

## 5401 Problem Set 2

Nikko Cleri  
February 19, 2020

### Question I.

---

Shankar, Ex. 1.8.2

Consider the matrix:

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

1.1) Is it Hermitian?

$\Omega$  is hermitian by inspection:

$$\Omega^\dagger = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \right)^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \Omega$$

1.2) Find its eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Finding the characteristic for  $\Omega$  and solving for the eigenvalues:

$$\Omega - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|\Omega - \lambda I| = \lambda(-\lambda^2 + 1)$$

$$\lambda(-\lambda^2 + 1) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 = 1$$

$$\lambda_3 = -1$$

Solving  $(\Omega - \lambda_i I) |\lambda_i\rangle = |0\rangle$  to find the eigenvectors:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} |\lambda_1\rangle = |0\rangle$$

$$|\lambda_1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} |\lambda_2\rangle = |0\rangle$$

$$|\lambda_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} |\lambda_3\rangle = |0\rangle$$

$$|\lambda_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**1.3)** Verify that  $U^\dagger \Omega U$  is diagonal,  $U$  being the matrix of eigenvectors of  $\Omega$ .

We construct  $U$  as:

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Carrying out the multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}
U^\dagger \Omega U &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

So this unitary transformation diagonalizes  $\Omega$ .

## Question II.

---

Shankar, Ex. 1.9.2

If  $H$  is a Hermitian operator, show that  $U = e^{iH}$  is unitary. (Notice the analogy with  $c$  numbers: if  $\theta$  is real,  $u = e^{i\theta}$  is a number of unit modulus.)

We can express  $U$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= e^{iH} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(iH)^m}{m!} \\
U^\dagger &= (e^{iH})^\dagger \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(iH)^m]^\dagger}{m!} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-iH^\dagger)^m}{m!} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-iH)^m}{m!} \\
&= e^{-iH} \\
U^\dagger U &= e^{-iH} e^{iH} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $U$  is unitary.

**Question III.**

---

Express  $e^{\lambda A} B e^{-\lambda A}$  in terms of the scalar  $\lambda$  and the commutators  $[A, B]$ ,  $[A, [A, B]]$  etc. (Here  $A$  and  $B$  are operators.)

If we expand the exponentials into their respective power series:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda A} B e^{-\lambda A} &= (1 + \lambda A + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda A)^2 + \dots) B (1 + (-\lambda A) + \frac{1}{2}(-\lambda A)^2 + \dots) \\ &= B + \lambda AB + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda A)^2 B + \dots + (-\lambda BA) + \lambda A(-\lambda)BA + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda A)^2(-\lambda)BA + \dots \\ &= B + \lambda AB - \lambda BA + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}AAB - \lambda^2 ABA + \dots \\ &= B + \lambda[A, B] + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}[A, [A, B]] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

**Question IV.**

---

If  $A$  is a Hermitian operator show that

$$\det(e^A) = e^{\text{Tr}(A)}$$

If  $A$  is hermitian, we know that in its eigenbasis we can write the following, where  $a_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$
$$e^A = \begin{bmatrix} e^{a_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & e^{a_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Taking the determinant it follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(e^A) &= \prod_{j=1}^n e^{a_j} \\
&= e^{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j} \\
&= e^{\text{Tr}(A)}
\end{aligned}$$

### Question V.

---

Simultaneous diagonalization of two Hermitian operators: Discuss the degenerate case carefully (see chapter 1, Shankar).

If we have two hermitian operators  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $[A, B] = 0$ , we know that we can diagonalize both simultaneously. In the case that both operators are degenerate, we can order the eigenbasis for one of the operators such that:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & a_1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & a_2 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & a_m \\ & & & & & & & a_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Since this basis is not unique, we choose a set  $\{|a_i, \alpha\rangle\}$  where  $\alpha$  runs from 1 to  $m_i$ . Carrying on similarly to the nondegenerate case, from here we can say:

$$\begin{aligned}
AB|a_i, \alpha\rangle &= BA|a_i, \alpha\rangle \\
&= a_i B|a_i, \alpha\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

From this we can only conclude that the vector  $B|a_i, \alpha\rangle$  is in the same eigenspace, but cannot from here conclude that this basis diagonalizes  $B$ . Since vectors from different eigenspaces are orthogonal, we can say:

$$\langle a_j, \beta | B | a_i, \alpha \rangle = 0$$

where  $|a_i, \alpha\rangle$  and  $|a_j, \beta\rangle$ ,  $a_i \neq a_j$ , are basis vectors. In this basis the operator  $B$  is block diagonal.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \boxed{B}_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \boxed{B}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Within each subspace  $i$ ,  $B$  is given by the matrix in the block diagonal matrix  $B_i$ . If we now change bases to the eigenbasis of  $B_i$ , the operator  $A$  remains diagonal since it is unaffected by the choice of orthonormal basis in each degenerate eigenspace. If each  $B_i$  has the eigenvalues  $b_i^{(1)}, b_i^{(2)} \dots b_i^{(m_i)}$ , the operators  $A$  and  $B$  are:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & a_1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & a_2 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & a_m \\ & & & & & & & a_m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1^{(1)} & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & b_1^{(m_1)} & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & b_2^{(1)} & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & b_k^{(m_k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus we have simultaneous diagonalization of the two degenerate hermitian operators  $A$  and  $B$ .

