# The meuse data set: a brief tutorial for the gstat R package

Edzer Pebesma

April 4, 2020

#### 1 Introduction

The meuse data set provided by package sp is a data set comprising of four heavy metals measured in the top soil in a flood plain along the river Meuse, along with a handful of covariates. The process governing heavy metal distribution seems that polluted sediment is carried by the river, and mostly deposited close to the river bank, and areas with low elevation. This document shows a geostatistical analysis of this data set. The data set was introduced by Burrough and McDonnell, 1998.

This tutorial introduced the functionality of the R package gstat, used in conjunction with package sp. Package gstat provides a wide range of univariable and multivariable geostatistical modelling, prediction and simulation functions, where package sp provides general purpose classes and methods for defining, importing/exporting and visualizing spatial data.

## 2 R geostatistics packages

Package gstat (Pebesma, 2004) is an R package that provides basic functionality for univariable and multivariable geostatistical analysis, including

- variogram modelling, residual variogram modelling, and cross variogram modelling using fitting of parametric models to sample variograms
- geometric anisotropy specfied for each partial variogram model
- restricted maximum likelihood fitting of partial sills
- variogram and cross variogram maps
- simple, ordinary, universal and external drift (co)kriging
- (sequential) Gaussian (co)simulation equivalents for each of the kriging varieties
- indicator (co)kriging and sequential indicator (co)simulation
- kriging in a local or global neighbourhood
- block (co)kriging or simulation for each of the varieties, for rectangular or irregular blocks

Other geostatistical packages for R usually lack part of these options (e.g. block kriging, local kriging, or cokriging) but provide others: e.g. package geoR and geoRglm (by Paulo Ribeiro and Ole Christensen) provide the model-based geostatistics framework described in Diggle et al. (1998), package fields (Doug Nychka and others) provides thin plate spline interpolation, covariance functions for spherical coordinates (unprojected data), and routines for spatial sampling design optimization.

## 3 Spatial data frames

Max.

:18.100

Max.

As an example, we will look at the meuse data set, which is a regular data frame that comes with package gstat (remove the 88 from the colour strings to make a plot without alpha transparency on windows or X11):

```
> library(sp)
> data(meuse)
> class(meuse)
[1] "data.frame"
> names(meuse)
 [1] "x"
                           "cadmium" "copper"
                                                 "lead"
                                                            "zinc"
                                                                      "elev"
 [8] "dist"
                "om"
                           "ffreq"
                                      "soil"
                                                 "lime"
                                                            "landuse" "dist.m"
> coordinates(meuse) = ~x+y
> class(meuse)
[1] "SpatialPointsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"
> summary(meuse)
Object of class SpatialPointsDataFrame
Coordinates:
     min
            max
x 178605 181390
y 329714 333611
Is projected: NA
proj4string : [NA]
Number of points: 155
Data attributes:
    cadmium
                                           lead
                                                            zinc
                        copper
        : 0.200
                                             : 37.0
 \mathtt{Min}.
                   Min.
                          : 14.00
                                     \mathtt{Min}.
                                                       \mathtt{Min}.
                                                              : 113.0
 1st Qu.: 0.800
                   1st Qu.: 23.00
                                      1st Qu.: 72.5
                                                       1st Qu.: 198.0
 Median : 2.100
                   Median : 31.00
                                      Median :123.0
                                                       Median: 326.0
 Mean
        : 3.246
                           : 40.32
                                      Mean
                                             :153.4
                                                       Mean
                                                               : 469.7
                   Mean
 3rd Qu.: 3.850
                   3rd Qu.: 49.50
                                      3rd Qu.:207.0
                                                       3rd Qu.: 674.5
```

Max.

:654.0

Max.


