SECTION C: E-GOVERNANCE FORMS & SOPs

ANNEXURE C1: AAPLE SARKAR SERVICE INTEGRATION FORM

SOP-C1: Aaple Sarkar Integration Protocol

Purpose: Systematic integration of police services with Aaple Sarkar portal

Procedure:

- 1. Service analysis and documentation using this form
- 2. GPR (Government Process Re-engineering) mandatory before integration
- 3. API development and testing in sandbox environment
- 4. UAT with minimum 20 citizens
- 5. Go-live approval from both SP and Aaple Sarkar nodal officer

AAPLE SARKAR SERVICE INTEGRA	TION FORM - AHILYANAGAR POLICE
Service Name:	Service Code: AS-AHN
<pre>Integration Lead:</pre>	Target Go-Live:
SERVICE DETAILS:	
	fline Only []Partial Online []Manual
Annual Transaction Volume: _	Average Processing Time:
Revenue Generated: ₹	per year Fee per Application: ₹
Governing Act/Rule:	
STAKEHOLDER MAPPING:	
Service Owner (Department):	
Approving Authority:	Designation:
CURRENT PROCESS FLOW:	
	Responsible Time Documents Required
1.	1 1
2.	1 1
: :	1 1
3.	
4.	
5.	I I
CURRENT PAIN POINTS:	
1. Citizen Perspective:	
RE-ENGINEERED PROCESS (POST-	GPR):
•	Automation Level Time Digital Documents
1.	[]Full []Part
2.	[]Full []Part
2.	[]Full []Part
4.	[]Full []Part
INTEGRATION REQUIREMENTS:	
API Endpoints Required:	
]Yes []No Amount Range: ₹ to ₹
. ,	
DOCUMENT REQUIREMENTS:	
	D' '1 1 411

Current Documents (Physical):

Digital Alternative:

1
2
3
4
Documents Eliminated:
Auto-fetch from Database: []Aadhaar []PAN []Driving License []Others:
SERVICE LEVEL AGREEMENT (SLA):
Current Processing Time: days Target Time: days
Percentage Improvement:% RTS Notification Required: []Yes []No
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS:
Development Platform: []Java [].NET []Python []Other:
Database: []PostgreSQL []MySQL []Oracle []Other:
API Type: []REST []SOAP Authentication: []OAuth []JWT
Expected Load: requests/day Peak Load: requests/hour
TESTING REQUIREMENTS:
Test Scenarios Identified: Test Data Sets Prepared:
UAT Participants Identified: []Yes []No Number:
BENEFITS REALIZATION:
Citizen Benefits:
- Travel Saved: km per application
- Time Saved: hours per application
- Cost Saved: ₹ per application
- Visits Eliminated: (from to)
Department Benefits:
- Staff Hours Saved: per day
- Paper Saved: sheets per month
- Storage Space Saved: sq.ft
- Error Reduction:%
IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE:
Activity Start Date End Date Responsible
Requirement Finalization
Development
Testing
UAT
Training
Go-Live
APPROVAL CHAIN:
GPR Approved By: Date: Signature:

Technical Review:	_ Date:	_ Signature:
Aaple Sarkar Nodal:	Date:	Signature:
SP Ahilyanagar:	Date:	Signature:

ANNEXURE C2: GPR (GOVERNMENT PROCESS RE-ENGINEERING) ANALYSIS TEMPLATE

SOP-C2: GPR Implementation Protocol

Purpose: Systematic process re-engineering for maximum efficiency

Procedure:

- 1. Map current process with time and motion study
- 2. Identify non-value-adding steps
- 3. Design optimized process with technology integration
- 4. Validate with stakeholders
- 5. Implement with change management