## Question VI.

---

Shankar, Ex. 4.2.1

Consider the following operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathbb{V}(C)$ :

$$L_x = \frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_y = \frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L_z = \frac{1}{2^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

1. What are the possible values one can obtain if  $L_z$  is measured?

By immediate inspection, the eigenvalues of  $L_z$  are 1,0,-1.

2. Take the state in which  $L_z = 1$ . In this state what are  $\langle L_x \rangle$ ,  $\langle L_x^2 \rangle$ , and  $\Delta L_x$ ?

$$|L_z = 1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so:}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_x \rangle &= \langle L_z = 1 | L_x | L_z = 1 \rangle \\ &= [1 \quad 0 \quad 0] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 0 \\ \langle L_x^2 \rangle &= \langle L_z = 1 | L_x^2 | L_z = 1 \rangle \\ &= [1 \quad 0 \quad 0] \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \\ \Delta L_x &= \sqrt{\langle L_x^2 \rangle - \langle L_x \rangle^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

3. Find the normalized eigenstates and eigenvalues of  $L_x$  in the  $L_z$  basis.

Solving the characteristic for  $L_x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \det(L_x - \lambda_x I) &= 0 \\ -\lambda_x(\lambda_x^2 - 1) &= 0 \\ \lambda_x &= 0, \pm 1 \\ |\lambda_x = 0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ |\lambda_x = 1\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ |\lambda_x = -1\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

4. if the particle is in the state with  $L_z = -1$  and  $L_x$  is measured, what are the possible outcomes and their probabilities?

$$|L_z = -1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

From the previous result:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(L_x = 0) &= |\langle L_x = 0 | L_x = 0 \rangle|^2 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \\
 P(L_x = 1) &= |\langle L_x = 1 | L_x = 1 \rangle|^2 = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \\
 P(L_x = -1) &= |\langle L_x = -1 | L_x = -1 \rangle|^2 = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

5. Consider the state:

$$|\psi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}$$

in the  $L_z$  basis. if  $L_z^2$  is measured in this state and a result of +1 is obtained, what is the state right after the measurement? How probable was this result? If  $L_z$  is measured immediately afterwards, what are the outcomes and respective probabilities?

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi\rangle &= c_{-1} |L_z = -1\rangle + c_0 |L_z = 0\rangle + c_1 |L_z = 1\rangle \\
 c_{-1} &= \langle L_z = -1 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
 c_0 &= \langle L_z = 0 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \\
 c_1 &= \langle L_z = 1 | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L_z^2$  is measured to be +1, we can have either of the  $|L_z = \pm 1\rangle$  states. This occurs with the probability  $|c_1|^2 + |c_{-1}|^2 = \frac{3}{4}$ . The resulting state is:

$$|\psi'\rangle = c'_{-1} |L_z = -1\rangle + c'_1 |L_z = 1\rangle$$

Normalizing:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{c'_1}{c'_{-1}} &= \frac{c_1}{c_{-1}} \\
 |c_{-1}|^2 + |c_1|^2 &= 1 \\
 c_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \\
 c_{-1} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\
 |\psi'\rangle &= c'_{-1} |L_z = -1\rangle + c'_1 |L_z = 1\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$



If we measure the state  $L_z$  immediately after, we get:

$$P(L_z = 1) = |c_1|^2 = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$P(L_z = -1) = |c_{-1}|^2 = \frac{2}{3}$$

6. A particle is in a state for which the probabilities are  $P(L_z = 1) = 1/4$ ,  $P(L_z = 0) = 1/2$ , and  $P(L_z = -1) = 1/4$ . Convince yourself that the most general, normalized state with this property is

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{e^{i\delta_1}}{2} |L_z = 1\rangle + \frac{e^{i\delta_2}}{2} |L_z = 0\rangle + \frac{e^{i\delta_3}}{2} |L_z = -1\rangle$$

It was stated earlier on that if  $|\psi\rangle$  is a normalized state then the state  $e^{i\theta} |\psi\rangle$  is a physically equivalent normalized state. Does this mean that the factors  $e^{i\delta_i}$  multiplying the  $L_z$  eigenstates are irrelevant? [Calculate for example  $P(L_x = 0)$ ]

The probabilities of each state are equivalent to the square of the magnitudes of their coefficients:

$$|c_1|^2 = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{e^{i\delta_1}}{2}$$

$$|c_0|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$c_0 = \frac{e^{i\delta_2}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$|c_{-1}|^2 = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$c_{-1} = \frac{e^{i\delta_3}}{2}$$

From this each  $\delta_i$  cannot be individually determined. While the rotation does not change a physical observable, it may have other physical significance if the state is a linear combination of eigenstates, as above:

$$P(L_x = 0) = |\langle L_x = 0 | \psi \rangle|^2$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{2} e^{i\delta_2} \langle L_x = 0 | L_z = 1 \rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\delta_2} \langle L_x = 0 | L_z = 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} e^{i\delta_3} \langle L_x = 0 | L_z = -1 \rangle \right|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} (e^{-i\delta_1} - e^{-i\delta_3})(e^{-i\delta_1} - e^{-i\delta_3})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \cos(\delta_1 - \delta_3)$$

Therefore, the differences between the  $\delta_i$  can be measured and have significance.