




Feedback for RRMP Research Proposal Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 333792
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%, Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	borderline very good	whilst research has clearly taken place as part of your presentation, there could have been more theory drawn through the actual discussion itself. You have an extensive list of references at the end of the presentation however the discussion does not reflect the list of references that you have written as part of the presentation. Much more theory could have been drawn through your slide based discussion in your oral presentation. Please remember this for future summative.
Criticality weighted at 25%, Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Satisfactory	The content in the presentation is satisfactory from a critical perspective as you have discussed practise and some theory although theoretical discussion could have been much broader. The content does tend to be largely descriptive and reflects interpretation of research as opposed to dynamic debate [consistently looking at all the pros and cons of the discussion]. There is some comparison of fact but this needs to be more extensive for future.
Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%: Is the presentation well organised?	Satisfactory	the presentation is logical. However there needs to be visual content used to break up your slides. There is too much text on your slides and as a result at times you are reading from the slides and/or the content of the slides which reflects your discussion verbatim. This approach also leads to discussion lingering for long periods of time on some of the slides you need to consider your viewer when you are presenting and if you use extensive text on slides it stalls the flow of the discussion whilst the discussion lingers on particular slides. This means that the viewer is inclined to read the text as opposed to listen to you. This was discussed extensively in live seminars. You need to think about the engagement of your viewer when you are presenting. More consistency is also needed in the use of font size.



Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%: Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?	Satisfactory	There is a clear and logical flow to your content. However some of the slides have got an audio fade occurring and so please ensure the audio is completely consistent moving forward. Whilst the presentation talks through the key aspects you have identified for the topic it needs to be better paced moving forward. There is too much stagnation on individual slides in some of the presentation and this impedes the flow and dynamic of the presentation. Discussion needs to be less dense in places and discussion needs to be broken up evenly through stepwise slides all the way through the presentation. This will allow a more concise discussion evenly all the way through content and will ensure complete viewer engagement and understanding of your topic moving forward.
Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly? Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Satisfactory	Looking at your transcript there are scatterings of phrases and words through that transcript that align with an original matches from existing research resources. As discussed in live seminars and as outlined in your online learning materials completely paraphrase any research that you engage with to avoid issues around plagiarism. For future ensure that you use your own words and own academic tone of voice all the way through the transcript. There is a long list of references at the end of your slides and your presentation however more theory should have been drawn through the discussion, to support the fact there is a long list of references applied to the summative. Tangible research should have been drawn out more. This is just a satisfactory outcome here.
Overall		
Positives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is borderline very good knowledge of the topic demonstrated • with most outcomes being satisfactory • with a satisfactory outcome overall 		
Points for development: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • given the extensive list of references listed at the end of your summative more theory should have been drawn through the actual discussion 		



- this approach allows a better more integrated level of criticality in the content when research is used more thoroughly in a summative
- you need to break the presentation up with visuals and avoid reading text passages off the slides themselves. For future also avoid direct use of words and phrases from existing publications.