# **Macroeconomics I**

# Homework 2 - Suggested solutions

Niels-Jakob Harbo Hansen

April 30, 2015

# Introduction

If you find any errors or unclear sections in those answers, please help me and your classmates by emailing me at Niels-Jakob Harbo Hansen at nielsjakobharbo. hansen@iies.su.se or Jonna Olsson jonna.olsson@ne.su.se.. Please also send any of us an email if you have other questions!

Your homeworks will be handed back in the next seminar. If you want to get feed-back earlier, just send me an email.

# Problem 1

# Part (a)

- A sequential competitive equilibrium is a set of sequences for allocations  $\{a_{1t}^*, c_{1t}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{a_{2t}^*, c_{2t}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and prices  $\{q_t^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that
  - 1.  $\{a_{it}^*, c_{it}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  solves the problem of the household:

$$\max_{\{c_{i,t}, a_{i,t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} u(c_{t}) \text{ for i=1,2}$$
(1)

$$c_{it} + q_t^* a_{i,t+1} = e_{it} + a_{it} \forall t$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{a_{t+1}}{(1+r)^t} \ge 0$$

2. Markets clear

$$\sum_{i} c_{it}^{*} = \sum_{i} e_{it} \qquad \forall t \qquad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i} a_{it}^{*} = 0 \qquad \forall t \qquad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i} a_{it}^* = 0 \qquad \forall t \tag{3}$$

# Part (b)

 $\bullet\,$  A date-zero competitive equilibrium is a set of sequences for allocations  $\{c_{1t}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and  $\{c_{2t}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and prices  $\{p_t^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that

1.  $\{c_{it}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  solves the problem of the household:

$$\max_{\{c_{it}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \text{ for } i=1,2$$
s.t. 
$$\sum_{t} p_t c_{it} = \sum_{t} p_t e_{it}$$
(4)

2. Markets clear

$$\sum_{i} c_{it}^* = \sum_{i} e_{it}^* \ \forall t \tag{5}$$

# Part (c)

### Sequential equilibrium

• The Lagrangean for the household problem reads

$$L = \sum_{t} \beta^{t} u_{i}(c_{it}) + \sum_{t} \lambda_{it} \left( c_{it} + q_{t} a_{i,t+1} - e_{it} - a_{it} \right)$$
 (6)

• The first order conditions become

$$c_{it}: \beta^t u_i'(c_i t) + \lambda_{it} = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$a_{i,t+1}: \lambda_{it}q_t - \lambda_{it+1} = 0 \tag{8}$$

• Combine to get

$$\frac{q_t}{\beta} = \frac{u'(c_{i,t+1})}{u'(c_{i,t})} \ \forall i, t \tag{9}$$

• This implies

$$\frac{u'(c_{1,t+1})}{u'(c_{1,t})} = \frac{u'(c_{2,t+1})}{u'(c_{2,t})} \tag{10}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{i,t+1} = c_{i,t} = c_i \tag{11}$$

• Inserting into (9) yields

$$q_t^* = \beta \tag{12}$$

• Now note that the consolidated budget constraint,  $\sum_{t} (\beta)^{t} c_{it} = \sum_{t} (\beta)^{t} e_{it}$  for agent 1 reads

$$\sum_{t} (\beta)^{t} c_{1} = e_{h} + (\beta) e_{l} + (\beta)^{2} e_{h} + \dots$$
(13)

$$c_1 \frac{1}{1-\beta} = e_h \frac{1}{1-\beta^2} + e_l \beta \frac{1}{1-\beta^2}$$
 (14)

• And for agent 2

$$\sum_{t} (\beta)^{t} c_{2} = e_{l} + (\beta) e_{h} + (\beta)^{2} e_{l} + \dots$$
 (15)

$$c_2 \frac{1}{1-\beta} = e_l \frac{1}{1-\beta^2} + e_h \beta \frac{1}{1-\beta^2}$$
 (16)

