić Perić, Petar Sorić. 2018. A Note on the "Economic Policy Uncertainty Index". *Social Indicators Research* **137**:2, 505-526. [[Crossref](#)]

207. Kim Abildgren, Niels Lynggård Hansen, Andreas Kuchler. 2018. Overoptimism and house price bubbles. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **56**, 1-14. [[Crossref](#)]
208. Aaron H. Anglin, Aaron F. McKenny, Jeremy C. Short. 2018. The Impact of Collective Optimism on New Venture Creation and Growth: A Social Contagion Perspective. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* **42**:3, 390-425. [[Crossref](#)]
209. Hassan F. Gholipour, Reza Tajaddini. 2018. Sub-dimensions of consumer confidence and outgoing tourist expenditures. *Anatolia* **84**, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
210. James J. Angel, Douglas M. McCabe. 2018. Insider Trading 2.0? The Ethics of Information Sales. *Journal of Business Ethics* **147**:4, 747-760. [[Crossref](#)]
211. Víctor Leiva, Camilo Lillo, Rodrigo Morrás. On a Business Confidence Index and Its Data Analytics: A Chilean Case 67-85. [[Crossref](#)]
212. Mahmoud Qadan, Hazar Nama. 2018. Investor sentiment and the price of oil. *Energy Economics* **69**, 42-58. [[Crossref](#)]
213. Marcel Garz. 2018. Effects of unemployment news on economic perceptions – Evidence from German Federal States. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **68**, 172-190. [[Crossref](#)]
214. Jeff Dominitz, Arthur van Soest. Survey Data, Analysis of 13355-13361. [[Crossref](#)]
215. Shahid Shayaa, Sulaiman Ainin, Noor Ismawati Jaafar, Shamsul Bahri Zakaria, Seuk Wai Phoong, Wai Chung Yeong, Mohammed Ali Al-Garadi, Ashraf Muhammad, Arsalan Zahid Piprani, Len Tiú Wright. 2018. Linking consumer confidence index and social media sentiment analysis. *Cogent Business & Management* **5**:1, 1509424. [[Crossref](#)]
216. Huson Joher Ali Ahmed. 2018. Firm Leverage Policy Decisions: Does Consumer Behaviour Explain Sectoral-Level Corporate Debt Policy in the U.S?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
217. Baptiste Massenet, Yuri Pettinicchi. 2018. Can Households See into the Future? Survey Evidence from the Netherlands. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
218. Kerri Agnew. 2018. Breaking for the Border: The Effect of the Exchange Rate on Crossborder Shopping. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **42**. . [[Crossref](#)]
219. Allen N. Berger, Felix Irresberger, Raluca A. Roman. 2018. Do Small Banks Alleviate Household Financial Constraints? Some Surprising Evidence From University of Michigan Surveys of Consumers. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **34**. . [[Crossref](#)]
220. ###. 2017. Analysis on Factors Influencing Consumer Survey Index in Korea. *The Journal of Eurasian Studies* **14**:4, 159-178. [[Crossref](#)]
221. Bas van Aarle, Cindy Moons. 2017. Sentiment and Uncertainty Fluctuations and Their Effects on the Euro Area Business Cycle. *Journal of Business Cycle Research* **13**:2, 225-251. [[Crossref](#)]
222. Stanislao Gualdi, Marco Tarzia, Francesco Zamponi, Jean-Philippe Bouchaud. 2017. Monetary policy and dark corners in a stylized agent-based model. *Journal of Economic Interaction and Coordination* **12**:3, 507-537. [[Crossref](#)]
223. Rene Segers, Philip Hans Franses, Bert de Bruijn. 2017. A novel approach to measuring consumer confidence. *Econometrics and Statistics* **4**, 121-129. [[Crossref](#)]
224. Stéphane Dees. 2017. The role of confidence shocks in business cycles and their global dimension. *International Economics* **151**, 48-65. [[Crossref](#)]
225. Ellen M. Key, Kathleen M. Donovan. 2017. The Political Economy: Political Attitudes and Economic Behavior. *Political Behavior* **39**:3, 763-786. [[Crossref](#)]
226. Aneta Maria Kłopocka. 2017. Does Consumer Confidence Forecast Household Saving and Borrowing Behavior? Evidence for Poland. *Social Indicators Research* **133**:2, 693-717. [[Crossref](#)]

227. ZHAOCHEN HE. 2017. The Contractionary Effect of Bad Economic News. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **49**:6, 1339-1384. [[Crossref](#)]
228. Hugh M. J. Colaco, Amedeo De Cesari, Shantaram P. Hegde. 2017. Retail Investor Attention and IPO Valuation. *European Financial Management* **23**:4, 691-727. [[Crossref](#)]
229. Jun Sik Kim, Da-Hea Kim, Sung Won Seo. 2017. Investor Sentiment and Return Predictability of the Option to Stock Volume Ratio. *Financial Management* **46**:3, 767-796. [[Crossref](#)]
230. MICHELLE L. BARNES, GIOVANNI P. OLIVEI. 2017. Consumer Attitudes and Their Forecasting Power for Consumer Spending. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **49**:5, 1031-1058. [[Crossref](#)]
231. Scott A. Crossley, Kristopher Kyle, Danielle S. McNamara. 2017. Sentiment Analysis and Social Cognition Engine (SEANCE): An automatic tool for sentiment, social cognition, and social-order analysis. *Behavior Research Methods* **49**:3, 803-821. [[Crossref](#)]
