Meeting 4

Date	Tuesday, 02 February 2021		
Attendees	s Dr Ali Yetisen (AY), Marie Jones (MJ), Mathusan Kandiah (MK), Zong Lee		
	(ZL), Yuxin Liu (YL), Mustafa Nasar (MN), Helen Ogbobi (HO), Wei Ooi		
	(WO), Andreas Richardson (AR), Stephen Tan (SN), Sathurthini		
	Thurairatnam (ST), Mingchuan Zheng (MZ), Antonia Feilden (AF), Abdullah		
	Ahmed (AA)		
Apologies	None		
Chair	Mingchuan Zheng (MZ)		
Secretary	Marie Jones (MJ)		

Minutes

Item	Discussion		
1 –	MZ: set availability table, PowerPoint for daily checks → better group		
Implementation	coordination.		
of last meeting	All: no comment		
suggestions			
2 - Progress	Synthesis and Reactor teams		
update	MZ: collaboration with reactor team, completed design for nitration of toluene and o-toluidine synthesis. Bottleneck: oxidation of nitrotoluene to nitro-benzaldehyde		
	ZL: challenge is to collect useful kinetic data → how do we work around that if we can't get useful data? AY: 3 approaches		
	1) educated guess, look at similar product from same market, do we have any comparable products from the industry?		
	MZ: no kinetic data for intermediate step to benzaldehyde → only data for nitrotoluene directly to benzoic acid		
	AY: have you explored simulation packages so far and what was the outcome?		
	MZ: we haven't done simulation yet, from Aspen expert → do estimation with aspen, we don't need comprehensive set of kinetics data for interim report (conversion vs time might sufficient)		
	AY: comments from expert? YL: common to not find kinetic data in industry → only use yield and conversion to simplify but if we want to fully design the reactor, we need the intrinsic rate of reaction, for interim we only need conversion		
	value. AY: what did expert advise you to do?		
	YL: all literature gives conversion vs time → get concentration profile → chose reaction time and extrapolate.		
	AY: You can make extrapolation that is perfectly fine to do		
	extrapolation but determine error from extrapolation. How would you do		
	extrapolation actually?		
	YL: R ² analysis on Matlab or Excel		
	AY: Good start but what if it is not linear?		
	MZ: assume first order so should be linear.		
	AY: if more complicated kinetic, R² should be close to 99% → we send		
	him error		

AF: Have you consider reduction and then oxidation?

AR: reduction and then oxidation → oxidation attacks amino group → takes you back to where you started → it is preferred to do oxidation followed by reduction.

AF: have we started looking at SDS of product?

AR: broadly considering it, when we see very hazardous chemicals \rightarrow consider factor as we go along.

HO: we have NFPA values, auto-ignition temperature, flash point

MJ: What are the 3 other approaches to find kinetics?

AY: 2) Info from publication, patents

What do you get from patents and papers → say it's close enough from our work, comparable studies, extrapolate that data and cite in report

AY: extract the data we need from those studies

AR: usual problem is that they only give partial info, kinetic constant but not activation energy

AY: make sure data from two papers are compatible if we put them together

AY: 3) reach out to alumni in industry + email expert frequently

MZ: no success in reaching out so far

AY: verify and validate that result are reasonable → very important

AF: look at supplementary info of paper + email authors

MZ: people usually don't respond

AY: not motivated to reply to undergrads

AR: papers from 70s, are people even still around?

ZL: question reactor: for interim report, are you looking at high level like PFR/CSTR or details for microreactors?

AY: details not so important in first report \rightarrow have correct direction, don't go too much in detail but we still need to have equal distribution of different sections \rightarrow don't do 60% of report on synthesis \rightarrow balance between details and general \rightarrow use space accordingly and use small figures like in papers, he prefers short reports

MJ: should we not consider sections weighting in Klaus' assessment form for size of sections?

AY: arrange like that too → find with both approaches but more words don't always mean higher grade

Separation team

MK: nitric acid recovery, decanter on aspen → we use calculator block → suggestion for Aspen expert; nitrotoluene separation, plan to have most of it done in the next two days, might be too optimistic

AY: how are you including modularity?

MZ: modularity comes form ability to switch between production of aminobenzaldehyde and aminobenzoic acid. Change residence time by changing reactor volume → multiple reactors with by-passes.

AY: to recap, modularity can be applied to type of chemicals, volume produced, purity → look at Merck, sigma-aldrich for different purity → don't always need highest purity level → be able to switch for specification of customer

MK: we can play with purity levels

SN: downstream depends on reaction conditions for oxidation and hydrogenation

If low conversion, recycle valuable feed

Include modularity → range of purity → we will consider

Question to AY: besides stream table and H&M balances for
separation units + quick sizing, what else to include in interim report?

AY: most important → evidence-based approach → present data and
assumptions of each separation step

YL: separation first part \rightarrow patent search \rightarrow good info on isomer separation \rightarrow been following that so far

AY: make sure you have validation step, back it up with data and publication but justify why we chose this options among all the alternations, produce our know data (bunch of graphs → make more clear decision)

MK: Jackland analysis → look at physical data and safety

AY: very good to have in decision process!

Aspen Modelling

YL: presentation of flowsheet: 1) toluene nitration → 99% conversion in small reactor → consider microreactor, 2) decanter replaced by distillation → aspen model doesn't have to be perfect, as long as literature backs it up → consider replacing B1 with calculator block 3) B3 distillation for ortho/para-isomers

AY: too technical, just give general overview and issues, don't discuss details, should be done with technical experts

EHS team

HO: wrote sections, did table for hazard identification, working on risk matrix, identified main hazards but hard to rank \rightarrow check with Chris how to do that without too much subjectivity from own judgement, doing F&EI for nitration \rightarrow problem with pressure relief \rightarrow ask Chris, we need to do waste treatment, waiting for other people

AY: Chris comments on safety?

