MANJARA CHARITABLE TRUST

RAJIV GANDHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MUMBAI

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Professor Name : PROF.DIPAK GAIKAR

Subject Name : OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING METHODOLOGY

Year : 2019 Div : A

Feedback Questionnaire

Q.1 How does the teacher explain the subject?

Feedback: Inadequate

Q.2 How is the language and communication of the teacher?

Feedback: Satisfactory

Q.3 How much opportunity does the teacher give for q & a?

Feedback: Occasional Opportunity

Q.4 How is the teacher's control and command over the class?

Feedback: Some Disorder in class

Q.5 How does the teacher stimulate you to think about the subject?

Feedback: Rarely Stimulating

Q.6 What is the attitude of the teacher towards you?

Feedback: Sympathetic

Q.7 How much of the syllabus does the teacher complete?

Feedback: 75% - 90%

Q.8 Does the teacher victimize some students?

Feedback: Occasionally

Q.9 How much of class time does teacher use for teaching the subject and doesnt diviate?

Feedback: 75% - 90%

Q.10 Does the teacher show favouriteism towards the students in or outside class?

Feedback: Never

Q.11 When does the teacher return the corrected periodic test or assignment?

Feedback: Within a Fortnight

Q.12 How puntual is the teacher when coming to the class?

Feedback: Occasionally Late

MANJARA CHARITABLE TRUST RAJIV GANDHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MUMBAI

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Question no	Α	В	С	D	Е	Total	Average %	
-------------	---	---	---	---	---	-------	-----------	--

Overall Average :0%

Student Reviews

Not able to explain the topics to us and usually makes mistakes while explaining.

Does not know in depth knowledge of the subject. always writes every program by seeing into the notebook. mostly talks in tapori language.

He needs to teach by taking more examples. the infrastructure of oopm lab is not satisfactory (some of the pcs dont work).i would recommend instead of assignments we would like to have projects excl Not so much interactive and sometimes fail to help us with the questions like the submission dates. Has the depth of knowledge but cant explain well enough.

Good

Needs to improve his english .fails to explain the concepts well. doesnot have command over the class .lacks adequate knowledge in the subject. should be more organised and focus on relevant things ov

Pcs are very slow

some pcs are not even in working condition

He doesnt the subject quite well

Institute:

the labs are not properly equipped,

the ventilation is not proper atleast provide the classrooms with vents,

the pcs are really slow, printers not available,

half of the pcs dont work