232. Cristian Huse, Nikita Koptuyug. 2017. Bailing on the Car That Was Not Bailed Out: Bounding Consumer Reactions to Financial Distress. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy* **26**:2, 337-374. [[Crossref](#)]
233. Jan Pollex. 2017. Regulating Consumption for Sustainability? Why the European Union Chooses Information Instruments to Foster Sustainable Consumption. *European Policy Analysis* **3**:1, 185-204. [[Crossref](#)]
234. Shu Feng, Na Wang, Edward J. Zychowicz. 2017. Sentiment and the Performance of Technical Indicators. *The Journal of Portfolio Management* **43**:3, 112-125. [[Crossref](#)]
235. Lai Van VO, Huong Thi Thu LE, Danh Vinh LE, Minh Tuan PHUNG, Yi-Hsien WANG, Fu-Ju YANG. 2017. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND CORPORATE INVESTMENT POLICIES. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* **18**:2, 202-223. [[Crossref](#)]
236. Junyan Shen, Jianfeng Yu, Shen Zhao. 2017. Investor sentiment and economic forces. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **86**, 1-21. [[Crossref](#)]
237. Wändi Bruine de Bruin, Wilbert van der Klaauw, Maarten van Rooij, Federica Teppa, Klaas de Vos. 2017. Measuring expectations of inflation: Effects of survey mode, wording, and opportunities to revise. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **59**, 45-58. [[Crossref](#)]
238. Nikolaos Sariannidis, Grigoris Giannarakis, Xanthi Partalidou, Bakas Evangelos. 2017. The effect of Dow Jones Sustainability Index on Consumer Sentiment Index. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* **14**:1, 89-95. [[Crossref](#)]
239. Inho Park, Tae Yoon Kim. 2017. Measuring economic sentiment using ordinary response options. *Communications for Statistical Applications and Methods* **24**:2, 163-172. [[Crossref](#)]
240. Alastair Lawrence, James P. Ryans, Estelle Y. Sun. 2017. Investor Demand for Sell-Side Research. *The Accounting Review* **92**:2, 123-149. [[Crossref](#)]
241. Hassan Gholipour Fereidouni, Reza Tajaddini. 2017. Housing Wealth, Financial Wealth and Consumption Expenditure: The Role of Consumer Confidence. *The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* **54**:2, 216-236. [[Crossref](#)]
242. Cesario Mateus, Raju Chinthapati, Irina B. Mateus. 2017. Intraday industry-specific spillover effect in European equity markets. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* **63**, 278-298. [[Crossref](#)]
243. Zhi Da, Dayong Huang, Hayong Yun. 2017. Industrial Electricity Usage and Stock Returns. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* **52**:1, 37-69. [[Crossref](#)]
244. Jorge Ridderstaat, Robertico Croes. 2017. The Link between Money Supply and Tourism Demand Cycles. *Journal of Travel Research* **56**:2, 187-205. [[Crossref](#)]
245. Filip Premik, Ewa Stanisławska. 2017. The Impact of Inflation Expectations on Polish Consumers' Spending and Saving. *Eastern European Economics* **55**:1, 3-28. [[Crossref](#)]

246. David Ardia, Keven Bluteau, Kris Boudt. 2017. Aggregating the Panel of Daily Textual Sentiment for Sparse Forecasting of Economic Growth. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **80**. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
247. Ayben Koy, Murat Akkaya. 2017. The Role of Consumer Confidence as a Leading Indicator on Stock Returns: A Markov Switching Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [\[Crossref\]](#)
248. Joseph P. Byrne, Marco Lorusso, Bing Xu. 2017. Oil Prices and Informational Frictions: The Time-Varying Impact of Fundamentals and Expectations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [\[Crossref\]](#)
249. Donja Darai, Shimon Kogan, Anthony M. Kwasnica, Roberto A. Weber. 2017. Aggregate Sentiment and Investment: An Experimental Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [\[Crossref\]](#)
250. Haiyang Zhang. 2017. Incorporating Google Trends Data in Predicting Consumer Confidence in Sri Lanka. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [\[Crossref\]](#)
251. Burçin Güçlü, Miguel-Ángel Canela. Analytics Overuse in Advertising and Promotion Budget Forecasting 163-191. [\[Crossref\]](#)
252. Frankie Chau, Rataporn Deesomsak, Dimitrios Koutmos. 2016. Does investor sentiment really matter?. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **48**, 221-232. [\[Crossref\]](#)
253. M. Iqbal Ahmed, Steven P. Cassou. 2016. Does consumer confidence affect durable goods spending during bad and good economic times equally?. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **50**, 86-97. [\[Crossref\]](#)
254. Christos Kallandranis,, Socrates Karidis. 2016. "Voting with their Feet" in Times of Crisis: The Case of Southern Europe. *Applied Economics Quarterly* **62**:4, 321-341. [\[Crossref\]](#)
255. Maurizio Bovi. 2016. The tale of two expectations. *Quality & Quantity* **50**:6, 2677-2705. [\[Crossref\]](#)
