

Weekly Discussion

Tong Wei

Department of Computer Science
Nanjing University

September 25, 2016

Outline

- 1 338. Counting Bits
- 2 368. Largest Divisible Subset
- 3 152. Maximum Product Subarray

Problem Description

Given a non negative integer number **num**. For every numbers **i** in the range $0 \leq i \leq \text{num}$ calculate the number of 1's in their binary representation and return them as an array.

Example:

For **num** = 5 you should return [0,1,1,2,1,2].

Solution

- It is very easy to come up with a solution with run time $O(n * \text{sizeof}(\text{integer}))$.
- `for (int j = 0; j < 32; ++j) if ((1<<j) & i) {}`
- Can we do better?

Solution

- It is very easy to come up with a solution with run time $O(n * \text{sizeof}(\text{integer}))$.
- `for (int j = 0; j < 32; ++j) if ((1<<j) & i) {}`
- Can we do better?

Solution

- It is very easy to come up with a solution with run time $O(n * \text{sizeof}(\text{integer}))$.
- `for (int j = 0; j < 32; ++j) if ((1<<j) & i) {}`
- Can we do better?

Solution

- What can we get if the number of 1's in number i 's binary representation is already known.

Example

- $\text{ans}[i \ll 1] = \text{ans}[i]$
- $\text{ans}[(i \ll 1) + 1] = \text{ans}[i] + 1$

Solution

- What can we get if the number of 1's in number i 's binary representation is already known.

Example

- $\text{ans}[i \ll 1] = \text{ans}[i]$
- $\text{ans}[(i \ll 1) + 1] = \text{ans}[i] + 1$

Solution

```
1  vector<int> countBits(int num) {  
2      vector<int> ans(num + 1, 0);  
3      for (int i = 0; i <= num/2; ++ i) {  
4          if ((i<<1) <= num) {  
5              ans[i<<1] = ans[i];  
6          }  
7          if ((i<<1) + 1 <= num) {  
8              ans[(i<<1) | 1] = ans[i] + 1;  
9          }  
10     }  
11     return ans;  
12 }
```

Problem Description

Given a set of distinct positive integers, find the largest subset such that every pair (S_i, S_j) of elements in this subset satisfies: $S_i \% S_j = 0$ or $S_j \% S_i = 0$.

If there are multiple solutions, return any subset is fine.

Sample 1

num: [1, 2, 3]

Result: [1, 2] (of course, [1,3] will also be ok)

Sample 2

nums: [1,2,4,8]

Result: [1,2,4,8]

Solution

- How to check if a given set satisfies above constraints?
- What's the running time complexity?

Solution

- How to check if a given set satisfies above constraints?
- What's the running time complexity?

Solution

```
1 def largestDivisibleSubset(self, nums):
2     S = {-1: set()}
3     for x in sorted(nums):
4         S[x] = max((S[d] for d in S if x % d
5                     == 0), key=len) | {x}
6     return list(max(S.values(), key=len))
```

Problem Description

Find the contiguous subarray within an array (containing at least one number) which has the largest product.

Sample Input:

[2,3,-2,4]

Sample Output:

6 (2 * 3)

Solution

- Enumerate every possible subarray. How?
- Time Complexity: $O(n^3)$.
- Can we do better?

Solution

- Enumerate every possible subarray. How?
- Time Complexity: $O(n^3)$.
- Can we do better?

Solution

- Enumerate every possible subarray. How?
- Time Complexity: $O(n^3)$.
- Can we do better?

Solution

Observation: It's evident that for any L and R with $L \leq R$, we have $\text{prod}[L...R] = \text{prefix}[R] / \text{prefix}[L - 1]$.

- So how to implement?
- Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$.
- Can we do better?

Solution

Observation: It's evident that for any L and R with $L \leq R$, we have $\text{prod}[L...R] = \text{prefix}[R] / \text{prefix}[L - 1]$.

- So how to implement?
- Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$.
- Can we do better?

Solution

Observation: It's evident that for any L and R with $L \leq R$, we have $\text{prod}[L...R] = \text{prefix}[R] / \text{prefix}[L - 1]$.

- So how to implement?
- Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$.
- Can we do better?

Solution

Observation: Eliminate useless enumeration by

- So how to improve?
- Time Complexity: $O(n)$.
- Can we do better?
- Maybe NO.

Solution

Observation: Eliminate useless enumeration by

- So how to improve?
- Time Complexity: $O(n)$.
- Can we do better?
- Maybe NO.

Solution

Observation: Eliminate useless enumeration by

- So how to improve?
- Time Complexity: $O(n)$.
- Can we do better?
- Maybe NO.

Solution

Observation: Eliminate useless enumeration by

- So how to improve?
- Time Complexity: $O(n)$.
- Can we do better?
- Maybe NO.

Solution

```
1  int maxProduct(int A[], int n) {
2  // store the result that is the max we have
   found so far
3      int r = A[0];
4  // imax/imin stores the max/min product of
   subarray that ends with the current number
5      for (int i = 1, imax = r, imin = r; i < n
           ; i++) {
6          if (A[i] < 0) swap(imax, imin);
7          imax = max(A[i], imax * A[i]);
8          imin = min(A[i], imin * A[i]);
9          r = max(r, imax);
10     }
11     return r;
12 }
```

The End

Thank you!