

Properties of the Trace and Matrix Derivatives

John Duchi

Contents

1	Notation	1
2	Matrix multiplication	1
3	Gradient of linear function	1
4	Derivative in a trace	2
5	Derivative of product in trace	2
6	Derivative of function of a matrix	3
7	Derivative of linear transformed input to function	3
8	Funky trace derivative	3
9	Symmetric Matrices and Eigenvectors	4

1 Notation

A few things on notation (which may not be very consistent, actually): The columns of a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ are a_1 through a_n , while the rows are given (as vectors) by \tilde{a}_1^T through \tilde{a}_m^T .

2 Matrix multiplication

First, consider a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We have that

$$AA^T = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^T,$$

that is, that the product of AA^T is the sum of the outer products of the columns of A . To see this, consider that

$$(AA^T)_{ij} = \sum_{p=1}^n a_{pi} a_{pj}$$

because the i, j element is the i^{th} row of A , which is the vector $\langle a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{ni} \rangle$, dotted with the j^{th} column of A^T , which is $\langle a_{1j}, \dots, a_{nj} \rangle$.

If we look at the matrix AA^T , we see that

$$AA^T = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{p=1}^n a_{p1}a_{p1} & \cdots & \sum_{p=1}^n a_{p1}a_{pn} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{p=1}^n a_{pn}a_{p1} & \cdots & \sum_{p=1}^n a_{pn}a_{pn} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} a_{i1}a_{i1} & \cdots & a_{i1}a_{in} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{in}a_{i1} & \cdots & a_{in}a_{in} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^T$$

3 Gradient of linear function

Consider Ax , where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We have

$$\nabla_x Ax = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_x \tilde{a}_1^T x \\ \nabla_x \tilde{a}_2^T x \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_x \tilde{a}_m^T x \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_1 & \tilde{a}_2 & \cdots & \tilde{a}_m \end{bmatrix} = A^T$$

Now let us consider $x^T Ax$ for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We have that

$$x^T Ax = x^T [\tilde{a}_1^T x \ \tilde{a}_2^T x \ \cdots \ \tilde{a}_n^T x]^T = x_1 \tilde{a}_1^T x + \cdots + x_n \tilde{a}_n^T x$$

If we take the derivative with respect to one of the x_l s, we have the l component for each \tilde{a}_i , which is to say a_{il} , and the term for $x_l \tilde{a}_l^T x$, which gives us that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_l} x^T Ax = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_{il} + \tilde{a}_l^T x = a_l^T x + \tilde{a}_l^T x.$$

In the end, we see that

$$\nabla_x x^T Ax = Ax + A^T x.$$

4 Derivative in a trace

Recall (as in *Old and New Matrix Algebra Useful for Statistics*) that we can define the differential of a function $f(x)$ to be the part of $f(x+dx) - f(x)$ that is linear in dx , i.e. is a constant times dx . Then, for example, for a vector valued function \mathbf{f} , we can have

$$\mathbf{f}(x+dx) = \mathbf{f}(x) + \mathbf{f}'(x)dx + (\text{higher order terms}).$$

In the above, \mathbf{f}' is the derivative (or Jacobian). Note that the gradient is the transpose of the Jacobian.

Consider an arbitrary matrix A . We see that

$$\frac{\text{tr}(AdX)}{dX} = \frac{\text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_1^T dx_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \tilde{a}_n^T dx_n \end{bmatrix}}{dX} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{a}_i^T dx_i}{dX}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\left[\frac{\text{tr}(AdX)}{dX} \right]_{ij} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{a}_i^T dx_i}{\partial x_{ji}} \right] = a_{ij}$$

so that

$$\frac{\text{tr}(AdX)}{dX} = A.$$

Note that this is the Jacobian formulation.