256. Kajal Lahiri, George Monokroussos, Yongchen Zhao. 2016. Forecasting Consumption: the Role of Consumer Confidence in Real Time with many Predictors. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **31**:7, 1254-1275. [\[Crossref\]](#)
257. Marta Lachowska. 2016. Expenditure and confidence: using daily data to identify shocks to consumer confidence. *Oxford Economic Papers* **68**:4, 920-944. [\[Crossref\]](#)
258. Siqi Zheng, Weizeng Sun, Matthew E. Kahn. 2016. Investor Confidence as a Determinant of China's Urban Housing Market Dynamics. *Real Estate Economics* **44**:4, 814-845. [\[Crossref\]](#)
259. Mina Dragouni, George Filis, Konstantinos Gavrilidis, Daniel Santamaria. 2016. Sentiment, mood and outbound tourism demand. *Annals of Tourism Research* **60**, 80-96. [\[Crossref\]](#)
260. Edoardo Lozza, Andrea Bonanomi, Cinzia Castiglioni, A. Claudio Bosio. 2016. Consumer Sentiment after the Global Financial Crisis. *International Journal of Market Research* **58**:5, 671-691. [\[Crossref\]](#)
261. Hamid Baghestani, Michael Malcolm. 2016. Factors predicting the US birth rate. *Journal of Economic Studies* **43**:3, 432-446. [\[Crossref\]](#)
262. Jonathan Z. Berman, An T.K. Tran, John G. Lynch, Gal Zauberman. 2016. Expense Neglect in Forecasting Personal Finances. *Journal of Marketing Research* **53**:4, 535-550. [\[Crossref\]](#)
263. Shiu-Sheng Chen, Yu-Hsi Chou. 2016. DOES FEAR LEAD TO RECESSIONS?. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* **20**:5, 1247-1263. [\[Crossref\]](#)
264. Ioannis Chatziantoniou, Stavros Degiannakis, Bruno Eeckels, George Filis. 2016. Forecasting tourist arrivals using origin country macroeconomics. *Applied Economics* **48**:27, 2571-2585. [\[Crossref\]](#)
265. Tirtha Dhar, Charles B. Weinberg. 2016. Measurement of interactions in non-linear marketing models: The effect of critics' ratings and consumer sentiment on movie demand. *International Journal of Research in Marketing* **33**:2, 392-408. [\[Crossref\]](#)
266. Radek Tahal, Zuzana Chytková, Marek Novinský. 2016. The Effect of Socioeconomic Classes on the Subjective Perception of Economic Situation. *Studia Commercialia Bratislavensia* **9**:33, 102-112. [\[Crossref\]](#)

267. Mustafa Caglayan, Bing Xu. 2016. Sentiment volatility and bank lending behavior. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **45**, 107-120. [[Crossref](#)]
268. Saravanan Kesavan, Tarun Kushwaha, Vishal Gaur. 2016. Do High and Low Inventory Turnover Retailers Respond Differently to Demand Shocks?. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management* **18**:2, 198-215. [[Crossref](#)]
269. Robert Gausden, Mohammad S. Hasan. 2016. Would information on consumer confidence have helped to predict UK household expenditure during the recent economic crisis?. *Applied Economics* **48**:18, 1695-1709. [[Crossref](#)]
270. Jair N. Ojeda-Joya, Julián A. Parra-Polanía, Carmiña O. Vargas. 2016. Fiscal rules as a response to commodity shocks: A welfare analysis of the Colombian scenario. *Economic Modelling* **52**, 859-866. [[Crossref](#)]
271. Johnson Kakeu, Sharri Byron. 2016. Optimistic about the future? How uncertainty and expectations about future consumption prospects affect optimal consumer behavior. *The B.E. Journal of Macroeconomics* **16**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
272. WWndi Bruine de Bruin, Wilbert van der Klaauw, Maarten van Rooij, Federica Teppa, Klaas de Vos. 2016. Measuring Expectations of Inflation: Effects of Survey Mode, Wording, and Opportunities to Revise. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **7**. . [[Crossref](#)]
273. Saeed Heravi, Joshy Easaw, Roberto Golinelli. 2016. Generalized State-Dependent Models: A Multivariate Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
274. Lai Van Vo, Huong T. T. Le, Tuan Minh Phung, Yi-Hsien Wang, Fu-Ju Yang. 2016. Customer Satisfaction and Corporate Investment Policies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
275. Marcio Genovevo da Costa. 2016. Determinants of IPO Activity: Does IPO Activity Fluctuate in Accordance with the Business Cycle? Evidence from European Firms. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
276. Ahmet Kose, Murat Akkaya. 2016. Beklenti Ve GGven Anketlerinin Finansal Piyasalara Etkisi: Bist 100 zerine Bir Uygulama (The Effects of Sentiment and Confidence Indices on Financial Markets: An Application on BIST 100). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
277. Bengt Assarsson,, Pär Österholm. 2015. Do Swedish Consumer Confidence Indicators Do What They Are Intended to Do?. *Applied Economics Quarterly* **61**:4, 391-404. [[Crossref](#)]
278. Gabe J. de Bondt, Stefano Schiaffi. 2015. Confidence Matters for Current Economic Growth: Empirical Evidence for the Euro Area and the United States*. *Social Science Quarterly* **96**:4, 1027-1040. [[Crossref](#)]
