

## PROBLEMS

### Problem 1 (Book Problem 5.4)

Consider the dynamical system,  $\dot{x} = f(x)$ , introduced in Example 5.15.

$$\dot{x} = f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} -x_1 \\ -x_2 + x_1^k \\ x_3 + x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ . For this system:

(a) Find an explicit expression for the stable and unstable manifolds,  $S$  and  $U$ , respectively, i.e., find functions  $h_S : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $h_U : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^u$  defining these surfaces:  $S = h_S^{-1}(0)$  and  $U = h_U^{-1}(0)$ .

Compute the flow for the system:

$$\varphi_t(x_0) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{0,1}e^{-t} \\ x_{0,2}e^{-t} + \frac{x_{0,1}^k}{k+1}(e^{-t} - e^{-kt}) \\ x_{0,3}e^t + \frac{x_{0,1}^2}{3}(e^t - e^{-2t}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note for this system this can be done by first solving the autonomous  $x_1$  dynamics, and then solving for  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  dynamics using the time solutions of  $x_1$  dynamics as forcing inputs.

Note that  $x_3$  is unbounded unless

$$h_S(x_0) = x_{0,3} + \frac{x_{0,1}^2}{3} = 0$$

With initial conditions on this surface, the dynamics are stable; the stable dynamics manifold is therefore

$$S = h_S^{-1}(x) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 + \frac{x_1^2}{3} = 0 \right\}$$

Similarly, the function

$$h_U(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

zeros out the stable portions of the dynamics, such that trajectories limit backwards to zero, giving the unstable dynamics manifold:

$$\mathcal{U} = h_U^{-1}(x) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0\}$$

(b) Establish that the surfaces  $S$  and  $U$  are, in fact, manifolds. Find local coordinates for these manifolds in a neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  via coordinate charts.

First, consider Jacobians of  $h_S$  and  $h_U$ :

$$Dh_U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Dh_S = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2x_1}{3} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Each of these Jacobians have constant rank, and therefore  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{U}$  are smooth manifolds. The local coordinate charts about the origin are

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_S(x) &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} & \psi_S^{-1}(z) &= \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \psi_U(x) &= x_1 & \psi_U^{-1}(z) &= \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 0 \\ -\frac{z^2}{3} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

(c) Verify that the tangent spaces to the manifolds  $S$  and  $U$  at 0 is the stable and unstable subspaces,  $E^s$  and  $E^u$ , respectively.

The tangent space of the unstable manifold is the null space of its Jacobian evaluated at the origin,

$$T_0U = \text{null} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x = (0, 0, w), w \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

And the stable manifold is similarly, at the origin

$$T_0S = \text{null} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x = (v, w, 0), v, w \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Next, examine the stable and unstable subspaces of the linearization. The linearization of the system about the origin is

$$Df(0) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Indicating that the stable and unstable subspaces are

$$\begin{aligned}E^S &= \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \\ E^U &= \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

Note  $T_0S = E^S$  and  $T_0U = E^U$  as expected.

## Problem 2 (Will Original)

Give an example of a differentiable function  $h$  whose zero level set does not define a manifold. Specifically, find a smooth map  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , for which  $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid h(x) = 0\} = h^{-1}(0)$ , such that  $\text{rank}(h) = r$  at all but a finite number of points in  $E$ . Qualitatively, or intuitively, provide an explanation of what occurs at points where  $h$  loses or gains rank. For simplicity, you may fix  $n, m$ , and  $r$  (for instance  $n = 2, m = 1, r = 1$ ). Qualitatively, or intuitively, how does this indicate that  $h^{-1}(0)$  is not a manifold? (use an intuitive interpretation of a manifold, as a space which locally looks like  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )

$$h(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$$

At the origin, the zero level set of this function is not locally homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the structure is not a manifold.

### Problem 3 (Book Problem 6.1)

Prove the properties of class  $\mathcal{K}$  functions presented in Section 6.2 and, specifically, *invertibility* and *composability*.

