Sample Pearson: Y=是Ui-あいっか信はっかをはずり Two Ordinal Variables: (not int in assoc, but direction) estimation: p= ELX-MOLY-MUDOx by

Cramers V: $V = \sqrt{\frac{37n}{m_{\rm in}(V+1,c-1)}}$ Tigow $e(\sigma_1)$, high-> stronger tendency Cramers V: $V = \sqrt{\frac{37n}{m_{\rm in}(V+1,c-1)}}$ C:cot for cat of X ~ Cat of Y (particular)

1 Basic Hypothesis Testing

Measures of variability: standard deviation & range: $x_{(n)} - x_{(1)}$ & interquartile range(\overline{IQR}): $Q_3 - Q_1$, first, second, third quartiles. Measures of shape: positive/rights kewed, negative/left skewed

skewness=
$$\frac{E[(X-\mu)^3]}{\sigma^3} \text{ (sample} = \frac{n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_i - \overline{X})^3}{S^3} \text{)}$$

kurtosis= $\frac{E[(X-\mu)^4]}{\sigma^4}$ – 3, measures the heaviness of tails, compared to a normal distribution.

sample=
$$\frac{n(n+1)}{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_i - \overline{X})^4}{S^4} - \frac{3(n-1)^2}{(n-2)(n-3)}$$

3 Basic Hypothesis Testing 3.1 Basic concepts

Type I error(α): reject H_0 when H_0 is actually the truth. Type II error() β): fail to reject H_0 when H_1 is the truth.

 $\alpha = \Pr(\mathbf{x} \in RR|H_0 \text{ is true}), \beta = \Pr(\mathbf{x} \notin RR|H_1 \text{ is true}).$

The p-value is the prob of obtaining test result at least as extreme as the result actually observed during the test, assuming H_0 is truth.

Due to the randomness of the observed data, p-value is r.v., which $\sim U[0,1]$ Statistic Power: the prob of rejecting H_0 when H_1 is true./ power=1- β Sampling dist: dist of the point estimate based on samples of a fixed size from a population.

Interpretation of CI: having numerous sample datasets and the 95% CI is computed for each sample dataset, then the fraction of cmputed CI that encompass the true parameter would tend toward 95%.

3.2 Hypothesis Testing for Categorical Variables

One-sample **z** test: $\hat{p} = \frac{\sum X_i}{n_i}$, SE of estimate $SE(\hat{p}) = \sqrt{p(1-p)/n}$ test statistic: $Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1-p_0)/n}} \sim_{asy} N(0,1)$.

Wald interval:
$$\hat{n} + z \approx \sqrt{\hat{n}(1-\hat{n})/n}$$

Wald interval: $\hat{p}\pm z_{\alpha/2}\times\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})/n}$ Need for sample size: $(\text{CI})n\hat{p}\geq10\&n(1-\hat{p})\geq10$ (test on p) $np_0\geq10\&n(1-\hat{p})\geq10$ $n(1-p_0) \ge 10$

There are other types of intervals, e.g., the Wilson(or score)interval, the Clopper-Pearson(or exact)interval, etc

$$\begin{split} &\textbf{Two-sample z test: } H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0, \text{ we have } \hat{p_1} = \frac{\sum X_{1i}}{n_1}, \ \hat{p_2} = \frac{\sum X_{2i}}{n_2} \\ &SE(\hat{p_1} - \hat{p_2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}, \text{ under } H_0, \\ &SE(\hat{p_1} - \hat{p_2}) = \sqrt{p(1-p)(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})} \end{split}$$

$$SE(\hat{p_1} - \hat{p_2}) = \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$$
, under E

$$SE(\hat{p_1} - \hat{p_2}) = \sqrt{p(1-p)(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}$$

test statistic: $Z = \frac{(p-1)}{\sqrt{p(1-p)(1-1)}} \frac{n^2}{1-p} \sim_{asy} N(0,1)$ where p is estimated by

pooled porportion $\hat{p} = \frac{n_1 \hat{p}_1 + n_2}{n_1 + n_2}$

Need for sample size: $(CI)n_i\hat{p}_i \stackrel{\sim}{\geq} 10\&n_i(1-\hat{p}_i) \geq 10$ (test on p) $n_i\hat{p} \geq 10\&$ $n_i(1-\hat{p}) > 10 \text{ for } i=1,2$