279. Konstantinos Drakos, Christos Kallandranis. 2015. A Note on the Effect of Terrorism on Economic Sentiment. *Defence and Peace Economics* **26**:6, 600-608. [[Crossref](#)]
280. Don Weatherburn, Patricia Menéndez, Fernando Tusell. 2015. Postulation is no substitute for evidence: a rejoinder to Shepherd & Page. *Addiction* **110**:10, 1584-1585. [[Crossref](#)]
281. Magda Kandil. 2015. Determinants of Lower Saving Rates in the USA: Prospects and Implications. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* **20**:4, 328-340. [[Crossref](#)]
282. Ming-Hsiang Chen. 2015. Understanding the impact of changes in consumer confidence on hotel stock performance in Taiwan. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* **50**, 55-65. [[Crossref](#)]
283. Auke Hunneman, Peter C. Verhoef, Laurens M. Sloot. 2015. The Impact of Consumer Confidence on Store Satisfaction and Share of Wallet Formation. *Journal of Retailing* **91**:3, 516-532. [[Crossref](#)]
284. Roel Beetsma, Jacopo Cimadomo, Oana Furtuna, Massimo Giuliadori. 2015. The confidence effects of fiscal consolidations. *Economic Policy* **30**:83, 439-489. [[Crossref](#)]
285. Song-Yi Youn, Kyu-Hye Lee. 2015. Self-image and Fashion Leadership: Focusing on Clothing Involvement and Consumer Confidence. *Fashion & Textile Research Journal* **17**:3, 382-391. [[Crossref](#)]

286. Stephen Bruestle, W. Mark Crain. 2015. A mean-variance approach to forecasting with the consumer confidence index. *Applied Economics* **47**:23, 2430-2444. [[Crossref](#)]
287. P. M. Kellstedt, S. Linn, A. L. Hannah. 2015. The Usefulness of Consumer Sentiment: Assessing Construct and Measurement. *Public Opinion Quarterly* **79**:1, 181-203. [[Crossref](#)]
288. Dashan Huang, Fuwei Jiang, Jun Tu, Guofu Zhou. 2015. Investor Sentiment Aligned: A Powerful Predictor of Stock Returns. *Review of Financial Studies* **28**:3, 791-837. [[Crossref](#)]
289. María-Isabel Martínez-Serna, Eliseo Navarro. 2015. Interest Rate Volatility and Business Cycle Expectations. *International Finance* **18**:1, 69-92. [[Crossref](#)]
290. DAVID C. LING, JOSEPH T.L. OOI, THAO T.T. LE. 2015. Explaining House Price Dynamics: Isolating the Role of Nonfundamentals. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* **47**:S1, 87-125. [[Crossref](#)]
291. Matthias Eickhoff. Enabling Reproducible Sentiment Analysis: A Hybrid Domain-Portable Framework for Sentiment Classification 215-229. [[Crossref](#)]
292. Stig V. Møller, Jesper Rangvid. 2015. End-of-the-year economic growth and time-varying expected returns. *Journal of Financial Economics* **115**:1, 136-154. [[Crossref](#)]
293. Y. K. Kim, M. Setterfield, Y. Mei. 2015. Aggregate consumption and debt accumulation: an empirical examination of US household behaviour. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* **39**:1, 93-112. [[Crossref](#)]
294. Christian Gillitzer, Nalini Prasad. 2015. The Effect of Consumer Sentiment on Consumption: Cross-Sectional Evidence from Elections. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
295. Chao Ma. 2015. House Price Expectations and Mortgage Default Decisions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
296. Cristian Huse, Nikita Koptyug. 2015. Bailing on the Car That Wasn't Bailed Out: Bounding Consumer Reactions to Financial Distress. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **93** . [[Crossref](#)]
297. Tonmoy Chatterjee, Soumyananda Dinda. Consumer Sentiment and Confidence during Post-Crisis 2008 44-61. [[Crossref](#)]
298. Jing Jian Xiao. Consumer Spending 151-180. [[Crossref](#)]
299. Aminu Muhammad, Nirmalie Wiratunga, Robert Lothian. Context-Aware Sentiment Analysis of Social Media 87-104. [[Crossref](#)]
300. Pablo Castellanos García, Indalecio Pérez Díaz del Río, Jose Manuel Sanchez-Santos. 2014. The role of confidence in the evolution of the Spanish economy: empirical evidence from an ARDL model. *European Journal of Government and Economics* **3**:2, 148. [[Crossref](#)]
301. Wai-Yip Alex Ho, James Yetman. 2014. Do economies stall?. *Applied Economics* **46**:35, 4267-4275. [[Crossref](#)]
302. Frankie Chau, Rataporn Deesomsak. 2014. Does linkage fuel the fire? The transmission of financial stress across the markets. *International Review of Financial Analysis* **36**, 57-70. [[Crossref](#)]
303. Charlotte Christiansen, Jonas Nygaard Eriksen, Stig Vinther Møller. 2014. Forecasting US recessions: The role of sentiment. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **49**, 459-468. [[Crossref](#)]
304. Véronique Flambard, Nicolas Vaillant. 2014. Consumer sentiment and government confidence: Is there a stable causal relationship?. *French Politics* **12**:4, 310-330. [[Crossref](#)]
305. Dominic Abrams, Milica Vasiljevic. 2014. How Does Macroeconomic Change Affect Social Identity (and Vice Versa?): Insights from the European Context. *Analyses of Social Issues and Public Policy* **14**:1, 311-338. [[Crossref](#)]