- **Invertibility:** Consider  $\alpha \in \mathcal{K} : [0, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $a \geq 0$ . Note  $\alpha(0) = 0$ , and  $x > y \implies \alpha(x) > \alpha(y)$  ( $\alpha$  is injective). Since  $\alpha$  is continuous, it is surjective onto  $[0, \alpha(a))$ . As it is injective and surjective it is bijective, and  $\alpha^{-1}$  is guaranteed to exist. Furthermore,  $\alpha^{-1}(0) = 0$ , and for  $x > y \iff \alpha(x) > \alpha(y)$  implies that  $\alpha^{-1}(x) > \alpha^{-1}(y) \iff x > y$ , so  $\alpha^{-1}$  is strictly increasing. Therefore,  $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}$
- **Composability:** Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  with domains  $[0, a)$ . We have  $\alpha_2(\alpha_1(0)) = \alpha_2(0) = 0$ . For  $x, y \in [0, \min\{a, \alpha_1^{-1}(a)\})$  we have

$$x < y \iff \alpha_1(x) < \alpha_1(y) \iff \alpha_2(\alpha_1(x)) < \alpha_2(\alpha_1(y))$$

Therefore  $\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1 \in \mathcal{K} : [0, \min\{a, \alpha_1^{-1}(a)\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ .

### Problem 4 (Book Problem 6.5)

Consider the second order differential equation:

$$\ddot{\theta} + p(\theta) = 0$$

for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuously differentiable with  $p(0) = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}(\theta) \neq 0$ . This can be converted to an ODE as follows:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -p(x_1) \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $x_1 = \theta$  and  $x_2 = \dot{\theta}$ . Utilize the corresponding energy of the system given by:

$$E(x) = \frac{1}{2}x_2^2 + \int_0^{x_1} p_1(s)ds$$

to construct a Lyapunov function and give conditions on  $p$  for which the system is stable. What can you say about the asymptotic stability of the system?

In order for the energy function to be a Lyapunov function, it must be positive definite. A sufficient condition for ensuring this is  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} > 0$ . Under this condition, examine the time derivative along solutions of  $V(x) = E(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} V(\dot{x}) &= DV(x)\dot{x} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} p(x_1) & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -p(x_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This indicates that the system is stable (in the sense of Lyapunov), but is not asymptotically stable - the system will evolve on Lyapunov level sets.

## Problem 5 (Book Problem 6.6)

Consider the differential equation:

$$\dot{x} = J(x)\nabla H(x)$$

where  $J(x)$  is a skew-symmetric matrix for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\nabla H(x) = (DH(x))^\top$ . This is a generalization of Hamilton's equations of motion since we can always take  $J$  to be:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

to recover said equations. Using this interpretation we can regard  $H$  as the energy of this system. Show that solutions starting in a level-set of  $H$  remain in that level set for all future time, i.e, energy is preserved along solutions.

Consider now the differential equation

$$\dot{x} = J(x)\nabla H(x) + R(x)\nabla H(x)$$

where  $R(x)$  is negative semi-definite. This can be interpreted as a generalization of Hamilton's equations with dissipation. Show that solutions starting in a sublevel set of  $H$  remain in that sublevel set forever, i.e, energy does not increase (and may decrease) along solutions.

Compute the time derivative of  $H$  along solution trajectories:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H(x(t)) &= DH(x)\dot{x} \\ &= DH(x)J(x)DH(x)^\top \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Where the last equality holds by skew symmetry of  $J(x)$ . Therefore, we have  $H(x(t)) \equiv H(x_0)$ . If  $H$  is 'energy', as suggested by the prompt, energy is conserved along trajectories.

For the second part, again begin by computing the time derivative of  $H$  along trajectories

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}H(x(t)) &= DH(x)\dot{x} \\ &= DH(x) (J(x)DH(x)^\top + R(x)DH(x)^\top) \\ &= DH(x)R(x)DH(x)^\top \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that  $R(x) \preceq 0$  for all  $x$ . By Comparison Lemma, we have  $H(x(t)) \leq H(x_0)$  for all time, and the desired statement is shown.