Test for contingency table: Pearson's chi-square test can be used to assess: Goodness of fit&Homogeneity&Independence

For Got: $H_0: p_1 = p_{01}, ..., p_m = p_{0m}$. Pearson's chi-square test statistic is $\overline{\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(O_1 - E_i)^2}{E_i}} \sim_{asy} \chi^2_{m-1}, \, O_i \text{ is the obs freq of the ith category,}$ $E_i = np_{0i} \text{ is the expected freq under } H_0.$

For homog&indep:groups=r, category=c, $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$ $\sim_{asy} \chi^2_{(r-1)(c-1)}$ under hom or indep ass, where E_{ij} is the expected freq of cell (i, j) assuming indep: $E_{ij} = np_i \cdot p_{\cdot j}$, when r=c=2, χ^2 equiv two sample

z test for binary variables Ass for applay this test: (1) obs X_{1i} and X_{2i} are indep samples (2) sample size is enough(cell counts greater or equal to 10)

When sample is small, apply exact tests to compute p-values: 1. Fisher's exact test: with the same margins(same row and col sums) 2.Barnard's

exact test: only the row margins are fixed, more powerful than the Fisher's McNemar's Test for paired samples: $H_0: p_1 = p_2$

3.3 Hypothesis testing for Continuous Variable

One-sample t test:(for normal population) $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$, test statistic

 $\overline{T = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{X} - \mu_0)}{S}} \sim t_{n-1}(\text{exact})$ The larger the d.f., the more closely the dist approximates N(0,1)

By CLT, T asy follows N(0,1), under H_0 , the t-test provides an exact test. Two-sample t test:(for 2 indep normal populations) $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$, if assuming that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma$, then pooled sample standard deviation

$$\begin{split} S_p &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} (\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_{1i} - \overline{X}_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (X_{2i} - \overline{X}_2)^2) = \\ \sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}, \text{ test statistic:} T &= \frac{\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2}{\sqrt{S_p^2 (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} \sim t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2}(\text{exact}) \end{split}$$

$$\sqrt{S_p^2(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}$$

 \underline{F} test, $H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$, test statistic: $F = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \sim F(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$

when the variance are not equal,
$$SE(\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2)$$
 is better estimated by

 $\sqrt{S_1^2/n_1 + S_2^2/n_2}, \text{ thus the test statistic is } T_s = \frac{\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2}{\sqrt{S_1^2/n_1 + S_2^2/n_2}} \sim_{asy} t_v,$

where
$$v=\frac{(S_1^2/n_1+S_2^2/n_2)^2}{\frac{(S_1^2/n_1)^2}{n_1-1}+\frac{(S_2^2/n_2)^2}{n_2-1}}$$
 is called Satterthwaite/Welch's t-test.

Nonparametric test for Means/Median: Sign test: $H_0 : m = m_0$, let N^+ be the number of positive signs obtained upon calculating $X_i - m_0$ for i = 1, ..., n,under H_0 , $N^+ \sim Bin(n, p)$ with p = 0.5, take one-sample z-test.

Wilcoxon signed-rank test: compute $\{X_i - m_0\}_{i=1}^n \rightarrow \text{order}$ $\{|X_i - m_0|\}_{i=1}^n$ and assign ranks \rightarrow sums of ranks(positive)= S^+ When sample size n is large(> 20), by CLT, under H_0 , we have $W = S^+ \sim_{asy} N(\frac{n(n+1)}{4}, \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{24})$ 3.4 Multiple Comparsions

Type I error/alpha inflation. To control the family-wise error rate(FWER).

i.e., the prob of incorrectly rejecting at least one H_0 .

Bonmferroni: $\bar{p}_i = \min\{m \times p_i, 1\}$ or $\bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha/m$ is conservative when m is large or the tests are highly positively correlated.

Holm adjustment: step 1:if $p_{(1)} \le \alpha/m$, reject $H_{(1)0}$ and continue, else stop \cdots step m:if $p_{(m)} \le \alpha$, reject $H_{(m)0}$. with larger threshold (more powerful): $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha/(m-i+1)$ & adjusted p-value: $\tilde{p}_{(i)} = \{1, max\{(m - i + 1)p_{(i)}, \tilde{p}_{(i-1)}\}\}\$

4 Linear Regression: Model Fitting

4.1 The Multiple Linear Regression

Regression analysis: describe the mean of the distribution of one variable (response) as a function of other variables (explanatory): E(Y|X) = f(X). Regression Model: $y = X\beta + \epsilon$: X, design matrix, β : vector of parameter. Least Square Method: assumptions: 1.All explanatory variables X_i are fixed 2.random errors are uncorrelated with $E(\epsilon) = 0\&Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2$.

