

## Executive Summary

**Objective:** To evaluate the production and outsourcing strategies for March and propose actionable recommendations to minimize costs, optimize capacity utilization, and ensure operational efficiency.

### Findings and Recommendations

**A&B.** We can use a linear model to create our optimization model. The objective function has a value of \$1,382,544

### C. Slight Upgrade Analysis

- **Findings:**
  - The upgrade adds 600 machine hours at \$1,500/month.
  - Produces approximately 705.88 kg of yarn.
  - Net P/L for this upgrade is **−\$223.41**, indicating a loss.
- **Recommendation:**
  - **Do not proceed** with the slight upgrade, as it results in negative returns and does not add significant value to production.

### D. Renting a New Spinning Machine

- **Findings:**
  - Renting a spinning machine for Medium Yarn costs \$3,000/month.
  - Produces 705.88 kg/month at \$5.70/kg.
  - Total savings compared to the current cost is \$1,023.53/month.
- **Recommendation:**
  - Proceed with renting the spinning machine, as it provides cost savings and increases production capacity for Medium Yarn.

### E. New Client Demand for 6,000 kg of Medium Yarn

- **Findings:**
  - Fulfilment would increase total cost to \$1,457,238.
  - Minimum price per kg to cover costs is **\$12.449**.
  - Additional questions to clarify:
    - Is this a one-time or recurring order?

- Can the client accept partial deliveries if production capacity is constrained?
- **Recommendation:**
  - Quote a price of at least \$12.45/kg to cover costs and assess the client's requirements (e.g., delivery flexibility) before committing to the order.

#### F. Sensitivity to a 5% Cost Increase

- **Findings:**
  - A  $\pm 5\%$  variation in internal production costs does not alter the optimal production allocation.
  - Current suppliers and production shifts remain robust under these conditions.
- **Recommendation:**
  - Maintain the current production strategy, as it remains cost-effective even with moderate cost variations.

#### G. Adjusting De Blasi Production

- **Findings:**
  - **Low Capacity:** De Blasi's capacity reduction requires prioritizing high-cost suppliers or renegotiating contracts.
  - **Increased Capacity:** When capacity increases, De Blasi should be utilized more due to its favorable costs.
- **Recommendation:**
  - For low capacity, negotiate overtime methods or diversify suppliers.
  - For increased capacity, allocate maximum feasible production to De Blasi to minimize costs.

#### H. Cost Sharing with Ambrosi Mill

- **Findings:**
  - One-time setup cost for Fine Yarn production at Ambrosi totals **\$2,367.38**.
  - Sharing this cost ensures a mutually beneficial arrangement and enables production optimization.
- **Recommendation:**
  - **Share up to \$2,367.38** of the one-time setup cost to enable Fine Yarn production, maintaining strong supplier relationships and long-term benefits.

## **I. Optimization Strategy and Validation**

- **Findings:**

- The optimized production schedule minimizes total costs to **\$1,382,544** while meeting demand and capacity constraints.
- No suppliers exceed their machine-hour limits, and all demand requirements are fulfilled.

- **Recommendation:**

- Validate the allocation model periodically to ensure compliance with constraints.
- Continue refining the objective function to incorporate dynamic changes, such as fluctuating costs or new supplier agreements.

## **Conclusion**

The production and outsourcing strategies are optimized under current conditions, with actionable opportunities to:

1. Avoid unnecessary upgrades.
2. Invest in strategic capacity expansion (e.g., renting the spinning machine).
3. Negotiate cost-sharing and alternative supplier arrangements to manage risks and maximize savings.

This approach ensures cost efficiency, operational flexibility, and alignment with client demands.