:1839.0

:128.00

```
dist
      elev
                                                      ffreq soil
                                                                    lime
                                          om
Min. : 5.180 Min. : 0.00000 Min. : 1.000
                                                                    0:111
                                                      1:84
                                                             1:97
                1st Qu.:0.07569
 1st Qu.: 7.546
                                   1st Qu.: 5.300
                                                      2:48
                                                             2:46
                                                                    1: 44
{\tt Median} \ : \ {\tt 8.180} \qquad {\tt Median} \ : {\tt 0.21184} \qquad {\tt Median} \ : \ {\tt 6.900}
                                                      3:23 3:12
Mean : 8.165 Mean : 0.24002 Mean : 7.478
                                    3rd Qu.: 9.000
 3rd Qu.: 8.955
                3rd Qu.:0.36407
Max. :10.520
                  Max. :0.88039
                                   Max. :17.000
                                    NA's
                                           :2
   landuse
                  dist.m
 W
        :50
              Min. : 10.0
             1st Qu.: 80.0
 Ah
        :39
        :22
             Median : 270.0
 Am
            Mean : 290.3
 Fw
        :10
 Ab
        : 8
              3rd Qu.: 450.0
 (Other):25
            Max. :1000.0
NA's : 1
> coordinates(meuse)[1:5,]
       Х
1 181072 333611
2 181025 333558
3 181165 333537
4 181298 333484
5 181307 333330
> bubble(meuse, "zinc",
```

col=c("#00ff0088", "#00ff0088"), main = "zinc concentrations (ppm)")

#### zinc concentrations (ppm)



and note the following:

- the function coordinates, when assigned (i.e. on the left-hand side of an
   = or <- sign), promotes the data.frame meuse into a SpatialPoints DataFrame, which knows about its spatial coordinates; coordinates may
   be specified by a formula, a character vector, or a numeric matrix or data
   frame with the actual coordinates</li>
- 2. the function coordinates, when not assigned, *retrieves* the spatial coordinates from a SpatialPointsDataFrame.
- 3. the two plotting functions used, plot and bubble assume that the x- and y-axis are the spatial coordinates.

#### 4 Spatial data on a regular grid

```
> data(meuse.grid)
> summary(meuse.grid)
```

```
part.a
                                                       part.b
Min.
       :178460
                 Min.
                        :329620
                                  Min. :0.0000
                                                   Min. :0.0000
1st Qu.:179420
                 1st Qu.:330460
                                  1st Qu.:0.0000
                                                   1st Qu.:0.0000
Median :179980
                 Median :331220
                                 Median :0.0000
                                                   Median :1.0000
Mean :179985
                 Mean :331348
                                  Mean :0.3986
                                                   Mean :0.6014
3rd Qu.:180580
                 3rd Qu.:332140
                                  3rd Qu.:1.0000
                                                   3rd Qu.:1.0000
       :181540
                        :333740
                                  Max. :1.0000
                                                          :1.0000
Max.
                 Max.
                                                   Max.
     dist
                 soil
                          ffreq
Min. :0.0000
                 1:1665
                          1: 779
1st Qu.:0.1193
                 2:1084
                          2:1335
Median :0.2715
                 3: 354
                          3: 989
       :0.2971
Mean
3rd Qu.:0.4402
       :0.9926
Max.
> class(meuse.grid)
[1] "data.frame"
> coordinates(meuse.grid) = ~x+y
> class(meuse.grid)
[1] "SpatialPointsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"
> gridded(meuse.grid) = TRUE
> class(meuse.grid)
[1] "SpatialPixelsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"
```


```
> image(meuse.grid["dist"])
> title("distance to river (red = 0)")
> library(gstat)
> zinc.idw = idw(zinc~1, meuse, meuse.grid)


[inverse distance weighted interpolation]
> class(zinc.idw)


[1] "SpatialPixelsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"
```

> spplot(zinc.idw["var1.pred"], main = "zinc inverse distance weighted interpolations")

#### distance to river (red = 0)








If you compare the bubble plot of zinc measurements with the map with distances to the river, it becomes evident that the larger concentrations are measured at locations close to the river. This relationship can be linearized by log-transforming the zinc concentrations, and taking the square root of distance to the river:

```
> plot(log(zinc)~sqrt(dist), meuse)
```

<sup>&</sup>gt; abline(lm(log(zinc)~sqrt(dist), meuse))



# 5 Variograms


Variograms are calculated using the function variogram, which takes a formula as its first argument: log(zinc)~1 means that we assume a constant trend for the variable log(zinc).

```
> lzn.vgm = variogram(log(zinc)~1, meuse)
> lzn.vgm
```

