Z test Two group compansion: Do women have a greater risk of developing tennis elbow? Null hypothesis, Ho 7 Pasomen: Pmen.

In USA.

Pasomen = 0.11

Women | II | 89 | 100

Men. | 20 | 90 | 100

Total | 21 | 179 | 200 AUSTRALIA . TE Non-TE Total Men 6 94 100 Men 6 44 100
Total 28 179 200 But does this mean, we have enough evidence . No. No enough evidence. In Australia sample, is the difference in the SAMPLE above big enough to infer a difference in the population? \$=0.20 \$=0.06 → use Z test, nwomen = 100 Pwomen = 0.20 \ p = 0.13

Nmen = 100 Pmen = 0.06 Z = Proomen - Promen, Z = 2.948, pvalue = 0.0032.

PX(1-P) x (1 + 1) . 80, Z so score is accepting basedon

regrating null hypothesis as P value is less than 091. Another method, Chi- square test, chi- sq = 8.664, p-value = 0.0032. 30, by seeing p=0.0032, yes there is a significant difference between the men? 2 Score Gaussian/Normal Distribution U=0 Standard Normal Distribution Convert 11-7 J-20 11-0 11 11+1 11+20 Urson with help of L 66% -Zscore we will be able to convert 99.7% data this part. Zscore = xi-u In feature engineering we use standard normilization which is also a z score. Suppose is a class, any student marks is $\mu = 75$ and standard deviation $\sigma = 10$.

What is the probability student will score more than 602 P(x >60) → (we have to) convert to standard normal dist find this great -2:-1 0 +1 +2 45 55 65 75 85 45 For 3, it is half of 55 : 65 75 85 95 L 66% -1 chart that is 50%. 60, which is equal to -1.5 of distribution. But by this we connot get so through 2 score we will And area < -1.5 which is area 1 that is around 6% Arra = (1 + (2) + (5) 100% = 6%+(2)+50% exactly at 60. we found the Z table /2 chart. (2) = 49% . So, 44% is the probability student will score 760.