Two results: $\frac{\partial a^T x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x^T a}{\partial x} = a$ and $\frac{\partial (x^T A x)}{\partial x} = (A + A^T) x$ $SSE(\beta) = ||y - X\beta||^2 \rightarrow \beta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y \rightarrow \text{fitted value(orthogonal)}$ projection): $\hat{y} = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty = Hy, H$: hat matrix (projection matrix) $E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}, Var(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}^T)^{-1}, \ \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{RSS}{n-p-1}$ is a unbiased estimator. Maximum Likelihood Estimation: Assumptions: 1. All explanatory variables X_i are fixed 2.random errors are i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$ Under ass, have important results: 1. $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1})$ 2. $\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{RSS}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-p-1}$ 3. $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are independent, need has two cons If A, B(scalars matrices) and $y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$, then 1. $Ay \sim N(A\mu, A\Sigma A^T)$ 2. Ay and By are indep iff $A\Sigma B^T = 0$

4.2 Testing the Regression Coefficients

Single: $H_0: \beta_i = 0$. Denote (i+1)-th diagonal element of $(X^T X)^{-1}$ as c_{ii} , $\overline{\text{as } \hat{\beta}} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X^TX)^{-1})$, have $\hat{\beta}_i \sim N(\beta_i, c_{ii}\sigma^2)$, test statistic:

$$T = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i - 0}{c_{ij}\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim t_{n-p-1}$$
 under H_0

Several: $H_0: \beta_{k+1} = \cdots = \beta_p = 0$. Def two models, full model: $\overline{Y = \beta_0} + \beta_1 X_1 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k + \cdots + \beta_p X_p + \epsilon$ and reduced/restricted model(k < p): $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ddot{X}_1 + \cdots + \beta_k \ddot{X}_k + \epsilon \rightarrow RSS_R \ge RSS_F$, test statistic: $F = \frac{(RSS_R - RSS_F)/(p-k)}{RSS_F/(n-p-1)} \sim F(p-k, n-p-1)$ under H_0 Overall significance, $H_0: \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_n = 0 \rightarrow \text{ANOVA table SST:total}$ sum of squares, SSM:explained sum of squares of the model, SSE: residual sum of squares

R Squared/Coefficient of determination: $R^2 = SSM/SST$, represents

the proportion of variance in the response variable that is explained by the explanatory variables, the remaining can be attributed to unknown variables or inherent variability. Interactive effects: two exp vars are said to interact if the effect that one of

them has on the mean response depends on the value of the other. Gauss-Markov Theorem: in linear regression model, if $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n$ satisfie: $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$ and $Var(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$; $Cov(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0, \forall i \neq j$, then

 $\hat{\beta}_{LSE}$ has the lowest sampling variance within the class of linear unbiased estimators, termed the BLUE. 5 Linear Regression: Model Selection and Diagnosis

5.1 Model Selection

With less variables: overfitting, simplicity/Interpretation

With less variables: overfitting, simplicity/interpretation Model-fitting criterion: $R_{adj}^2 = 1 - \frac{MSE_k}{MST} = 1 - (1 - R^2) \frac{n-1}{n-k-1}$, largest R_{adj}^2 is equiv to choose model smallest MSE Mallows's C_p : $C_p = \frac{SSE_k}{SSE_p/(n-p-1)} - (n-2k-2)$, under full model, k=p, $C_p = k+1 = p+1$, can be proven that $E(C_p) = k+1$, choose the model

with C_p closest to k+1 and k is small.

 $AIC = -2log(\hat{L}) + 2(k+1), BIC = -2log(\hat{L}) + logn(k+1), when n > 8.$ BIC imposes heavier penalty on k than AIC,

In an impose heaver penalty on a than AC, $I(\beta,\sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2}log\sigma^2 - \frac{(y-X^T\beta)^T(y-X^T\beta)}{2\sigma^2} + c, \text{ and } \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{(y-X^T\beta)^T(y-X^T\beta)}{2\sigma^2} + AIC = nlog(\frac{sSE_k}{n}) + 2(k+1) + c, \text{ BIC} = nlog(\frac{SSE_k}{n}) + (k+1)logn + c$ Sequential Selection: Begin with the current model, sequentially add

and/or drop one explanatory variable at a time based on whether the resulting model is superior. forward selection / backward elimination / stepwise selection

Shrinkage method:

data into groups of approximately equal size.