• Hence,

$$c_1 = e_h \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^2} + e_l \frac{\beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^2} \tag{17}$$

$$c_2 = e_l \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^2} + e_h \frac{\beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^2}$$
 (18)

• Verify that this indeed is an equilibrium by checking whether  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  sum to  $e_h + e_l$ .

$$c_{1} + c_{2} = e_{h} \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^{2}} + e_{l} \frac{\beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^{2}} + e_{l} \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^{2}} + e_{h} \frac{\beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^{2}}$$

$$= e_{h} \frac{1 - \beta + \beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^{2}} + e_{l} \frac{1 - \beta + \beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^{2}}$$

$$= e_{h} + e_{l}$$
(19)

#### Date-zero equilibrium

• The Lagrangean reads

$$L = \sum_{t} \beta^{t} u_i(c_{it}) + \lambda_i \sum_{t} \left( p_t c_{it} - p_t e_{it} \right)$$
 (20)

• Yields the first order condtions

$$c_{1t}: \beta^t u'(c_{1t}) - \lambda_1 p_t = 0 \ \forall t$$
 (21)

$$c_{2t}: \beta^t u'(c_{2t}) - \lambda_2 p_t = 0 \ \forall t$$
 (22)

• From this we get

$$\frac{u'(c_{1,t+1})}{u'(c_{1,t})} = \frac{u'(c_{2,t+1})}{u'(c_{2,t})} = \frac{p_{t+1}}{\beta p_t}$$
 (23)

• By similar argument from above we then get

$$c_{i,t} = c_{i,t+1} \tag{24}$$

• And then

$$\frac{p_{t+1}}{p_t} = \beta \tag{25}$$

• Now use this in agent 1's budget constraint:

$$c_1 \sum_{t} p_t = \sum_{t} p_t e_1 \tag{26}$$

$$c_1(p_0 + p_1 + p_2 + \dots) = (e_h p_0 + e_l p_1 + e_h p_2 + \dots)$$
(27)

• And notice

$$p_1 = \frac{p_1}{p_0} p_0 = \beta p_0 \tag{28}$$

$$p_2 = \frac{p_2}{p_1} p_1 = \beta \beta p_0 \tag{29}$$

$$\dots$$
 (30)

$$p_t = \beta^t p_0 \tag{31}$$

• Let  $p_0 = 1$  (numeraire) and use this in the budget constraint of the consumer to get

$$c_{1} \sum_{t} \beta^{t} = e_{h} (1 + \beta^{2} + \beta^{4} + \dots) + \beta e_{l} (1 + \beta^{2} + \beta^{4} + \dots)$$

$$\frac{c_{1}}{1 - \beta} = \frac{e_{h}}{1 - \beta^{2}} + \frac{\beta e_{l}}{1 - \beta^{2}}$$

$$c_{1} = e_{h} \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^{2}} + e_{l} \frac{\beta (1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^{2}}$$
(32)

And likewise

$$c_2 = e_l \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^2} + e_h \frac{\beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^2}$$
(33)

## Intuition

• Notice:

$$c_1 - c_2 = (e_h - e_l) \left( \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^2} - \frac{\beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^2} \right) = (e_h - e_l) \frac{(1 - \beta)^2}{1 - \beta^2} > 0$$
 (34)

• Thus, consumption of agent 1 > consumption of agent 2, and this difference is decreasing  $\beta$ . Reason is that agent 1 gets high endowment before agent 2, which (owing to discounting) makes agent 1 richer in present value terms.

