

Test Specification Feedback

Group	02
Document Version	0.1
Grade	D

1 Document (B)

Use authors' names. Status should be release after a review.

The requirements specification should be referenced and the user interface design in a specific version of the Project Plan should be referenced.

2 Tests

Section 2.2 is labeled “Website user” but refers to RPSRrec requirements.

Each application will have multiple screens or pages. It is not made clear which screen or page should be accessed (and how) for each of the tests. A major example is SE-F-012 and SE-F-013: how does the tester arrive at this point; what data should be available?

Specific data values should be given for input: e.g. good and bad user credentials; “Enter plant name to the database ...” - which one, should more than one be checked?

Tests on different applications cannot have the same output and pass criteria: e.g. SE-F-028.

SE-F-025, SE-F-026, SE-F-027 are very vague. Reserve data can be edited on the web site and specific reserves with specific values should be identified for testes.

It is not always clear how the output is to be viewed: “States that ...” - which page etc. should do each one; what does the message contain.

It is not always clear how the pass criterion is to be assessed: e.g. SE-F-011 “Does not enter to database, returns to input page.” - how does a tester verify that?

More than one data value are probably to be given on a single page. When this is the case, both good and bad values should be submitted for the field under test, and good values should be specified for the other fields. If values may interact, good and bad combinations should be specified. Are optional fields tested both provided and not?

Coverage is limited (C), the inputs are poorly specified (D), outputs are not well defined (C) and the criteria are poorly specified (D).