Ridge, minimize $SSE(\beta, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \cdots - \beta_p x_{ip})^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i^2$ is equiv $SSE(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \dots - \beta_p x_{ip})^2$ subject $\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2 \leq t$ $\hat{\beta}^{ridge} = (X^T X + \lambda I_n)^{-1} X^T y$, addition of λI_n makes nonsingular. Lasso: Because of the nature of the constraint, making sufficiently small (sufficiently large) will cause some of the coefficients to be exactly zero. Cross validation: to test the model's ability to predict new data to obtain an insight on how the model will generalize to an unknown dataset. Leave-one-out CV & k-fold CV: Shuffle the data randomly and split the

5.2 Model Diagnosis

Linearity& Homoscedasticity&Independence&Normality, lin;hom;nor Residual plots: $r_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$, first check the linear and hom by Fitted(X) versus Residual Plot(Y), i.e., scatterplots of the residuals against the fitted. Noraml Quantile-Q plot: check the normality ass, we expect that the points in the Q-Q plot will closely lie on a straight line, or histogram. Hypothesis: Hom: Breusch-Pagan test and White test BP: auxiliary regression moel: $r_i^2 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 z_{i1} + \cdots + \gamma_k z_{ik} + e_i$, $H_0: \gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_k = 0$, using F-statistic. White: All explan vars, all square vars, all intera terms are included. another form: $r_i^2 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \hat{y}_i + \gamma_2 \hat{y}_i^2 + e_i$

Normality: Shapiro-Wilk test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test $\underline{\underline{\mathrm{SW}}}$: test statistic: $W = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i r_{(i)})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (r_i - \overline{r})^2}, \ 0 \leq W \leq 1$ and small values of W

lead to rejection of normality. Dist of W under norm has no closed form, only applied when $n \leq 2000$.

<u>KS</u>: based on empirical (edf), $F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{(r_i \leq x)}$, test statistic: $D = \sup_{x} |F_n(x) - F(X)|$, F is normal cdf, $D \sim_{asy} Kolmogorov$ dist under normality, K-S test requires a relatively large (n > 2k) to take proper cdf

Independence: <u>Durbin-Watson Test</u>, we can judge whether it is reasonable to assume independence based on the nature of how the data were collected. for time series data, test statistic: $DW = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^n (\hat{\epsilon}_t - \hat{\epsilon}_{t-1})^2}{\sum_{t=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_t^2}$, detect the first order auto-corr(ass $\epsilon_t = \rho \epsilon_{t-1} + u_t$), H_0 : $\rho = 0$. $1 \le DW \le 4$, and DW=2 indicates no auto-corr. DW < 1 means strong postive auto-corr. DW > 3

means strong negative auto-corr. 5.3 Unusual Observation Source DF Sum of Squares Mean Squares F Value

6 Analysis of Variance Model p SSM Error n-p-1 SSE $MSE = \frac{SSE}{n - n - 1}$ Total π − 1 SST

6.1.1 Definition and F-test

ANOVA is used to analyze the differences among group means in a sample The model is $Y_{i,i} \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

means model: $\hat{Y}_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ and effect model: $Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$

where $\epsilon_{ij} \sim_{iid} N(0, \sigma^2)$ is random error, $\alpha_i = \mu_i - \mu$:main effect of group i. Hypothesis: $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_k$ vs. $H_1:$ not all μ_i are equal.