306. Cetin Ciner. 2014. The Time Varying Relation Between Consumer Confidence and Equities. *Journal of Behavioral Finance* **15**:4, 312-317. [[Crossref](#)]

307. Stefano Gubellini. 2014. Conditioning information and cross-sectional anomalies. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 43:3, 529-569. [[Crossref](#)]
308. Wai Mun Fong, Benjamin Toh. 2014. Investor sentiment and the MAX effect. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 46, 190-201. [[Crossref](#)]
309. Stig V. Møller, Henrik Nørholm, Jesper Rangvid. 2014. Consumer confidence or the business cycle: What matters more for European expected returns?. *Journal of Empirical Finance* 28, 230-248. [[Crossref](#)]
310. Yi-Chun Ou, Lisette de Vries, Thorsten Wiesel, Peter C. Verhoef. 2014. The Role of Consumer Confidence in Creating Customer Loyalty. *Journal of Service Research* 17:3, 339-354. [[Crossref](#)]
311. Philipp an de Meulen, Martin Micheli, Torsten Schmidt. 2014. Forecasting real estate prices in Germany: the role of consumer confidence. *Journal of Property Research* 31:3, 244-263. [[Crossref](#)]
312. Alexander Hogenboom, Bas Heerschop, Flavius Frasincar, Uzey Kaymak, Franciska de Jong. 2014. Multi-lingual support for lexicon-based sentiment analysis guided by semantics. *Decision Support Systems* 62, 43-53. [[Crossref](#)]
313. R. DAVID MCLEAN, MENGXIN ZHAO. 2014. The Business Cycle, Investor Sentiment, and Costly External Finance. *The Journal of Finance* 69:3, 1377-1409. [[Crossref](#)]
314. Antonis C. Simintiras, Volkan Yeniaras, Emrah Oney, Tajinder K. Bahia. 2014. Redefining Confidence for Consumer Behavior Research. *Psychology & Marketing* 31:6, 426-439. [[Crossref](#)]
315. Dora Elizabeth Bock, Jacqueline Kilsheimer Eastman, Benjamin McKay. 2014. The impact of economic perceptions on status consumption: an exploratory study of the moderating role of education. *Journal of Consumer Marketing* 31:2, 111-117. [[Crossref](#)]
316. Lars Osberg, Andrew Sharpe. 2014. Measuring Economic Insecurity in Rich and Poor Nations. *Review of Income and Wealth* 60, S53-S76. [[Crossref](#)]
317. Nicholas Taylor. 2014. Economic forecast quality: information timeliness and data vintage effects. *Empirical Economics* 46:1, 145-174. [[Crossref](#)]
318. Giancarlo Bruno. 2014. Consumer confidence and consumption forecast: a non-parametric approach. *Empirica* 41:1, 37-52. [[Crossref](#)]
319. Mark Hutson, Fred Joutz, Herman Stekler. 2014. Interpreting and evaluating CESifo's World Economic Survey directional forecasts. *Economic Modelling* 38, 6-11. [[Crossref](#)]
320. Pär Österholm. 2014. Survey data and short-term forecasts of Swedish GDP growth. *Applied Economics Letters* 21:2, 135-139. [[Crossref](#)]
321. Maria Isabel Marttnez Serna, Eliseo Navarro Arribas. 2014. Interest Rate Volatility and Expectations about the Business Cycle. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
322. Dilyara Ibragimova. 2014. Consumer Expectations of Russian Populations: Cohort Analysis (1996-2009). *SSRN Electronic Journal* 46. . [[Crossref](#)]
323. Jonathan Z. Berman, An T. K. Tran, John G. Lynch, Gal Zauberman. 2014. Expense Neglect Bias in Forecasting Personal Finances. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
324. Joshy Easaw, Atanu Ghoshray, Saeed Heravi. 2014. Households' Forming Subjective Expectations Using Perceived News: Do Shocks to 'Good' News Matter More Than 'Bad' News?. *The Manchester School* 82:1, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
325. Gabe J. de Bondt, Elke Hahn. 2014. Introducing the Euro Area-wide Leading Indicator (ALI): Real-Time Signals of Turning Points in the Growth Cycle from 2007 to 2011. *Journal of Forecasting* 33:1, 47-68. [[Crossref](#)]
326. Viet Hoang Nguyen, Edda Claus. 2013. Good news, bad news, consumer sentiment and consumption behavior. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 39, 426-438. [[Crossref](#)]

327. Hunt Allcott. 2013. The Welfare Effects of Misperceived Product Costs: Data and Calibrations from the Automobile Market. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* 5:3, 30-66. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
328. Stephane Dees, Pedro Soares Brinca. 2013. Consumer confidence as a predictor of consumption spending: Evidence for the United States and the Euro area. *International Economics* 134, 1-14. [[Crossref](#)]
329. Maurizio Bovi. 2013. Are the representative agent's beliefs based on efficient econometric models?. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 37:3, 633-648. [[Crossref](#)]
330. Constantinos Antoniou, John A. Doukas, Avaniidhar Subrahmanyam. 2013. Cognitive Dissonance, Sentiment, and Momentum. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 48:1, 245-275. [[Crossref](#)]
331. Juliane A. Lischka, Gabriele Siegert. 2013. Beeinflussen Wirtschaftsnachrichten auch Wirtschaftserwartungen von Experten?. *Studies in Communication Sciences* 13:2, 174-184. [[Crossref](#)]
