

CS 374 Spring 2018

Homework 4

Nathaniel Murphy (njmurph3@illinois.edu)
 Tanvi Modi (tmodi3@illinois.edu)
 Marianne Huang (mhuang46@illinois.edu)

Problem 3

Let us define a few functions that will help in defining M_G . (\mathbb{W} represents the set of whole numbers)

- Define $\#_{ops} : R_G \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ such that $\#_{ops}(\gamma) = \#$ distinct options in non-terminal γ
- Define a bijection $c : (R_G, \mathbb{W}) \rightarrow w$, where $w \in (\Sigma_G \cup \Gamma_G)^*$
- Define a bijection $r : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow R_G$, such that $r(0) = S_G$

Let us now define $M_G = (\Gamma, \square, \Sigma, Q, s, \text{accept}, \text{reject}, \delta)$:

- $\Gamma = \Sigma_G \cup \Gamma_G \cup \{\triangleright, \square\}$
- $\square = \square$
- $\Sigma = \Sigma_G$
- $Q = \{\text{start}, \text{rt}, \text{accept}, \text{reject}, \text{temp}\} \cup \{(w, i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq |w|\} \cup \{(w_{ij}, k) \mid w_{ij} = c(r(i), j), 0 \leq k < |w_{ij}|\}$
- $s = \text{start}$
- $\text{accept} = \text{accept}$
- $\text{reject} = \text{reject}$
- Let us now define δ :

$$\delta(q, t_1, t_2) \begin{cases} ((w, 0), (t_1, 0), (\triangleright, 1)) & \text{if } q = \text{start} \\ ((w, i+1), (w[k-i], -1), (t_2, 0)) & \text{if } q = (w, i), k = |w|, i < |w| \\ (\{(w_{0j}, 0) \mid 0 \leq j < \#_{ops}(S_G)\}, (t_1, 0), (\square, 0)) & \text{if } q = (w, i), i = |w| \\ (\{(w_{ij}, 0) \mid 0 \leq j < \#_{ops}(t_2)\}, (t_1, 0), (\square, 0)) & \text{if } t_2 \in \Gamma_G \\ ((w_{ij}, k+1), (t_1, 0), (w_{ij}[l-k], 1)) & \text{if } q = (w_{ij}, k), \ell = |w_{ij}|, k < \ell \\ (\text{temp}, (t_1, 0), (\square, -1)) & \text{if } q = (w_{ij}, k), k = |w_{ij}| \\ (\text{rt}, (t_1, 1), (\square, -1)) & \text{if } q \in \{\text{temp}, \text{rt}\}, t_2 \in \Sigma \wedge t_1 = t_2 \\ (\text{reject}, (t_1, 0), (t_2, 0)) & \text{if } t_2 \in \Sigma \wedge t_1 \neq t_2 \\ (\text{accept}, (t_1, 0), (t_2, 0)) & \text{if } t_1 = \square \wedge t_2 = \triangleright \end{cases}$$

Notice that transitioning into a $\{(w_{ij}, 0)\}$ state may spawn multiple threads if $\#_{ops}(R) > 1$ for a non-terminal R .

When defining the states, the $\{\text{start}, \text{accept}, \text{reject}\}$ states are trivial to understand, however, some explanation may be required for the $\{\text{temp}, \text{rt}\}$ states. The temp state is the state that the machine goes into right after it has finished writing an entire string from a non-terminal to the tape. This makes it so that if the last letter it wrote was a terminal symbol, the machine will enter the $\{\text{rt}\}$ state, and if the last symbol that it writes to the tape is a non-terminal, it will go back into a $\{(w_{ij}, k)\}$ state, the set of states used to non-deterministically write the non-terminals. The rt state is just for reading non-terminals from tape 2 and comparing them with the non-terminals on tape 1. Notice that it advances tape 1's head by 1 and tape 2's head by negative 1 because the string written to tape 2 is inverted. With that being said, notice the way that the machine writes a non-terminal to tape 2. It writes it backwards to ensure that the beginning of that non-terminal is at the end of the tape, because that is how the algorithm was designed. Finally, being in a (w, i) state means that the machine has written the first i characters of the input string to tape 1 and will continue to do so.

The functions defined at the top are only to simplify my definitions of the states. $\#_{ops}$ simply maps a rule to a whole number, that whole number being the number of paths (for lack of a better word) to choose from that rule. c intuitively 'indexes' the options within a rule r so that they may be accessed by using whole number indices. And finally, r indexes the rules of a context free grammar, so that they may be accessed by using whole number indices.