HO: talked about expectations of interim → consider safety and environment in decision making steps for plant design

Economics team

MN: excel for CAPEX estimations and EP1, Bridgewater method for CAPEX calculation, writing market analysis

ST: market analysis, do we need to explain assumption for demand value in report?

AY: yes include market, who will be our main customers?

ST: pharma and agrochemicals

AY: name of companies, where are they and what are they selling as end products?

ST: we don't know that level of details

AY: Target customer companies, example with GSK → look at product portfolio and what do they need to produce that, who are the competitors, purity, volumes sold, suppliers

High level overview of what companies are doing \rightarrow based on main products \rightarrow look at market trends \rightarrow estimation production range

ST; looking at market report so far

AY: look up 2-3 companies in China, find suppliers

SN: do you have a data base/website to recommend?

AY: simple Google search, can someone quickly look for supplier in china, do it live

MZ: found shanghai chemex group

AY: easy to find suppliers/competitor/volumes

3 – Expectations for interim report MZ: what do you expect from us in interim report?

AY: Have abstract overview, overall summary of 200 words

Intro

Process synthesis Process description

Sizing

One page discussion before conclusion → regarding assumptions made, results obtained → compare our own work to published work,

limitations, how we are moving forward with main report

Are you writing in Latex?

SN: in Latex

AY: Antonia, Abdullah's comment on report structure

AA: even distribution because interim report

AY: only provides guideline and Klaus decides on final grade

AF: need to more time to give meaning full feedback → good to have

chemistry, process, economics, EHS AA: referencing of literature → kinetics

AF: if we have space, product purity, ex: methanol market for different purity, add economics justification in synthesis, purer more expansive

4 – AOB

AY: keep it high level, just say in which direction we are going Something on AF and AA

Offers to give feedback on interim report before

AY: timeline, send 3 days in advance, increased responsibility (family, coursework, etc), not available on short notice, doesn't include weekends (lol), get to AF and AA by Friday, get feedback during the weekend → exception for this time because tight schedule

AF and AA: OK to have a look over the week-end → send on Friday AY: copy him in email

5 - Finishing

Next meeting: 13:00 Tuesday 9 February 2021.

Actions

Description	Assignee	Due (18:00)		
Send interim report draft to AF, AA, AY	All	05/02		
Synthesis				
 Complete kinetics model to level of interim report requirements 	AR, MJ, MZ, ZL, WO	03/02		
 Finalise routes for 4-aminobenzoic acid and 4- aminobenzaldehyde 	AR, MJ, MZ, ZL, WO	03/02		
- Give conversion data of all reactions	MJ	03/02		
Reactor				
- Sizing of reactors	AR, ZL, WO	05/02		

-	Qualitative design of nitration reactor	AR, ZL, WO	05/02
-	Exploration of reactor alternatives	AR, ZL, WO	05/02
Separ	ation		
-	Finalise technique for each separation step	MK, SN	03/02
-	Get mass and energy balances for each separation	MK, SN, YL	04/02
-	Sizing of units	MK, SN, MJ	04/02
Aspen	Modelling		
-	Model oxidation and hydrogenation reactions	YL, MJ, MZ, AR, ZL	04/02
-	Model downstream processing	YL, MK, SN	05/02
-	PFD	AR, MJ	06/02
Busine	ess		
-	Revise market analysis	ST	04/02
-	CAPEX estimation	MN, ST	
-	EP1	MN, ST	
Safety			
-	consult Chris at next EHS concerning Fire and Explosion Index and ranking likelihood and severity for risk matrix	HO, ST, AR, MJ, MZ, ZL, WO	04/02
-	Talk to synthesis/reactor/flowsheet team about pathways considered to ensure inherently safer design is implemented	HO, ST, AR, MJ, MZ, ZL, WO	05/02

Approval

Ali K. Yetisen

Dr Ali Yetisen Facilitator Mingchuan Zheng

Mingchuan Zheng Chair) (| OIL

Marie Jones Secretary

Signature: Mingchuan Zheng (Feb 2, 2021 16:21 GMT)

Email: mingchuan.zheng16@imperial.ac.uk

Signature: Ali Kemal Yetisen

Email: a.yetisen@imperial.ac.uk

2021-02-02_signed

Final Audit Report 2021-02-02

Created: 2021-02-02

By: Marie JONES (marie.jones17@imperial.ac.uk)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAmqA0rvTWDh6wC4s4_jltJTasyY74tpRg

"2021-02-02_signed" History

Document created by Marie JONES (marie.jones17@imperial.ac.uk) 2021-02-02 - 4:09:53 PM GMT- IP address: 93.6.92.18

- Document emailed to Mingchuan Zheng (mingchuan.zheng16@imperial.ac.uk) for signature 2021-02-02 4:10:32 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Mingchuan Zheng (mingchuan.zheng16@imperial.ac.uk) 2021-02-02 4:10:58 PM GMT- IP address: 81.99.111.43
- Document e-signed by Mingchuan Zheng (mingchuan.zheng16@imperial.ac.uk)
 Signature Date: 2021-02-02 4:21:53 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 129.31.225.56
- Document emailed to Ali K. Yetisne (a.yetisen@imperial.ac.uk) for signature 2021-02-02 4:21:54 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Ali K. Yetisne (a.yetisen@imperial.ac.uk) 2021-02-02 4:22:28 PM GMT- IP address: 90.255.13.159
- Document e-signed by Ali K. Yetisne (a.yetisen@imperial.ac.uk)

 Signature Date: 2021-02-02 4:23:18 PM GMT Time Source: server- IP address: 90.255.13.159
- Agreement completed. 2021-02-02 - 4:23:18 PM GMT