

# CS 374 Spring 2018

## Homework 2

Nathaniel Murphy (njmurph3@illinois.edu)

Tanvi Modi (tmodi3@illinois.edu)

Marianne Huang (mhuang46@illinois.edu)

### Problem 2

Let us first define a few helper functions.

- Define  $b_k : 2^k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Sigma_k)$  such that for a given binary string  $s$  of length  $k$ ,  $b_k(s) = \{a \in \Sigma_k \mid s_a = 1\}$ . Notice that  $b_k(s) \subseteq \Sigma_k$ .
- Define  $h_k : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \Sigma_k$ , where

$$h_k(i) = \begin{cases} \epsilon & \text{if } i = 0 \\ k & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

- Define  $c_k : 2^k \rightarrow \Sigma_k^*$  inductively.

$$c_k(w) = \begin{cases} \epsilon & \text{if } w = \epsilon \\ h_{|k|}(a) \cdot c_{|k|-1}(u) & \text{if } w = au \end{cases}$$

The language requires that we remember if a symbol is encountered before we read the  $\natural$  symbol so that we can process all symbols correctly after the  $\natural$  symbol. Let us prove this statement.

Let us create a fooling set

$$F = \{c_k(s) \mid s \in 2^k\}$$

Choosing arbitrary  $i, j \in F \setminus \{0^k\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $|i| \geq |j|$  (swap them if necessary). We see that the set  $(b_k(i) \setminus b_k(j))$  must be nonempty because no symbols in  $i$  or  $j$  repeat within each string and  $|i| \geq |j|$ . Let  $w = \natural \cdot a$ ,  $a \in (b_k(i) \setminus b_k(j))$ . We see that  $iw = i\natural a \in T_k$ , but  $jw = j\natural a \notin T_k$  because  $a \in b_k(i)$ , but  $a \notin b_k(j)$ .

$|F| = 2^k$ , so we see that  $M$ , the DFA representing  $T_k$  must have at least  $2^k$  elements.

Let us now prove a stronger statement. Denote:

$$L_b = \{c_k(s) \mid s \in 2^k\} \text{ and } L_{b\mathfrak{d}} = \{c_k(s) \cdot \mathfrak{d} \mid s \in 2^k\}$$

Let  $F' = L_b \cup L_{b\mathfrak{d}}$ . We have 4 cases for any  $i, j$  chosen from  $F'$ :

**Case**  $i, j \in L_b, i \neq j$ : Without loss of generality, let  $|i| \geq |j|$  (swap them if necessary). Above, we have chosen a  $w = \mathfrak{d} \cdot a \in (b_k(i) \setminus b_k(j))$  such that  $iw \in T_k$  and  $jw \notin T_k$ .

**Case**  $i \in L_b, j \in L_{b\mathfrak{d}}$ : Let  $j = j'\mathfrak{d}$ . Let  $w = \mathfrak{d}i$ . It is clear that  $iw = i\mathfrak{d}i \in T_k$ , but  $jw = j'\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{d}i \notin T_k$ .

**Case**  $i \in L_{b\mathfrak{d}}, j \in L_b$ : Same case as above, but reverse  $i$  nad  $j$ .

**Case**  $i, j \in L_{b\mathfrak{d}}$ : Notice that  $i, j$  can be written in the form  $i'\mathfrak{d}, j'\mathfrak{d}$ . Without loss of generality, let  $|i'| \geq |j'|$  (swap them if necessary). We see that the set  $(b_k(i') \setminus b_k(j'))$  must be nonempty because no symbols in  $i$  or  $j$  repeat within each string and  $|i'| \geq |j'|$ . Choose  $w = a \in (b_k(i') \setminus b_k(j'))$ . It follows that  $iw = i'\mathfrak{d}a \in T_k$  because  $a \in b_k(i')$ , while  $jw = j'\mathfrak{d}a \notin T_k$  because  $a \notin b_k(j')$ .

We have been able to produce a  $w \in \Sigma_k^* \cup \{\mathfrak{d}\}$  such that  $\forall i, j \in \Sigma_k^* \cup \{\mathfrak{d}\}$ , either  $iw \in T_k \wedge jw \notin T_k$  or  $iw \notin T_k \wedge jw \in T_k$ .

Notice that  $F' = L_b \cup L_{b\mathfrak{d}}$ . and  $L_b \cap L_{b\mathfrak{d}} = \emptyset$  trivially,  $|F'| = |L_b| + |L_{b\mathfrak{d}}| = 2^k + 2^k = 2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$ , so we now see that  $M$ , the DFA representing the language  $T_k$  must have at least  $2^{k+1}$  states.  $\square$