	np	dist	gamma	${\tt dir.hor}$	dir.ver	id
1	57	79.29244	0.1234479	0	0	var1
2	299	163.97367	0.2162185	0	0	var1
3	419	267.36483	0.3027859	0	0	var1
4	457	372.73542	0.4121448	0	0	var1
5	547	478.47670	0.4634128	0	0	var1
6	533	585.34058	0.5646933	0	0	var1
7	574	693.14526	0.5689683	0	0	var1
8	564	796.18365	0.6186769	0	0	var1
9	589	903.14650	0.6471479	0	0	var1
10	543	1011.29177	0.6915705	0	0	var1
11	500	1117.86235	0.7033984	0	0	var1
12	477	1221.32810	0.6038770	0	0	var1
13	452	1329.16407	0.6517158	0	0	var1
14	457	1437.25620	0.5665318	0	0	var1
15	415	1543.20248	0.5748227	0	0	var1

```
> lzn.fit = fit.variogram(lzn.vgm, model = vgm(1, "Sph", 900, 1))
> lzn.fit


model    psill    range
1    Nug  0.05066243    0.0000
2    Sph  0.59060780 897.0209
> plot(lzn.vgm, lzn.fit)
```



Instead of the constant mean, denoted by ~1, we can specify a mean function, e.g. using ~sqrt(dist) as a predictor variable:

```
> lznr.vgm = variogram(log(zinc)~sqrt(dist), meuse)
> lznr.fit = fit.variogram(lznr.vgm, model = vgm(1, "Exp", 300, 1))
> lznr.fit

model    psill    range
1    Nug    0.05712231    0.0000
2    Exp    0.17641559    340.3201
> plot(lznr.vgm, lznr.fit)
```




In this case, the variogram of residuals with respect to a fitted mean function are shown. Residuals were calculated using ordinary least squares.

## 6 Kriging

```
> lzn.kriged = krige(log(zinc)~1, meuse, meuse.grid, model = lzn.fit)
[using ordinary kriging]
```

> spplot(lzn.kriged["var1.pred"])




# 7 Conditional simulation

```
> lzn.condsim = krige(log(zinc)~1, meuse, meuse.grid, model = lzn.fit,
+ nmax = 30, nsim = 4)

drawing 4 GLS realisations of beta...
[using conditional Gaussian simulation]
```

> spplot(lzn.condsim, main = "four conditional simulations")

#### four conditional simulations




For UK/residuals:

```
> lzn.condsim2 = krige(log(zinc)~sqrt(dist), meuse, meuse.grid, model = lznr.fit,
+ nmax = 30, nsim = 4)
```

drawing 4 GLS realisations of beta...
[using conditional Gaussian simulation]

> spplot(lzn.condsim2, main = "four UK conditional simulations")






# 8 Directional variograms

The following command calculates a directional sample variogram, where directions are binned by direction angle alone. For two point pairs, Z(s) and Z(s+h), the separation vector is h, and it has a direction. Here, we will classify directions into four direction intervals:

```
> lzn.dir = variogram(log(zinc)~1, meuse, alpha = c(0, 45, 90, 135))
```

<sup>&</sup>gt; 1zndir.fit = vgm(.59, "Sph", 1200, .05, anis = c(45, .4))

<sup>&</sup>gt; plot(lzn.dir, lzndir.fit, as.table = TRUE)



Looking at directions between 180 and 360 degrees will repeat the image shown above, because the variogram is a symmetric measure:  $(Z(s) - Z(s + h))^2 = (Z(s + h) - Z(s))^2$ .


The first plot gives the variogram in the zero direction, which is North; 90 degrees is East. By default, point pairs are assigned to the directional variorgram panel with their nearest direction, so North contains everything between -22.5 and 22.5 degrees (North-West to North-East). After classifying by direction, point pairs are binned by separation distance class, as is done in the usual omnidirectional case.

In the figure, the partial sill, nugget and model type of the model are equal to those of the omnidirectional model fitted above; the range is that in the direction with the largest range (45°), and the anisotropy ratio, the range in the 135 direction and the range in the 45 direction, estimated "by eye" by comparing the 45 and 135 degrees sample variograms. Gstat does not fit anisotropy parameters automatically.

We do not claim that the model fitted here is "best" in some way; in order to get to a better model we may want to look at more directions, other directions (e.g. try alpha = c(22, 67, 112, 157)), and to variogram maps (see below). More elaborate approaches may use directions in three dimensions, and want to further control the direction tolerance (which may be set such that direction intervals overlap).