332. Michael (Minye) Tang, Li Yao. 2013. Do Analysts Respond to Investor Sentiment? Evidence from the Trade-Off between Quantity and Quality of Analyst Research. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
333. Marta Lachowska. 2013. Expenditure, Confidence, and Uncertainty: Identifying Shocks to Consumer Confidence Using Daily Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
334. Paul Neisingh, Ad C.J. Stokman. 2013. What Drives Consumer Confidence in Times of Financial Crises? Evidence for the Netherlands. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
335. Jing Jian Xiao. Family Economic Well-Being 573-611. [[Crossref](#)]
336. Luc Renneboog, Christophe Spaenjers. 2013. Buying Beauty: On Prices and Returns in the Art Market. *Management Science* 59:1, 36-53. [[Crossref](#)]
337. Christian Dreger, Konstantin Arkadievich Kholodilin. 2013. Forecasting Private Consumption by Consumer Surveys. *Journal of Forecasting* 32:1, 10-18. [[Crossref](#)]
338. Yura Kim, Jaewoo Joo. 2012. Effect of Consumer Expectation Measured by Consumers on Advertising Expenditures. *Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial cooperation Society* 13:12, 5752-5758. [[Crossref](#)]
339. Stephan Jank. 2012. Mutual fund flows, expected returns, and the real economy. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 36:11, 3060-3070. [[Crossref](#)]
340. Shiu-Sheng Chen. 2012. Consumer confidence and stock returns over market fluctuations. *Quantitative Finance* 12:10, 1585-1597. [[Crossref](#)]
341. MARTHA A. STARR. 2012. CONSUMPTION, SENTIMENT, AND ECONOMIC NEWS. *Economic Inquiry* 50:4, 1097-1111. [[Crossref](#)]
342. Joscha Beckmann, Ansgar Belke, Michael Kühl. 2012. The cross-country importance of global sentiments—evidence for smaller EU countries. *International Economics and Economic Policy* 9:3-4, 245-264. [[Crossref](#)]
343. Seth A. Marvel, Hyunsuk Hong, Anna Papush, Steven H. Strogatz. 2012. Encouraging Moderation: Clues from a Simple Model of Ideological Conflict. *Physical Review Letters* 109:11. . [[Crossref](#)]
344. Manisha Singal. 2012. Effect of consumer sentiment on hospitality expenditures and stock returns. *International Journal of Hospitality Management* 31:2, 511-521. [[Crossref](#)]
345. Azizjon Alimov, Wayne Mikkelson. 2012. Does favorable investor sentiment lead to costly decisions to go public?. *Journal of Corporate Finance* 18:3, 519-540. [[Crossref](#)]
346. Dimitrios Gounopoulos, Dimitris Petmezas, Daniel Santamaria. 2012. Forecasting Tourist Arrivals in Greece and the Impact of Macroeconomic Shocks from the Countries of Tourists' Origin. *Annals of Tourism Research* 39:2, 641-666. [[Crossref](#)]

347. Simon Shen. 2012. Future Comparative Competitiveness Under the Same Roof. *Chinese Education & Society* **45**:2, 38-59. [[Crossref](#)]
348. Matthias Keese. 2012. Who feels constrained by high debt burdens? Subjective vs. objective measures of household debt. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **33**:1, 125-141. [[Crossref](#)]
349. Luc Renneboog, Christophe Spaenjers. 2012. Buying Beauty: On Prices and Returns in the Art Market. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **20**. . [[Crossref](#)]
350. Maciej Kula. 2012. Using Textual Information in Econometrics: Quantifying Newspaper Sentiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* **34**. . [[Crossref](#)]
351. Saurin Patel. 2012. Economic Optimism, Information Uncertainty and Future Investment Decisions: Evidence from the Mutual Fund Industry. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
352. Yun Kim, Mark Setterfield, Yuan Mei. 2012. Aggregate Consumption and Debt Accumulation: An Empirical Examination of US Household Behavior. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
353. Na Wang, Edward J. Zychowicz. 2012. Sentiment and Technical Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
354. Karen Watkins, Juliana Vega. 2012. Crédito Al Consumo En Argentina Durante Períodos Normales Y De Crisis Económicas (Consumer Credit in Argentina During Normal and Crisis Periods). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
355. Xia Wang, Yung-Hsin Chen. 2012. Is the Consumer Sentiment Index Capable of Forecasting the Transaction Activeness of Clients? Case Study for a Commercial Bank in China. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* **48**:sup1, 20-28. [[Crossref](#)]
356. Cheng Li. 2011. Consumer expectation and output growth: The case of China. *Economics Letters* **113**:3, 298-300. [[Crossref](#)]
357. Shumi Akhtar, Robert Faff, Barry Oliver. 2011. The asymmetric impact of consumer sentiment announcements on Australian foreign exchange rates. *Australian Journal of Management* **36**:3, 387-403. [[Crossref](#)]
358. Alexander Hogenboom, Paul van Iterson, Bas Heerschop, Flavius Frasincar, Uzey Kaymak. Determining negation scope and strength in sentiment analysis 2589-2594. [[Crossref](#)]