Assumptions: Normality & Homoscedasticity & Independence

Assumptions: with marry X monotone consisting X independence $SSB = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2$, variation between groups $SSW = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{Y}_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1)S_i^2$, variation within groups Under assumptions of independence and equal variance, we have $E(SSB) = (k-1)\sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\mu_i - \mu)^2$ and $E(SSW) = (n-k)\sigma^2$. The test statistic: $F = \frac{SSB/(k-1)}{SSW/(n-k)}$

SSB \perp SSW under H_0 , and SSB+SSW=SST $=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{n_i}(Y_{ij}-\overline{Y})^2$

With normality & under $H_0: \frac{SST}{2} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}, \frac{SSE^{1-1}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{k-1}, \frac{SSB}{2} \sim \chi^2_{n-2}, \frac{SSE^{1-1}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$. Therefore, under $H_0: F = \frac{SSB/(k-1)}{SSW/(n-k)} \sim F(k-1, n-k)$ (one-side test)

Source	df	SS	MS	F Value
Between	k-1	SSB	MSB	$F = \frac{MSB}{MSW}$
Within	n-k	ssw	MSW	
Total	n-1	SST		

6.1.2 Testing Equality of Group Variance(homoscedasticity)

Hypothesis: $H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \cdots = \sigma_k^2$ vs. $H_1: Not all \sigma_i^2$ are equal. Bartlett's: For $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k > 0$, the weighted arithmetic mean and geometric mean are $\overline{a}^A = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i a_i, \overline{a}^G = \prod_{i=1}^k a_i^{w_i}$. For $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i = 1, \overline{a}^A > \overline{a}^G$ and attain equality iff a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_k are all

equal. Let $w_i = \frac{n_{i-1}}{n-k}$, then $\overline{S}_A^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i S_i^2, \overline{S}_G^2 = \prod_{i=1}^k (S_i^2)^{w_i}$. Test statistic: $B = \frac{(n-k)(\log \overline{S}_A^2 - \log \overline{S}_A^2)}{1 + \frac{1}{3(k-1)}(|\Sigma_{i=1}^k| \frac{1}{n_i-1}) - \frac{1}{n-k}|} \sim_{approx} \chi_{k-1}^2$ under H_0

(approx need normality&large sample)

Also $\frac{(n-k)InS^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i-1)S_i^2}{1 + \frac{1}{3(k-1)} |(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i-1}) - \frac{1}{n-k}|}$ where $S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i-1)S_i^2/(n-k)$

Levene's and Brown-Forsythe test: Transform the original values of Y_{ij} to dispersion variable Z_{ij} , perform ANOVA on Z_{ij} .

Levene's: $Z_{ij} = (Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_i)^2 \text{or} |Y_{ij} - \overline{Y}_i|$ (these two tests are robust) BF use $Z_{ij} = |Y_{ij} - m_i|, m_i$ is sample median of group i. 6.1.3 Kruskal-Wallis Test (Nonparameter test)

When normality is violated, nonparametric alternative to one-way ANOVA, relpacing y_{ij} by rank \rightarrow test the equality of population group median. Rank all Y_{ij} 's from all groups together, denoted R_{ij} , $\overline{R}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} R_{ij}/n$

and $\overline{R} = (n+1)/2$

Test statistic: $KW = \frac{(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^k n_i (\overline{R}_i - \overline{R})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n (R_{ij} - \overline{R})^2} \sim_{approx.} \chi_{k-1}^2 \text{under } H_0.$

 H_0 can be rejected if $KW > \chi^2_{\alpha,k-1}$.

Outliers: Lewerage, Outlier. Influence:

lystul>3. Is outlier

Multiple Comparsions: if the CI of $\mu_i - \mu_j$ contains 0, μ_i and μ_j are not significantly different. where Tukry-Kramer for comparsions bet all pairs of means and Dunnett for comparsions bet a control and all other means. influence. Cook's distance

Leverage: 其=X(XTX)1XT his leverages.

centered version: 是= (以示打) $D_{i} = \frac{(\beta - \beta_{cis})^{T} X \times (\beta - \beta_{cis})}{(\beta + \beta_{cis})^{2}} = \frac{(\beta_{i} - \beta_{i})^{2}}{(P + 1)MSE} \cdot \frac{hii}{(H + i)}^{2} = \frac{1}{P + 1} (\gamma_{i} \cdot sh_{i})^{2} \frac{hii}{(H + i)}$ → can show hii= + + di-xi (2121'(Xi-X) large studies / higher lever 3 high Di his to move far away from X. cif Di<F(0.2,Pt),n-P1) not influential Since $\Sigma hi = P+1$. $\Rightarrow hii > 2h = \frac{2(P+1)}{N} (high)$ if F(03, PH, MP) = Di < F105, PH, MP). Possible Outlier: 2=y-g=(I-H)y, under linear if Di>Flas, PHI, n-P-1) is influe > Var (Ti) = (1-hii) b. > Studentized Tes: $\gamma_i^{Sth} = \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{81 + n_i}$ simple: Di>4/n (empirical)

ZELY, Strle3. Possible Toly less than oll cores VIF, 310) may be consider

Small enough to suspect sevious multicollinearity

6.2 Two-way ANOVA

Models: means: $Y_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ & effects: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$ α_i, β_i are the main effect of factor, γ_{ij} is the interaction effect bet A and B. Interaction effect means the effect of one factor depends on the level of the other factor.