For the residual variogram from the linear regression model using sqrt(dist) as covariate, the directional dependence is much less obvious; the fitted model here is the fitted isotropic model (equal in all directions).


```
> lznr.dir = variogram(log(zinc)~sqrt(dist), meuse, alpha = c(0, 45, 90, 135))
> plot(lznr.dir, lznr.fit, as.table = TRUE)
```



# 9 Variogram maps

Another means of looking at directional dependence in semivariograms is obtained by looking at variogram maps. Instead of classifying point pairs Z(s) and Z(s+h) by direction and distance class separately, we can classify them jointly. If  $h = \{x,y\}$  be the two-dimentional coordinates of the separation vector, in the variogram map the semivariance contribution of each point pair  $(Z(s) - Z(s+h))^2$  is attributed to the grid cell in which h lies. The map is centered around (0,0), as h is geographical distance rather than geographical location. Cutoff and width correspond to some extent to map extent and cell size; the semivariance map is point symmetric around (0,0), as  $\gamma(h) = \gamma(-h)$ .

```
> vgm.map = variogram(log(zinc)~sqrt(dist), meuse, cutoff = 1500, width = 100,
+ map = TRUE)
> plot(vgm.map, threshold = 5)
```




The threshold assures that only semivariogram map values based on at least 5 point pairs are shown, removing too noisy estimation.


## 10 Cross variography

Fitting a linear model of coregionalization.

```
> g = gstat(NULL, "log(zn)", log(zinc)~sqrt(dist), meuse)
> g = gstat(g, "log(cd)", log(cadmium)~sqrt(dist), meuse)
> g = gstat(g, "log(pb)", log(lead)~sqrt(dist), meuse)
> g = gstat(g, "log(cu)", log(copper)~sqrt(dist), meuse)
> v = variogram(g)
> g = gstat(g, model = vgm(1, "Exp", 300, 1), fill.all = TRUE)
> g.fit = fit.lmc(v, g)
> g.fit
log(zn) : formula = log(zinc) ~ sqrt(dist) ; data dim = 155 x 12
log(cd) : formula = log(cadmium) ~~ sqrt(dist) ; data dim = 155 x 12
log(pb) : formula = log(lead) `~`sqrt(dist) ; data dim = 155 x 12
log(cu) : formula = log(copper) `~ `sqrt(dist) ; data dim = 155 x 12
variograms:
                   model
                              psill range
log(zn)[1]
                     Nug 0.05141798
                     Exp 0.17556219
                                       300
log(zn)[2]
                     Nug 0.39996573
log(cd)[1]
log(cd)[2]
                     Exp 0.47893816
                                       300
```

```
Nug 0.04770893
log(pb)[1]
                                         0
log(pb)[2]
                     Exp 0.21323027
                                       300
log(cu)[1]
                     Nug 0.04577523
log(cu)[2]
                     Exp 0.07827374
                                       300
log(zn).log(cd)[1]
                     Nug 0.09190848
                                         0
log(zn).log(cd)[2]
                                       300
                     Exp 0.24542024
log(zn).log(pb)[1]
                     Nug 0.04528367
                                         0
log(zn).log(pb)[2]
                     Exp 0.18407011
                                       300
log(cd).log(pb)[1]
                     Nug 0.06425412
                                         0
                                       300
log(cd).log(pb)[2]
                     Exp 0.25525359
log(zn).log(cu)[1]
                     Nug 0.02912806
                                         0
log(zn).log(cu)[2]
                     Exp 0.10438748
                                       300
log(cd).log(cu)[1]
                     Nug 0.09441635
                                         0
log(cd).log(cu)[2]
                      Exp 0.13073936
                                       300
log(pb).log(cu)[1]
                     Nug 0.02369778
                                         0
                                       300
log(pb).log(cu)[2]
                     Exp 0.10267516
> plot(v, g.fit)
> vgm.map = variogram(g, cutoff = 1500, width = 100, map = TRUE)
> plot(vgm.map, threshold = 5, col.regions = bpy.colors(), xlab = "", ylab = "")
```





## References

- Burrough, P.A., R.A. McDonnell, 1998. Principles of Geographical Information Systems, 2nd Edition. Oxford University Press.
- Diggle, P.J., J.A. Tawn, R.A. Moyeed, 1998. Model-based geostatistics. Applied Statistics 47(3), pp 299-350.
- Pebesma, E.J., 2004. Multivariable geostatistics in S: the gstat package. Computers & Geosciences 30: 683-691.
- $\bullet$  Wackernagel, H., 1998. Multivariate Geostatistics; an introduction with applications,  $2^{\mbox{nd}}$  edn., Springer, Berlin, 291 pp.