359. Simeon Vosen, Torsten Schmidt. 2011. Forecasting private consumption: survey-based indicators vs. Google trends. *Journal of Forecasting* **30**:6, 565-578. [[Crossref](#)]
360. Henk Berkman, Ben Jacobsen, John B. Lee. 2011. Time-varying rare disaster risk and stock returns. *Journal of Financial Economics* **101**:2, 313-332. [[Crossref](#)]
361. Panagiotis Konstantinou, Athanasios Tagkalakis. 2011. Boosting confidence: Is there a role for fiscal policy?. *Economic Modelling* **28**:4, 1629-1641. [[Crossref](#)]
362. JOSHY EASAW, ATANU GHOSHRAJ. 2011. 'THE ISLAND MAN'S BEHAVIOR': SOME MICROFOUNDATIONS OF HOW HOUSEHOLDS FORM MACROECONOMIC EXPECTATIONS*. *The Manchester School* **79**:4, 789-810. [[Crossref](#)]
363. Paul De Grauwe. 2011. Animal spirits and monetary policy. *Economic Theory* **47**:2-3, 423-457. [[Crossref](#)]
364. David Hollanders, Rens Vliegthart. 2011. The influence of negative newspaper coverage on consumer confidence: The Dutch case. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **32**:3, 367-373. [[Crossref](#)]
365. Shumi Akhtar, Robert Faff, Barry Oliver, Avaniidhar Subrahmanyam. 2011. The power of bad: The negativity bias in Australian consumer sentiment announcements on stock returns. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **35**:5, 1239-1249. [[Crossref](#)]
366. Shiu-Sheng Chen. 2011. Lack of consumer confidence and stock returns. *Journal of Empirical Finance* **18**:2, 225-236. [[Crossref](#)]

367. R. David McLean, Mengxin Zhao. 2011. The Business Cycle, Investor Sentiment, and Costly External Finance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
368. Richard Friberg, Cristian Huse. 2011. Using Demand Systems to Evaluate Risky Projects: An Application to the Automobile Industry. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
369. Gabe De Bondt, Stefano Schiaffi. 2011. Confidence Matters for Nowcasting GDP: Euro Area and U.S. Evidence from a PMI-Based Model. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
370. Ruben Cox. 2011. Behavioral Aspects of Insurance Purchase: Evidence from Mortgage Insurance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
371. Saurin Patel. 2011. Economic Optimism, Information Uncertainty and Future Investment Decisions: Evidence from the Mutual Fund Industry. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
372. Joshy Easaw. 2010. It's all 'bad' news! Voters' perception of macroeconomic policy competence. *Public Choice* **145**:1-2, 253-264. [[Crossref](#)]
373. Oscar Claveria, Jordi Datzira. 2010. Forecasting tourism demand using consumer expectations. *Tourism Review* **65**:1, 18-36. [[Crossref](#)]
374. Ashi Küçükaslan, Sadullah Çelik. 2010. WOMEN FEEL MORE PESSIMISTIC THAN MEN: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM TURKISH CONSUMER CONFIDENCE INDEX. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* **11**:1, 146-171. [[Crossref](#)]
375. Joshy Easaw, Atanu Ghoshray. 2010. News and households' subjective macroeconomic expectations. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **32**:1, 469-475. [[Crossref](#)]
376. Claes Fornell, Roland T. Rust, Marnik G. Dekimpe. 2010. The Effect of Customer Satisfaction on Consumer Spending Growth. *Journal of Marketing Research* **47**:1, 28-35. [[Crossref](#)]
377. Alexander Hogenboom, Frederik Hogenboom, Uzay Kaymak, Paul Wouters, Franciska de Jong. Mining Economic Sentiment Using Argumentation Structures 200-209. [[Crossref](#)]
378. Jeff Dominitz, Arthur van Soest. Survey Data, Analysis of 314-322. [[Crossref](#)]
379. John A. Doukas, Constantinos Antoniou, Avaniidhar Subrahmanyam. 2010. Sentiment and Momentum. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
380. Henk Berkman, Ben Jacobsen, John B. Lee. 2010. Time-Varying Rare Disaster Risk and Stock Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
381. Shumi M. Akhtar, Robert W. Faff, Barry R. Oliver, Avaniidhar Subrahmanyam. 2010. The Power of Bad: The Negativity Bias in Consumer Sentiment Announcements on Stock Returns. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
382. Christian Dreger, Konstantin A. Kholodilin. 2010. Forecasting Private Consumption by Consumer Surveys. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
383. Torsten Schmidt, Simeon Vosen. 2010. A Monthly Consumption Indicator for Germany Based on Internet Search Query Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
384. Yasemin Özerkek, Sadullah Çelik. 2010. The link between government spending, consumer confidence and consumption expenditures in emerging market countries. *Panoeconomicus* **57**:4, 471-485. [[Crossref](#)]
385. Thomas Lux. 2009. Rational forecasts or social opinion dynamics? Identification of interaction effects in a business climate survey. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **72**:2, 638-655. [[Crossref](#)]
