Statistical Modeling and Inference – Problem Set #5

Group 3: Niti Mishra - Miquel Torrens - Bálint Ván

November 23rd, 2015

Solution to proposed exercises.

Exercise 1

Part (a)

We define:

$$NdEF(z|\theta, q) \equiv p(z|\theta, q) = \exp\{q[z\theta - c(\theta)] + h(z, q)\}$$
(1)

Let's start by the **normal** distribution:

$$\mathcal{N}(z|\mu, q) = \frac{q}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{q}{2}(z-\mu)^2\right\}
= \frac{q}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}q(z^2+\mu^2-2z\mu)\right\}
= \frac{q}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{q\left[z\mu-\frac{1}{2}(z^2+\mu^2)\right]\right\}
= \exp\left\{q\left[z\mu-\frac{1}{2}\mu^2\right] + \log\left(\frac{q}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}qz^2\right\}$$
(2)

Now using (1) we can see the following in (2):

$$\mathcal{N}(z|\mu,q) = \exp\left\{\underbrace{q}_{=q} \left[\underbrace{z \underbrace{\mu}_{=\theta} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\mu^2}_{=c(\theta)}} \right] + \underbrace{\log\left(\frac{q}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right) - \frac{1}{2}qz^2}_{=h(z,q)} \right\}$$
(3)

Thus with the normal distribution: q = q, $\theta = \mu$ and $c(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\mu^2$.

For the **Bernouilli** distribution:

Bern
$$(z|p) = p^{z}(1-p)^{1-z}$$

= $\exp\{z \log p + (1-z) \log (1-p)\}$
= $\exp\{z \log \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) + \log (1-p)\}$

Mimetizing the analysis in (3), we have that q = 1, $\theta = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)$ and that $c(\theta) = -\log(1-p)$. Also note that:

$$\theta = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) \Leftrightarrow p = \frac{e^{\theta}}{1+e^{\theta}}.$$
 (4)

For the **binomial** distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Bin}(z|n,p) &= \binom{n}{z} p^z (1-p)^{n-z} \\ &= \exp\left\{\log\binom{n}{z} + z\log p + (n-z)\log(1-p)\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{z\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) + n\log(1-p) + \log\binom{n}{z}\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{n\left[\frac{z}{n}\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) + \log(1-p)\right] + \log\binom{n}{z}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

We adapted the objective variable to proportion of successes z/n, obtaining for the binomial: q = n, $\theta = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)$ and $c(\theta) = -\log\left(1-p\right)$.

Finally, for the **Poisson** distribution:

Pois
$$(z|\lambda)$$
 = $\frac{\lambda^z e^{-\lambda}}{z!}$
 = $\exp\{z \log \lambda - \lambda - \log z!\}$
 = $\exp\{[z \log \lambda - \lambda] - \log z!\}$

And using (1) that means that for Poisson q = 1, $\theta = \log \lambda$ and $c(\theta) = \lambda$.

Part (b)

The procedure to obtain the canonical links is the following:

- Compute the variance function: $V(\mathbb{E}[z]) = c''(\theta)$.
- Obtain the canonical link function $g(\mathbb{E}[z]) = \int V^{-1}(\mathbb{E}[z]) d\mathbb{E}[z]$.

For the **normal** distribution, where $\theta = \mu$:

$$c(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\theta^2.$$

This means that $c'(\theta) = \theta$, $c''(\theta) = 1$ and $V^{-1}(\mu) = 1$. Then:

$$g(\mu) = \int d\mu = \mu.$$

For the **Bernouilli** and **binomial** distributions, which share $c(\theta)$, recall (4):

$$c(\theta) = -\log(1-p) = -\log\left(1 - \frac{e^{\theta}}{1+e^{\theta}}\right) = -\log\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{\theta}}\right) = \log(1+e^{\theta})$$

From this we obtain:

$$c'(\theta) = \frac{e^{\theta}}{1 + e^{\theta}}$$

$$c''(\theta) = \frac{e^{\theta}}{(1 + e^{\theta})^{2}}$$

$$V^{-1}(p) = \frac{1}{c''(\theta)} = \frac{(1 + e^{\theta})^{2}}{e^{\theta}} = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{p}{1-p}\right)^{2}}{\frac{p}{1-p}}$$

And finally recover:

$$g(p) = \int \frac{(1 + \frac{p}{1-p})^2}{\frac{p}{1-p}} dp = \log p - \log (1-p) = \log \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right).$$

In the case of the **Poisson** distribution, we have that $\theta = \log \lambda$ and $c(\theta) = \lambda = e^{\theta}$, thus:

$$c'(\theta) = e^{\theta}$$

$$c''(\theta) = e^{\theta} = \lambda$$

$$V^{-1}(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}$$

This implies:

$$g(\lambda) = \int \lambda^{-1} d\lambda = \log \lambda.$$

Part (c)

The likelihood function is the following:

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\operatorname{NdEF}(t_n|\theta(\mathbf{x}_n,\mathbf{w}),q\gamma_n)\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \exp\left\{\gamma_n q[t_n\theta_n - c(\theta_n)] + h(t_n,q\gamma_n)\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n q[t_n\theta_n - c(\theta_n)] + h(t_n,q\gamma_n)\right\}.$$

Then the log-likelihood:

$$\log \mathcal{L}\left(\text{NdEF}(t_n|\theta(\mathbf{x}_n,\mathbf{w}),q\gamma_n)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n q[t_n\theta_n - c(\theta_n)] + h(t_n,q\gamma_n).$$

Under the canonical link: $\theta(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}) = \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)^T \mathbf{w}$, therefore:

$$\log \mathcal{L}\left(\operatorname{NdEF}(t_n|\theta(\mathbf{x}_n,\mathbf{w}),q\gamma_n)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n q[t_n \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)^T \mathbf{w} - c(\phi(\mathbf{x}_n)^T \mathbf{w})] + h(t_n,q\gamma_n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n qt_n \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)^T \mathbf{w} + h(t_n,q\gamma_n) - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n qc(\phi(\mathbf{x}_n)^T \mathbf{w})$$

The left part of this result, the positive sum, is linear. If the right-handed sum is convex, then the negative of this sum is concave. We use the second derivative:

$$\frac{\partial c(\theta)}{\partial \theta_n} = \mathbb{E}[t_n]$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 c(\theta)}{\partial \theta_n^2} = \mathbb{E}[t_n^2] - \mathbb{E}[t_n]^2 = \mathbb{V}[t_n]$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 c(\theta)}{\partial \theta_n \partial \theta_m} = \mathbb{E}[t_n t_m] - \mathbb{E}[t_n] \mathbb{E}[t_m] = \text{cov}[t_n, t_m]$$

This final derivative evaluated for all pairs $n, m \in [1, N]$ produces the Hessian covariance matrix. The covariance matrix is positive semi-definite, and thus log-convex. Its negative is log-concave. Therefore, the log-likelihood function is log-concave.

Exercise 2

Part (a)

From the slides on MLE regression¹, we know that:

$$-2\log p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{q}) = -N\log q + q(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w})^T(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w}) + c,$$

where c gathers all constant terms not dependent on X. This yielded:

$$q_{MLE} = \left(\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{e}^T\mathbf{e}\right)^{-1}.$$

Then:

$$-2\log p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}_{MLE}, \mathbf{q}_{MLE}) = -N\log\left(\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{e}^T\mathbf{e}\right)^{-1} + \left(\frac{1}{N}\mathbf{e}^T\mathbf{e}\right)^{-1}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w}_{MLE})^T(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{w}_{MLE}) + c$$

$$= N\log\mathbf{e}^T\mathbf{e} + N\left(\mathbf{e}^T\mathbf{e}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{e}^T\mathbf{e}\right) + c$$

$$= N\log\mathbf{e}^T\mathbf{e} + c.$$

Thus proved.

Part (b)

The problem in Part (a) also yielded:

$$\mathbf{w}_{MLE} = \left(\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t}.$$

In the null model, Φ is a column vector with N components all of which are equal to 1. Consequently Φ^T is a row vector also of length N. Then:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi} = \sum_{i=1}^N 1 \times 1 = N.$$

This implies:

$$\left(\mathbf{\Phi}^T\mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{N}.$$

Also:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t} = \sum_{i=1}^N 1 \times t_i = \sum_{i=1}^N t_i.$$

Finally:

$$w_{0,MLE} = \left(\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i = \bar{t}.$$

Hence proved.

¹Slide 8

Part (c)

Using the results in Exercise 2.1:

$$D_0 = -2 \log p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{X}_{m_0} \mathbf{w}_{m_0, MLE}, q_{m_0, MLE})$$

$$= N \log(\mathbf{t} - \bar{t})^T (\mathbf{t} - \bar{t}) + c$$

$$= N \log q^{-1} + c$$

$$= -N \log q + c$$

$$D_1 = -2 \log p(\mathbf{t} | \mathbf{X}_{m_1} \mathbf{w}_{m_1, MLE}, q_{m_1, MLE})$$

$$= N \log(\mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}) + c$$

Also note that:

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x})}{\operatorname{var}(\mathbf{t})} = 1 - \frac{(\mathbf{t} - \hat{\mathbf{t}})^{T}(\mathbf{t} - \hat{\mathbf{t}})}{(\mathbf{t} - \bar{t})^{T}(\mathbf{t} - \bar{t})} = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{e}^{T}\mathbf{e}}{q^{-1}} = 1 - q\mathbf{e}^{T}\mathbf{e}$$

Now we calculate:

$$D_0 - D_1 = (-N \log q + c) - (N \log \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e} + c)$$

$$= -N \log q - N \log \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}$$

$$= -N \log q \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}$$

$$= -N \log (1 - (1 - q \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}))$$

$$= -N \log (1 - R^2).$$

This finishes the proof.