5 Derivative of product in trace

In this section, we prove that

$$\nabla_A \text{tr} AB = B^T$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr} AB &= \text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} \overleftarrow{a_1} & \overrightarrow{a_1} \\ \overleftarrow{a_2} & \overrightarrow{a_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \overleftarrow{a_n} & \overrightarrow{a_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \uparrow b_1 & \uparrow b_2 & \cdots & \uparrow b_n \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \text{tr} \begin{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{a_1}^T \overrightarrow{b_1} & \overrightarrow{a_1}^T \overrightarrow{b_2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{a_1}^T \overrightarrow{b_n} \\ \overrightarrow{a_2}^T \overrightarrow{b_1} & \overrightarrow{a_2}^T \overrightarrow{b_2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{a_2}^T \overrightarrow{b_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \overrightarrow{a_n}^T \overrightarrow{b_1} & \overrightarrow{a_n}^T \overrightarrow{b_2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{a_n}^T \overrightarrow{b_n} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_{1i} b_{i1} + \sum_{i=1}^m a_{2i} b_{i2} + \cdots + \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ni} b_{in} \\
 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \text{tr} AB}{\partial a_{ij}} &= b_{ji} \\
 \Rightarrow \nabla_A \text{tr} AB &= B^T
 \end{aligned}$$

6 Derivative of function of a matrix

Here we prove that

$$\nabla_{A^T} f(A) = (\nabla_A f(A))^T.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_{A^T} f(A) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{11}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{21}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{n1}} \\ \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{12}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{22}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{n2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{1n}} & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{2n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f(A)}{\partial A_{nn}} \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= (\nabla_A f(A))^T
 \end{aligned}$$

7 Derivative of linear transformed input to function

Consider a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose we have a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ and a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We wish to compute $\nabla_x f(Ax)$. By the chain rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial f(Ax)}{\partial x_i} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(Ax)}{\partial (Ax)_k} \cdot \frac{\partial (Ax)_k}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(Ax)}{\partial (Ax)_k} \cdot \frac{\partial (\tilde{a}_k^T x)}{\partial x_i} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(Ax)}{\partial (Ax)_k} \cdot a_{ki} = \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k f(Ax) a_{ki} \\
 &= a_i^T \nabla f(Ax).
 \end{aligned}$$

As such, $\nabla_x f(Ax) = A^T \nabla f(Ax)$. Now, if we would like to get the second derivative of this function (third derivatives would be a little nice, but **I do not like tensors**), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f(Ax)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_i^T \nabla f(Ax) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ki} \frac{\partial f(Ax)}{\partial (Ax)_k} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ki} \frac{\partial^2 f(Ax)}{\partial (Ax)_k \partial (Ax)_l} a_{li} \\ &= a_i^T \nabla^2 f(Ax) a_j \end{aligned}$$

From this, it is easy to see that $\nabla_x^2 f(Ax) = A^T \nabla^2 f(Ax) A$.

8 Funky trace derivative

In this section, we prove that

$$\nabla_A \text{tr} ABA^T C = CAB + C^T AB^T.$$

In this bit, let us have **$AB = f(A)$** , where f is matrix-valued.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_A \text{tr} ABA^T C &= \nabla_A \text{tr} f(A) A^T C \\ &= \nabla_{\bullet} \text{tr} f(\bullet) A^T C + \nabla_{\bullet} \text{tr} f(A) \bullet^T C \\ &= (A^T C)^T f'(\bullet) + (\nabla_{\bullet} \text{tr} f(A) \bullet^T C)^T \\ &= C^T AB^T + (\nabla_{\bullet} \text{tr} \bullet^T C f(A))^T \\ &= C^T AB^T + ((Cf(A))^T)^T \\ &= C^T AB^T + CAB \end{aligned}$$

9 Symmetric Matrices and Eigenvectors

In this we prove that for a symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, all the eigenvalues are real, and that the eigenvectors of A form an **orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n** .

First, we prove that the eigenvalues are real. Suppose one is complex: we have

$$\bar{\lambda} x^T x = (Ax)^T x = x^T A^T x = x^T Ax = \lambda x^T x.$$

Thus, all the eigenvalues are real.

Now, we suppose we have at least one eigenvector $v \neq 0$ of A . Consider a **space W of vectors orthogonal to v** . We then have that, for $w \in W$,

$$(Aw)^T v = w^T A^T v = w^T Av = \lambda w^T v = 0.$$

Thus, we have a set of vectors W that, when transformed by A , are still orthogonal to v , so if we have an original eigenvector v of A , then a simple inductive argument shows that there is an orthonormal set of eigenvectors.

To see that there is at least one eigenvector, consider the characteristic polynomial of A :

$$\mathcal{X}(A) = \det(A - \lambda I).$$

The field is algebraically closed, so there is at least one complex root r , so we have that $A - rI$ is singular and there is a vector $v \neq 0$ that is an eigenvector of A . Thus r is a real eigenvalue, so we have the base case for our induction, and the proof is complete.