For inversation model: $\alpha_i = \mu_i - \mu$, $\beta_j = \mu_{-j} - \mu$

then $\gamma_{ij} = \mu_{ij} - (\mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j) = \mu_{ij} - \mu_{i.} - \mu_{.j} + \mu$

The SSE to be minimized is $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{b=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (Y_{ijk} - \mu_{ij})^2$ LSE estimator: $\hat{\mu}_{ij} = \overline{Y}_{ij}, \hat{\mu} = \overline{Y}, \hat{\alpha}_i = \overline{Y}_i, -\overline{Y}, \hat{\beta}_i = \overline{Y}_{\cdot j} - \overline{Y}, \text{ and}$

 $\hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \overline{Y}_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i} - \overline{Y}_{ij} + \overline{Y}_{ij}$ Test interaction effect: H_0^{AB} : $\gamma_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, a, j = 1, \dots, b$

If H_{α}^{AB} is not reject, then test the main effect of each factor $SSM = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{ij} (\overline{Y}_{ij} - \overline{Y})^2, SSW = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (Y_{ijk} - \overline{Y}_{ij})^2$

 $SST = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} (Y_{ijk} - \overline{Y})^2 \text{ and SST=SSM+SSE}$ $\text{F-test statisitic:} F = \frac{SSM/(ab-1)}{SSE/(n-ab)} \text{ to } H_0 \text{:interaction model vs.} H_1 \text{:null model}$

furtherly decompose the variation between groups to difference sources. Consider $\overline{Y}_{ij} - \overline{Y} = (\overline{Y}_{i.} - \overline{Y}) + (\overline{Y}_{.j} - \overline{Y}) + (\overline{Y}_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i.} - \overline{Y}_{.j} + \overline{Y})$ Define $SSA = \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_i \cdot (\overline{Y}_i \cdot - \overline{Y})^2$ (variation between groups due to factor A), define $SSB = \sum_{i=1}^{b} n_{\cdot j} (\overline{Y}_{\cdot j} - \overline{Y})^2$, define

 $SSAB = \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{ij} (\overline{Y}_{ij} - \overline{Y}_{i} - \overline{Y}_{ij} + \overline{Y})^2$ (variation between groups

due to the interaction of factor A and B) Under ass of indep&homoscedasticity, $E(SSA) = (a-1)\sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_i \cdot \alpha_i^2$,

 $E(SSB) = (b - 1)\sigma^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{a} n_{i}\beta_{i}^{2}$ $E(SSAB) = (a-1)(b-1)\sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{b} n_{ij}\gamma_{ij}^2$

F-test: H_0^{AB} :All $\gamma_{ij} = 0$, H_0^{A} :All $\alpha_i = 0$, H_0^{B} :All $\beta_i = 0$

Source	df	SS	MS	F Value
A	a-1	SSA	MSA	$F^A = \frac{MSA}{MSE}$
В	b - 1	SSB	MSB	$F^B = \frac{MSB}{MSE}$
A*B	(a-1)(b-1)	SSAB	MSAB	$F^{AB} = \frac{MSAB}{MSE}$
Error	n-ab	SSE	MSE	
Total	n-1	SST		

However, SSM=SSA+SSB+SSAB is only true when all n_{ii} are equal. 6.2.3 Type I and Type III SS

We can consider the SS for a given source to be the extra variability explained when the respective term is added to the model, i.e., reduction in when the term is added.

SSA=SSE(null)-SSE(A), SSB=SSE(A,B)-SSE(A,B,AB), SSAB = SSE(A,B) - SSE(A,B,AB)

The order in which terms are entered into the model matters.