# Part (d)

• We know from above that

$$\frac{u'(c_{1,t+1})}{u'(c_{1,t})} = \frac{u'(c_{2,t+1})}{u'(c_{2,t})}$$
(35)

• Under the given preferences this implies

$$\frac{c_{1,t}}{c_{1,t+1}} = 1 \Rightarrow c_{1,t} = c_{1,t+1} \tag{36}$$

• Now the consolidated budget constraint of each agent reads

$$\sum_{t} \beta^{t} c_{i,t} = e_{l} + \beta e_{h} + \beta^{2} e_{l} + \dots$$
 (37)

$$\sum_{t} \beta^{t} c_{i,t} = \frac{e_{l}}{1 - \beta^{2}} + \frac{e_{h} \beta}{1 - \beta^{2}}$$
 (38)

• For agent 1 this implies

$$\frac{c_1}{1-\beta} = \frac{e_l}{1-\beta^2} + \frac{e_h\beta}{1-\beta^2} \Rightarrow c_1 = e_l \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta^2} + e_h \frac{\beta(1-\beta)}{1-\beta^2}$$
(39)

• Via market clearing this then implies

$$c_{2,t=odd} = 2e_h - c_1 = e_h \frac{2 - \beta^2 - \beta}{1 - \beta^2} - e_l \frac{1 - \beta}{1 - \beta^2}$$
 (40)

$$c_{2,t=even} = 2e_l - c_1 = e_l \frac{1 - 2 * \beta^2 + \beta}{1 - \beta^2} - e_h \frac{\beta(1 - \beta)}{1 - \beta^2}$$
(41)

#### Intuition

- Notice  $c_{1,t=even} = c_{1,t=odd}$  while  $c_{2,t=even} < c_{1,t=odd}$ , while the present value of consumption of agent 1 equals that of agent 2.
- This ows to the fact that agent 1 preferences are such that he/she dislikes consumption variation more than agent 2. Hence, agent 2 will insure agent 1 by taking all the variation in aggregate income.

# **Problem 2**

### Part (a)

- A sequential equilibrium is a set of sequences for allocations  $\{k_{it}^*, k_{ct}^*, k_t^*, n_{it}^*, n_{ct}^*, c_t^*, i_t^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and prices  $\{p_t^*, r_t^*, w_t^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that:
  - 1.  $\{c_t^*, i_t^*, k_t^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  solves the household's problem:

$$\max_{\{c_t, i_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t)$$
s.t.
$$c_t + p_t^* i_t = r_t^* k_t + w_t^* n_t$$

$$k_{t+1} = (1 - \delta) k_t + i_t$$
(42)

2.  $\{k_{ct}^*, k_{ct}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  solves problem of consumption good firm:

$$\max_{k_{ct}, n_{ct}} A_t^{1-\alpha} k_{ct}^{\alpha} n_{ct}^{\alpha} - r_t^* k_{ct} - w_t^* n_{ct} \,\forall t \tag{43}$$

3.  $\{k_{it}^*, k_{it}^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  solves problem of the investment good firm:

$$\max_{k_{it}, n_{it}} p_t q_t A_t^{1-\alpha} k_{it}^{\alpha} n_{it}^{\alpha} - r_t^* k_{it} - w_t^* n_{it} \ \forall t$$
 (44)

4. And market clearing (feasibility) holds

$$n_{it}^* + n_{ct}^* = n_t^* \tag{45}$$

$$k_{it}^* + k_{ct}^* = k_t^* \tag{46}$$

$$c_t^* = A_t^{1-\alpha} k_{ct}^* {}^{\alpha} n_{ct}^{*\alpha} \tag{47}$$

$$i_t^* = q_t^* A_t^{1-\alpha} k_{it}^{*\alpha} n_{it}^{*\alpha}$$
(48)

# Part (b)

• Take first order conditions to firms problem to get

$$\alpha A_t^{1-\alpha} k_{ct}^{\alpha-1} n_{ct}^{1-\alpha} = r_t^* \tag{49}$$

$$(1 - \alpha)A_t^{1-\alpha}k_{ct}^{\alpha}n_{ct}^{-\alpha} = w_t^* \tag{50}$$

$$p_t q_t \alpha A_t^{1-\alpha} k_{it}^{\alpha-1} n_{it}^{1-\alpha} = r_t^*$$
 (51)

$$p_t q_t (1 - \alpha) A_t^{1 - \alpha} k_{it}^{\alpha} n_{it}^{-\alpha} = w_t^*$$
 (52)

