

# Biodiversity for the National Parks

NIU Muyuan Reviewable project MAR.2 2018

# Contents

- ▶ 1. Description and analysis of the data base
- ▶ 2. Significance calculations in endangered status
- ▶ 3. Conclusion for endangered species
- ▶ 4. Investigating Foot and mouth disease in sheep
- ▶ 5. Graphs

#### 1. Description and analysis of the data base

Description of the data base:

The quantitative analysis was base on « species\_info.csv » which contains 5 541 species classified into 7 categories in the National Parks.

| category          | Species |  |
|-------------------|---------|--|
| Reptile           | 78      |  |
| Amphibian         | 79      |  |
| Fish              | 125     |  |
| Mammal            | 176     |  |
| Nonvascular Plant | 333     |  |
| Bird              | 488     |  |
| Vascular Plant    | 4262    |  |
| Total             | 5541    |  |

#### 1. Description and analysis of data base

The number of species that fall into each level of conservation status:

| Conservation status | Species |  |
|---------------------|---------|--|
| In Recovery         | 4       |  |
| Threatened          | 10      |  |
| Endangered          | 15      |  |
| Species of Concern  | 151     |  |
| No Intervention     | 5363    |  |
| Total               | 5541    |  |

## 2. Significance calculations in endangered status

The Investigating Endangered Species: the percentage of protected species

| Category          | Not protected | Protected | % protected |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| Amphibian         | 72            | 7         | 8,86%       |
| Bird              | 413           | 75        | 15,37%      |
| Fish              | 115           | 11        | 8,73%       |
| Mammal            | 146           | 30        | 17,05%      |
| Nonvascular Plant | 328           | 5         | 1,50%       |
| Reptile           | 73            | 5         | 6,41%       |
| Vascular Plant    | 4216          | 46        | 1,08%       |
| Total             | 5541          |           |             |

Are certain types of species more likely to be endangered?

The **Chi-Squared** Test for Significance:

Between the percentages of protected birds and mammals:

p-value = 0.688 < 0.05 : the difference is **not significant** 

Between the percentages of protected reptiles and mammals:

p-value = 0.038 > 0.05 : the difference is **significant** 

### 3. Conclusion for endangered species

According to the significance calculations, we can conclude that certain types of species are more likely to be endangered than others.

Every endangered species need our care, we accord especially more attention to the most endangered species. There are two recommendations for conservationists:

- Protecting the endangered species's habitat to help them survival and expansion by respecting the Endangered Species Act;
- 2. All measures for endangered species should based on scientific evidence, communicate necessary informations to researchers in order to make effective plan

#### 4. Investigating Foot and mouth disease in sheep

Description of the data base:

The investigating was base on species\_info.csv + observations.csv » recorded 1188 sheeps at 4 national parks for the past 7 days

| Park name                           | observations |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Bryce National Park                 | 250          |
| Great Smoky Mountains National Park | 149          |
| Yellowstone National Park           | 507          |
| Yosemite National Park              | 282          |
|                                     | 1188         |

### 4. Investigating Foot and mouth disease in sheep

To determine the sample size necessary for confident measurements:

Given a **baseline** of 15% occurrence of foot and mouth disease in sheep at **Bryce** National Park, if the scientists wanted to be sure that a >5% drop (means  $15\% \rightarrow 10\%$ ) in observed cases of foot and mouth disease in the sheep at Yellowstone was significant they would have to observe at least 510 sheep. To calculate the Minimum detectable effect = (10 - 15)/15 \* 100 = 33%

It would take approximately **one week** of observing in **Yellowstone** to see that many sheep, or approximately **two weeks** (250/510 = 2.04) in **Bryce** to check 510 sheep.

# 5. Graphs