Difference:In Type I, effects are added sequentially. In Type III, assumed that all the effects are already in the model other than the effect of interest. SSA=SSE(B,AB)-SSE(A,B,AB),SSAB=SSE(A,B)-SSE(A,B,AB)

In a balanced design, Type I and Type III SS are the same, because each effect provides unique information and doesn't take away from what another effect explains. PROC GLM DATA = Work:

CLASS X1 X2; MODEL Y = X1 X2 X1*X2; (X_i, Y_i), (X_j, Y_j) is called a concordant pair if:
 (X_i > X_j & Y_i > Y_j) or (X_i < X_j & Y_i < Y_j) PROC MEANS DATA=Grade MAXDEC=3;

 $= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [B(X_i) - \overline{B(X)}] [B(Y_i) - \overline{B(Y)}]}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} [B(X_i) - \overline{B(X)}]^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} [B(Y_i) - \overline{B(Y)}]^2}}$ (X₁, Y₁), (X₁, Y₂) is called a discordant pair if:
 (X₁ > X₂ & Y₁ < Y₂) or (X₂ < X₂ & Y₁ > Y₂) R(·) denotes the rank operation; to the rank variables; assesses monotonic ranges from -1 to 1;

VAR Score; CLASS Status Year; TYPES () Status*Year; ranges from -1 to 1; TITLE 'Final Exam Grades for suitable for continuous variables and is hardly affected by outliers

nerate two two-way frequency tables with different options; PROC FREO DATA = Grade: TABLES Gender * Section; TABLES Gender * Section / MISSING NOPERCENT NOCOL NOROW: TITLE 'Student Gender by Class Section';

PROC GLM DATA = sim2 PLOTS = DIAGNOSTICS(UNPACK); MODEL loss = effort hours:

RUN;

OUTPUT OUT = sim2 fitted (Keep = loss effort hours) Residual = r Predicted = fv

The order in which terms are entered into the model does not change the Type III SS, not satisfy SSM=SSA+SSB+SSAB

7 Generalized Linear Models

7.1 Exponential Family and Generalized Linear Models

A pmf/pdf belongs to exponential family of distributions if it is of the form: $f(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(\mathbf{y})exp\{\eta(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot T(\mathbf{y}) - A(\boldsymbol{\theta})\}\$

If $\eta(\theta) = \theta$, called canonical form(where θ called canonical parameter) T(y) is the sufficient statistic of the natural parameter θ If T(y) = y, then the family is called a natural exponential family.

Binomial, poisson, exponential, normal belongs to exponential family. Property of exp_fam: for canonical

form: $f(y; \theta) = h(y)exp\{\theta \cdot T(y) - A(\theta)\}, (1) \text{ mgf of } T(Y) \text{ is}$ $M_T(\mathbf{t}) = exp\{A(\mathbf{t} + \boldsymbol{\theta}) - A(\boldsymbol{\theta})\}, (2)E(T(\mathbf{Y})) = A'(\boldsymbol{\theta}), Var[T(\mathbf{Y})] = A''(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ if consider the ϕ , we have $E(T(Y)) = A'(\theta), Var[T(Y)] = \phi A''(\theta)$ link function g s.t. $g(\mu_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_p x_{ip} = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \eta_i$ linear

predictor. canconical link: $q(\cdot) = (A')^{-1}(\cdot)$ s.t. $q(E(Y_i)) = (A')^{-1}(A'(\theta_i)) = \theta = \eta_i$

parameter estimation: $l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\theta_i y_i - A(\theta_i)]$

if use canonical link: $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}(y_{i} - \mu_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}(y_{i} - g^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T}\boldsymbol{\beta}))$ general link: $\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\frac{w_i(u_i - \mu_i)}{Var(Y_i)g'(\mu_i)}}{Var(Y_i)g'(\mu_i)}$ & score function $U(\beta) = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta}$

iterative alg: $\beta^{(m+1)} = \beta^{(m)} + [J(\beta^{(m)})]^{-1}U(\beta^{(m)})$ where J(B) is

usually replaced by $I(\beta) = E[J(\beta)]$ Fisher information matrix Another Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares(IRLS):

 $\beta^{(m+1)} = (X^T W^{(m)} X)^{-1} X^T W^{(m)} z^{(m)}$ with $W^{(m)} = diaq\{w^{(m)}\}$

where $z_{i}^{(m)} = \eta_{i}^{(m)} + (y_{i} - \mu_{i}^{(m)})g'(\mu_{i}^{(m)})$ (working response) and $w_i^{(m)} = \frac{\frac{1}{Var(Y_i|\beta^{(m)})[g'(\mu_i^{(m)})]^2}}{\frac{1}{Var(Y_i|\beta^{(m)})[g'(\mu_i^{(m)})]^2}}$ (working weight matrix)