• Divide (49) by (50) and (51) by (52)

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{n_{ct}}{k_{ct}} = \frac{r_t^*}{w_t^*} \tag{53}$$

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{n_{it}}{k_{it}} = \frac{r_t^*}{w_t^*} \tag{54}$$

• Equate to get

$$\frac{n_{ct}}{k_{ct}} = \frac{n_{it}}{k_{it}} \tag{55}$$

• Then divide (51) by (49) and use (55) to get

$$p_{t}q_{t} \left(\frac{k_{it}}{k_{ct}}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{n_{it}}{n_{ct}}\right)^{1-\alpha} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{t}q_{t} \left(\frac{k_{it}}{n_{it}}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left(\frac{k_{ct}}{n_{ct}}\right)^{1-\alpha} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{t} = 1/q_{t}$$
(56)

# Part (c)

• Start by noting from (55) that  $k_{it}/k_{ct} = n_{it}/n_{ct}$  why we can write capital and labor in each sector as the same fraction of total labor and capital:<sup>1</sup>

$$k_{it} = sk_t (57)$$

$$n_{it} = sn_t (58)$$

$$k_{ct} = (1-s)k_t \tag{59}$$

$$n_{ct} = (1-s)n_t \tag{60}$$

• Use this in (49) to get an expression for  $r_t$ 

$$r_t^* = \alpha A_t^{1-\alpha} (sk_t)^{\alpha-1} (sn_t)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_t^* = s^{\alpha-1+1-\alpha} \alpha A_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} n_t^{1-\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_t^* = s^0 \alpha A_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha-1} n_t^{1-\alpha}$$
(61)

• Use this in (50) to get an expression for  $w_t$ 

$$w_{t}^{*} = (1 - \alpha) A_{t}^{1-\alpha} (sk_{t})^{\alpha} (sn_{t})^{-\alpha}$$
  

$$\Rightarrow w_{t}^{*} = s^{0} (1 - \alpha) A_{t}^{1-\alpha} k_{t}^{\alpha} n_{t}^{-\alpha}$$
(62)

• Then plug (61) and (62) along (56) with into the budget constraint of the household to get

$$c_{t} + \frac{1}{q_{t}} i_{t} = \alpha A_{t}^{1-\alpha} k_{t}^{\alpha-1} n_{t}^{1-\alpha} k_{t} + (1-\alpha) A_{t}^{1-\alpha} k_{t}^{\alpha} n_{t}^{-\alpha} n_{t}$$

$$\Rightarrow c_{t} + \frac{1}{q_{t}} i_{t} = A_{t}^{1-\alpha} k_{t}^{\alpha} n_{t}^{1-\alpha}$$
(63)

• Hence, the problem of the social planner can be written

$$\max_{\substack{\{c_t^*, i_t^*\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \\ \text{s.t.}}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) 
\text{s.t.}$$

$$c_t + \frac{1}{q_t} i_t = A_t^{1-\alpha} k_t^{\alpha} n_t^{1-\alpha} 
k_{t+1} = (1-\delta) k_t + i_t$$
(65)

#### Intution

• Notice that we have shown that the economy aggregates. That is, we started with a disaggreated economy with two sectors with each their production functions. But we have shown that this economy can be represented by one production function (as long as we also correct the price of the investment good by  $q_t$  - which can be thought of as the relative productivity of the investment good sector). Also notice that this result was independent of the use of preferences: the result was simply derived from the firms first order conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To see this note, that  $k_{it}/k_{ct} = \frac{s_k k_t}{(1-s_k)k_t} = \frac{s_k}{(1-s_k)} = n_{it}/n_{ct} = \frac{s_n n_t}{(1-s_n)n_t} = \frac{s_n}{(1-s_n)}$  why  $s_k = s_n$ 

• This result is important because it hints at something more general: That we often can represent an economy with many different sectors by *one* aggregate production function.