386. Maurizio Bovi. 2009. Economic versus psychological forecasting. Evidence from consumer confidence surveys. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **30**:4, 563-574. [[Crossref](#)]
387. Martin Cherkas, Jacob Sagi, Richard Stanton. 2009. A Liquidity-Based Theory of Closed-End Funds. *Review of Financial Studies* **22**:1, 257-297. [[Crossref](#)]

388. David Hollanders, Rens Vliegthart. 2009. The Influence of Negative Newspaper Coverage on Consumer Confidence: The Dutch Case. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
389. Darren P. Grant. 2009. What Makes a Good Economy? An Analysis of Survey Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
390. Torsten Schmidt, Simeon Vosen. 2009. Forecasting Private Consumption: Survey-Based Indicators vs. Google Trends. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
391. Ansgar Hubertus Belke, Joscha Beckmann, Michael Kühl. 2009. Global Integration of Central and Eastern European Financial Markets: The Role of Economic Sentiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
392. Sadullah Çelik, Yasemin Özerkek. 2009. Panel cointegration analysis of consumer confidence and personal consumption in the European Union. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* **10**:2, 161-168. [[Crossref](#)]
393. ALI AL-EYD, RAY BARRELL, E. PHILIP DAVIS. 2009. CONSUMER CONFIDENCE INDICES AND SHORT-TERM FORECASTING OF CONSUMPTION*. *The Manchester School* **77**:1, 96-111. [[Crossref](#)]
394. Joshy Easaw, Atanu Ghoshray. 2008. The cyclical nature of Consumer Sentiments Indices in the US and UK. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* **37**:5, 1994-1998. [[Crossref](#)]
395. Douglas J. Lamdin. 2008. Does Consumer Sentiment Foretell Revolving Credit Use?. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues* **29**:2, 279-288. [[Crossref](#)]
396. Rutger van Oest, Philip Hans Franses. 2008. Measuring changes in consumer confidence. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **29**:3, 255-275. [[Crossref](#)]
397. Karel Jan Alsem, Steven Brakman, Lex Hoogduin, Gerard Kuper. 2008. The impact of newspapers on consumer confidence: does spin bias exist?. *Applied Economics* **40**:5, 531-539. [[Crossref](#)]
398. JAN ROUWENDAL, SIMONETTA LONGHI. 2008. The Effect of Consumers' Expectations in a Booming Housing Market: Space-time Patterns in the Netherlands, 1999-2000. *Housing Studies* **23**:2, 291-317. [[Crossref](#)]
399. Eva Ferreira, M. Isabel Martínez Serna, Eliseo Navarro, Gonzalo Rubio. 2008. Economic Sentiment and Yield Spreads in Europe. *European Financial Management* **14**:2, 206-221. [[Crossref](#)]
400. Jeff Dominitz, Arthur van Soest. Survey Data, Analysis of 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
401. Joshy Z. Easaw, Atanu Ghoshray. 2007. Confidence or competence: Do presidencies matter for households' subjective preferences?. *European Journal of Political Economy* **23**:4, 1025-1037. [[Crossref](#)]
402. Martin Sommer. 2007. Habit Formation and Aggregate Consumption Dynamics. *The B.E. Journal of Macroeconomics* **7**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
403. Sarah Gelper, Aurélie Lemmens, Christophe Croux. 2007. Consumer sentiment and consumer spending: decomposing the Granger causal relationship in the time domain. *Applied Economics* **39**:1, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
404. Jan Rouwendal, Simonetta Longhi. 2007. The Effect of Consumers' Expectations in a Booming Housing Market: Space-Time Patterns in the Netherlands, 1999-2000. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
405. Ray Barrell, E. Philip Davis, Olga Pomerantz. 2006. Costs of financial instability, household-sector balance sheets and consumption. *Journal of Financial Stability* **2**:2, 194-216. [[Crossref](#)]
406. LUCIA F. DUNN, IDA A. MIRZAEI. 2006. TURNS IN CONSUMER CONFIDENCE: AN INFORMATION ADVANTAGE LINKED TO MANUFACTURING. *Economic Inquiry* **44**:2, 343-351. [[Crossref](#)]

407. Michael Lemmon, Evgenia Portniaguina. 2006. Consumer Confidence and Asset Prices: Some Empirical Evidence. *Review of Financial Studies* **19**:4, 1499-1529. [[Crossref](#)]
408. Lily Xiaoli Qiu, Ivo Welch. 2006. Investor Sentiment Measures. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
409. Maurizio Bovi. 2006. Consumers Sentiment and Cognitive Macroeconometrics Paradoxes and Explanations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
410. John A. Cotsomitis, Andy C. C. Kwan. 2006. Can Consumer Confidence Forecast Household Spending? Evidence from the European Commission Business and Consumer Surveys. *Southern Economic Journal* **72**:3, 597-610. [[Crossref](#)]
411. Michael W. M. Roos. 2005. TV Weather Forecast or Look through the Window? Expert and Consumer Expectations about Macroeconomic Conditions. *Kyklos* **58**:3, 415-437. [[Crossref](#)]
412. Burçin Güçlü, Miguel-Ángel Canela. Analytics Overuse in Advertising and Promotion Budget Forecasting 477-505. [[Crossref](#)]