CI: score statistic: $U = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i, (y_i - \mu_i)}{Var(Y_i)g'(\mu_i)}$ we have E(U) = 0, variance matrix of U is $V = E(UU^T)$ with (j,k) element:

 $v_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^n rac{x_{ij}x_{ik}}{Var(Y_i)[g'(\mu_i)]^2}$ rewrite as: $V = X^TWX$, where W is an n by n matrix with elements $w_{ii} = \frac{1}{Var(Y_i)[g'(\mu_i)^2]}$

 $U \sim N(0, V)$ (asymptotically), $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\beta}, V^{-1})$ (asymptotically)

Goodness of fit Deviance: $D(M) = 2(l(\hat{\beta}_S) - l(\hat{\beta}_M))$ where $g^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_i^S) = \hat{\mu}_i^S = y_i$, note that $D^* = \frac{D}{\phi} \sim \chi^2_{n-p-1}$ (asy), deviance residual: $r_{Di} = sign(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)\sqrt{d_i}, d_i$ is the contribution of the ith obs to the deviance

Generalized Pearson's Chi-Square statistic; $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2}{V(\hat{\mu}_i)}$

 $r_{Pi} = \frac{(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)}{\sqrt{V(\hat{\mu}_i)}}$, scaled version $\chi^{2*} = \frac{\chi^2}{\phi} \sim_{asy} \chi^2_{n-p-1}$

Logistic regression: why logit link: 1.canonical link 2.to ∞ 3.good interpretation. $odds = \frac{p}{1-p}$, An event with odd> 1 is more likely to happen than not happen. $OR = \frac{odds_1}{odds_2}$, OR > 1 indicates that the event is more

likely to happen in the first population. When X_i is a continuous explanatory variable, with all other x_l 's fixed, if

x_i increases by 1 unit, the odds of Y=1 changes by a multiplicative factor of $\exp(\beta_i)$.

A worker under...has 14.42 times the odds of...compared to

泊机图目 的影像,\$9962,mac分解 14(Y-y) = 2/2 (1/2) 厚軽同日 Y~Binomiab(Lip) q(p)=loo(后) figup)=p*(+p)** OUTPUT OUT = DataRegout RESIDUAL = resid;) $\frac{P}{dode} = \frac{P}{P} = \frac{P(1-z)}{P(1-z)}$ Odds Failo $\frac{QR}{dode} = \frac{odds_1}{p_2(1-z_2)}$ QR-1. 图外接触路外外。 g(pic)=leg(pic) 伸IRLS 信声,伸Wald test 和 Libolihaad ratio tast 有安量显置。 用 deviano fo fearsars chi-Square 相能和例底 X;连续 莫尼X5 fixed, X;增加1, adds of f=1 桑de expept)
X; dummy 代表:1885, expept) 是吸用和液吸用的人肺癌的 odds ratio (inity time/space: $(eg(M/T)=x^2\beta \rightarrow (eg(M)=x^2\beta + (eg(T)))$ OverdispersionP 均值落る相等。明确因不确定,psta不多等数连底 表別集 MODEL complaint(<u>fyear = last</u>) = envir|years|smoke@2; 方1 表表-endir years Smoke ① FfeitlanBotto ② 中 ③ Blan Ab Devignae 15 if ④ Rich medal 和 養情点 IR Meanton, Records, \$600\$ Roson f(y;r,p) = Pr(Y = y) =WORTEN = M + P = (1+ 4) M > M + R depended parameter DEST = NEGREN The AUC is the same with the concordance index. 在MODEL AT BE MON /AGGREGATE SCALE=NONE LACKPET (3) AHL Test) AUC can be interpreted as the 0 FP TH probability that a randomly

解制液質に some f D = $\frac{C-D}{t}$ Comma = $\frac{C-D}{t}$ Thu = $\frac{C-D}{t}$ = $\frac{C-D}{t}$ Comma = $\frac{C-D}{t}$ Thu = $\frac{C-D}{t}$ = \frac chosen positive sample has a higher predicted value than a O FP TN TEREFOL CHINE TO AUCTE THE ROC PROC LOGISTIC DATA = COPONARY DESCENDING PLOTS (ONLY) = ROC 100

randomly chosen negative one.