



University of the Philippines Cebu

College of Science
Department of Computer Science
☎ 032 232 8187 ✉ dcs.upcebu@up.edu.ph



COURSE GUIDE

| | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Course Number | CMSC 173 |
| Course Title | Machine Learning |
| Number of Units | 3 units (3 hours lecture) |
| Prerequisites | CMSC 170 |
| Instructor | Noel Jeffrey Pinton |

COURSE OUTLINE

I. Overview

- a. What is Machine Learning?
- b. Supervised Learning
- c. Unsupervised Learning
- d. Semi-supervised Learning
- e. Reinforcement Learning

II. Parameter Estimation

- a. Method of Moments
- b. Maximum Likelihood Estimation

III. Regression

- a. Linear Regression
- b. Least Squares Method
- c. Lasso and Ridge Regularization
- d. Cubic Splines Regression

IV. Model Selection and Evaluation

- a. Bias-Variance Decomposition
- b. Model Validation and Evaluation
- c. Regularization

V. Classification

- a. Logistic Regression
- b. Naïve Bayes
- c. Nearest Neighbors
- d. Decision Trees
- e. Support Vector Machines

VI. Clustering

- a. Partitional
- b. Hierarchical

VII. Dimensionality Reduction

- a. Principal Component Analysis
- b. Kernel Principal Component Analysis

VIII. Neural Networks

- a. Multilayer Perceptron / Feedforward
- b. Convolutional NN
- c. Generative Models
- d. Transformers
- e. Diffusion



University of the Philippines Cebu

College of Science
Department of Computer Science
☎ 032 232 8187 ✉ dcs.upcebu@up.edu.ph



Grading Scale

| | | | |
|----------|--------|---------|--------|
| 96 – 100 | – 1.0 | 72 – 75 | – 2.25 |
| 91 – 95 | – 1.25 | 68 – 71 | – 2.5 |
| 86 – 90 | – 1.5 | 64 – 67 | – 2.75 |
| 81 – 85 | – 1.75 | 60 – 63 | – 3.0 |
| 76 – 80 | – 2.0 | 50 – 59 | – 4.0 |
| | | <50 | – 5.0 |

Grading System

| | |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Machine Problems and Exams | 50% |
| Group Project | 50% |
| Total | 100% |

Group Project Guidelines and Process

Group Formation

- Groups may have up to **3 members**.
- Groups of **2 members** or even **solo projects** are allowed, but the project scope should be scaled accordingly.
- Each group must register by **Midterms** with names, emails, and a tentative project title.

1. Project Proposal

Deliverable: 2–3 page write-up.

- Title – concise and descriptive.
- Problem Statement – define the machine learning task (classification, regression, clustering, etc.).
- Motivation and Importance – why the problem matters (social, industry, academic relevance).
- Objectives – specific aims of the project.
- Proposed Dataset – source and characteristics of the data.
- Planned Methods – initial choice of algorithms or models.

Instructor Checkpoints: feasibility, ethical concerns, scope appropriateness.

2. Data Gathering

- Use open datasets (Kaggle, UCI, government portals, etc.) or collect your own (e.g., scraping, surveys).
- Ensure dataset size is sufficient ($n \geq 500$ preferred).
- Document the source, license, and collection methodology.

Checkpoint: Dataset must be approved by the end of Week 5.

3. Data Cleaning & Preprocessing

- Handle missing values (imputation, removal).
- Outlier detection (z-score, IQR, visualization).
- Feature engineering (encoding, normalization, dimensionality reduction).
- Data splitting (e.g., 70–15–15 train/validation/test).

Deliverable: Report + Jupyter notebook of preprocessing steps.



University of the Philippines Cebu

College of Science
Department of Computer Science
☎ 032 232 8187 ✉ dcs.upcebu@up.edu.ph



4. Model Training

- Establish baselines: regression, decision trees, Naïve Bayes, KNN.
- Explore advanced models: SVM, Random Forest, Gradient Boosting, Neural Networks.
- Apply regularization (Lasso, Ridge) to prevent overfitting.
- Hyperparameter tuning via grid/random search.

5. Model Evaluation

Metrics:

- Regression: RMSE, MAE, R^2 .
- Classification: Accuracy, Precision, Recall, F1, ROC-AUC.
- Clustering: Silhouette score, Davies-Bouldin index.

Deliverables: Evaluation report with metrics, baseline vs. advanced model comparisons, and visualizations (confusion matrix, ROC curves, error plots).

6. Final Presentation & Report

Presentation (10 min per group):

1. Introduction and Motivation
2. Data and Preprocessing
3. Methods and Models
4. Results and Evaluation
5. Discussion and Limitations

Final Report:

- Must document the full pipeline: proposal, preprocessing, training, evaluation, conclusions.
- Include mathematical formulations of at least one chosen model.
- Submit reproducible code (well-documented Jupyter notebook).

Grading Breakdown

| Component | Weight |
|----------------------|--------|
| Proposal | 10% |
| Data & Preprocessing | 15% |
| Model Training | 20% |
| Evaluation | 20% |
| Final Report | 20% |
| Presentation | 15% |



University of the Philippines Cebu

College of Science
Department of Computer Science
☎ 032 232 8187 ✉ dcs.upcebu@up.edu.ph



Rubric

| Criteria | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Fair (2) | Poor (1) |
|----------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Proposal | Clear, original, feasible, well-motivated | Clear but lacks originality or depth | Somewhat unclear, weak justification | Incomplete, vague, or irrelevant |
| Data & Preprocessing | Well-documented, rigorous cleaning, justified methods | Adequate cleaning, some justification | Minimal preprocessing, limited documentation | Missing or inappropriate methods |
| Model Training | Comprehensive, compares multiple models, well-tuned | Uses several models, some tuning | Limited models, basic training | Single model, poorly trained |
| Evaluation | Uses correct metrics, strong analysis, insightful comparisons | Appropriate metrics, some analysis | Limited metrics, shallow analysis | Missing or incorrect evaluation |
| Final Report | Professional, detailed, reproducible, includes math | Clear, complete, minor gaps | Some missing sections, limited detail | Poorly written, incomplete |
| Presentation | Engaging, clear visuals, excellent delivery | Clear, good visuals, adequate delivery | Somewhat unclear, weak visuals | Unclear, disorganized, poor delivery |

Report Grading Process

To ensure fairness and real-world alignment, the final project reports will be graded not only by the instructor but also by invited professors from related fields (e.g., Computer Science, Statistics, Engineering) and practitioners from the data science and machine learning industry. These external evaluators will use the same rubric above when assigning scores. The final grade will be computed as an aggregate of the evaluations.

Suggested References

1. Bishop, C. M., & Nasrabadi, N. M. (2006). *Pattern recognition and machine learning* (Vol. 4, No. 4, p. 738). New York: Springer.
2. Mohri, M., Rostamizadeh, A., & Talwalkar, A. (2018). *Foundations of machine learning*. MIT Press.
3. Alpaydin, E. (2021). *Machine learning*. MIT Press.