## Statistical Modeling and Inference – Problem Set #6

GROUP 3: NITI MISHRA · MIQUEL TORRENS · BÁLINT VÁN

November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2015

Solution to proposed exercises.

#### Exercise 1

#### Part (a)

Given  $t_n \sim \text{Laplace}(\mu, \Lambda)$ , the likelihood function is:

$$\mathcal{L}(\text{Laplace}(t_n|\mu, \Lambda)) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} f(t_n|\mu, \Lambda) = \left(\frac{\Lambda}{2}\right)^{N} \exp\left\{-\Lambda \sum_{n=1}^{N} |t_n - \mu|\right\}$$

#### Part (b)

If we take the MLE:

$$\max_{\mu,\Lambda} \log \mathcal{L} = \max_{\mu,\Lambda} N \log \Lambda - \Lambda \sum_{n=1}^{N} |t_n - \mu| + C$$

With C containing the terms not dependent on  $\mu$  or  $\Lambda$ . Then:

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mu} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\Lambda \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{t_n - \mu}{|t_n - \mu|} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N} \operatorname{sgn}(t_n - \mu_{MLE}) = 0 \tag{1}$$

Note that with odd N, for (1) to hold, (N-1)/2 observations need to have  $t_n \ge \mu$  and the other (N-1)/2 have  $t_n \le \mu$ . Thus:

$$\mathbb{E}[t] = \mu_{MLE} = \text{median}(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$$

is the expected value and also the median.

#### Part (c)

We derive  $\Lambda_{MLE}$ :

$$\frac{\partial \log \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Lambda} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad N \frac{1}{\Lambda} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} |t_n - \mu| = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \Lambda_{MLE} = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |t_n - \mu|\right)^{-1}$$

# Part (d)

By the equivariance property  $^1$  of the MLE:

$$var[t] = \Sigma_{MLE} = \frac{2}{\Lambda_{MLE}^2} = 2\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}|t_n - \mu|\right)^2.$$

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Wasserman's}$  Theorem 10.14.

#### Exercise 2

Part (a)

Given:

$$t_n \sim \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}, \eta_n, q) = \frac{(\eta_n q)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\eta_n q}{2} (t_n - \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \mathbf{w})^T (t_n - \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \mathbf{w})\right\}$$

and:

$$\eta_n \sim \text{Gam}\left(\eta_n | \frac{\nu}{2}, \frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right)^{\nu/2}}{\left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right)!} \eta_n^{\left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right)} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right)\eta_n\right\}$$

We know:

$$p(\eta_n|t_n, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}, q) \propto p(t_n|\eta_n, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}, q)p(\eta_n)$$

$$= C\eta_n^{(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{2})} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right)\eta_n - \frac{\eta_n q}{2}\mathbf{e}_n^T\mathbf{e}_n\right\}$$

$$= C\eta_n^{(\frac{\nu+1}{2} - 1)} \exp\left\{\left(-\left(\frac{\nu}{2} - 1\right) - \frac{q}{2}\mathbf{e}_n^T\mathbf{e}_n\right)\eta_n\right\}$$

$$= C\eta_n^{(\frac{\nu+1}{2} - 1)} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\nu + q\mathbf{e}_n^T\mathbf{e}_n}{2} - 1\right)\eta_n\right\}$$

Where C contains the multiplication of the terms of the density functions not dependent on  $\eta_n$ . Observe this is a Gamma distribution with parameters:

$$\alpha_n = \frac{\nu + 1}{2}$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{\nu + q\mathbf{e}_n^T\mathbf{e}_n}{2} - 1$$

This finishes the proof.

Part (b)

 $Given^2$ :

$$Q(\theta, \theta') = \int \log (p(t, \eta | \theta)) p(\eta | t, \theta') d\eta = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log (p(t, \eta | \theta)) \right]$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Equations (4.3) and (4.4) in the lecture notes on the appendix of the lecture.

We can use  $\theta = (q, \mathbf{w})$  and through maximum likelihood compute:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \log p(t, \eta | \theta) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \left( p(t | \theta) p(\eta | t, \theta') \right) \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \log p(t | \theta) + \log p(\eta | t, \theta') \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left( \frac{q \eta_n}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} q e_n^2 \eta_n \right\} \right) + \log p(\eta | t, \theta') \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \left( \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left( \frac{q \eta_n}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} q e_n^2 \eta_n \right\} \right) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[ \log p(\eta | t, \theta') \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \left( \left( \frac{q \eta_n}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} q \sum_{n=1}^{N} e_n^2 \eta_n \right\} \right) \right] + c$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{N}{2} \log q - \frac{q}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (t_n - \phi(\mathbf{x}_n) \mathbf{w})^2 \eta_n \right] + c$$

$$= \frac{N}{2} \log q - \frac{q}{2} (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w})^T \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{H} \right] (\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{w}) + c$$


Where **H** is a diagonal matrix with  $\mathbf{H}_{nn} = \eta_n$ , and c contains all terms not dependent on  $\theta$ . The distribution of  $\eta_n$  will not depend on  $\theta$  but on the previous  $\theta' = (q', \mathbf{w}')$ , and given that for  $t \sim \operatorname{Gam}(\alpha, \beta)$  we have  $\mathbb{E}[t] = \alpha/\beta$ , then:


$$\mathbb{E}[\eta_n] = \frac{\frac{\nu+1}{2}}{\frac{\nu+q'(e'_n)^2}{2} - 1} = \frac{\nu+1}{\nu + q'(e'_n)^2 - 2} = \frac{\nu+1}{\nu + q'(t_n - \phi(\mathbf{x}_n)\mathbf{w}')^2 - 2}$$

Hence proved.

## Exercise 3


# Part (a)





# Part (b)

For completeness, we plot the values including the deviance constant terms. The residuals resemble in both models and the simulations produce very similar 99% quantiles, though not exact. This indicates that with high probability the input data is indeed Gaussian.




# Part (c)

We can decide to stop the EM algorithm when the values for the estimated parameters  $\theta$  estabilize, which is very similar to saying when the log-likelihood stops increasing (the sequential iterations increase its value by a very small number, say  $10^{-6}$ ).

Index


Example (parameter stabilization: q and  $\mathbf{w}$ , here only  $w_1$  for illustration):

Index



Example (log-likelihood stabilization):





# Part (d)

We can choose  $\nu$  by running the EM algorithm for different values of  $\nu$  and then choosing the smallest  $\nu$  that maximizes the log-likelihood, i.e. the one for which increasing  $\nu$  raises log-likelihood by a reasonably small amount, here we use 0.1.

## Graphical representation:

#### Choosing v (stabilized at v = 24)