Process Name:	Analysis Date:
GPR Team Lead:	Service Priority: []High []Medium []Low
CURRENT STATE ANALYSIS:	
	alue-Adding Steps: Non-Value Steps:
	days Actual Work Time: hours
	visits Documents Required: nos
Average Cost to Citizen: ₹	Department Cost per Transaction: ₹
DETAILED CURRENT PROCESS MAP	
	Value Add? Actor Wait Time Documents
	[]Y []N
5.	[]Y []N
JACTE TRENTTETCATION /LEAN A	NALVETE\.
WASTE IDENTIFICATION (LEAN A	
	fied In Steps Impact Elimination Strategy
Waiting	
Transportation	
Over-processing	
Inventory	
Motion	
Defects/Errors	
Under-utilization	
onder dellizacion	I I
ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS:	
,	
FUTURE STATE DESIGN:	
Total Process Steps: (Reduction: %)
Processing Time: days	
Citizen Touch Points:	
Documents Required: (R	
-4 (<u> </u>
RE-ENGINEERED PROCESS FLOW:	
Step Activity Duration	Automated? Digital Tool Owner

GPR ANALYSIS TEMPLATE - AHILYANAGAR POLICE

1.		[]Y []	IN I		
2.					
3.		[]Y []			!
4.		[]Y []			!
T•	I	1 [], []	IN		I
TECHNOLOGY ENABLE	EDC.				
		iccion	Γ 1 Λυ±ο ι	onulatio	n from Database
[] Online Applio					
[] Digital Docur	-				
[] SMS/Email Not		_	_		
[] Workflow Auto		_	_		
[] Mobile App Ir	ntegration	L] AI/ML TO	or Decision	on Support
BENEFITS QUANTIF					
Metric		•	「arget Ir	•	
Processing Time ((days)			%	
Citizen Visits					
Documents Require			<u> </u>		
Cost to Citizen		 			
Staff Time (hours	s)				
Error Rate (%)			l	%	
IMPLEMENTATION R					
Risk	•	-	/ Impact		
	•		'	•	
Staff Resistance					
Technology Failur	re				
Citizen Adoption					
Legal/Compliance					
CHANGE MANAGEMENT PLAN:					
Stakeholder Group	p Current	State D	Desired Sta	ate Int	ervention
Citizens					
Front-line Staff					
Officers					
External Agencies	s				
GPR APPROVAL:					
Analyzed By:		Date:	Signa	ature:	
Reviewed By:					
Approved By (SP):					
			-		

SOP-C3: E-Office Adoption Protocol

Purpose: Track and ensure successful e-Office implementation

Procedure:

- 1. Daily monitoring of user adoption metrics
- 2. Weekly training effectiveness assessment
- 3. Identify and address adoption barriers
- 4. Recognize high performers
- 5. Achieve 75% adoption target within 45 days

E-OFFICE IMPLEMENTATION TRACKER - AHILYANAGAR POLICE					
Reporting Week: t	o To	tal Users:	Targ	et: 75% Active	
USER ADOPTION METRICS:					
Category	Total Ac	counts Ac	tive %Ac	tive Target	
Senior Officers (SP+)				100%	
DySP Level				100%	
Inspector Level				90%	
Sub-Inspector Level				80%	
ASI/HC Level				70%	
Constable Level				60%	
Civilian Staff				80%	
TOTAL:				75%	
FILE PROCESSING METRICS	•				
Metric	T	his Week	Cumulative	Target	
		-		-	
Total Files Created					
Files in E-Office				75%	
Files with Digital Sign	ature			100%	
Average Processing Time	(days)			<5	
Files Pending >7 days				<10%	
MODULE-WISE USAGE:					
Module	Users Tra	ined Acti	ve Users	Usage Rate	
	-				
File Management				%	
Digital Signature			1	%	
Knowledge Management			- 1	%	
Personnel Management			1	%	
Leave Management			I	%	
Tour Management			- 1	%	
TRAINING STATUS:					
Training Type	-		-		
Basic Navigation				/5	
File Creation/Movement				/5	
Digital Signature				/5	
Advanced Features				/5	
Troubleshooting		I		/5	
ADOPTION BARRIERS IDENTIFIED:					
Barrier				Resolution S	

Technical Issues:		1	
- Login Problems			
- Slow Performance			
- Digital Signature Issues			
Skill Gaps:			
- Basic Computer Skills			
- Navigation Difficulties			
- Process Understanding			
Infrastructure:			
- Computer Availability			
- Internet Connectivity			
- Power Issues			
Behavioral:			
- Resistance to Change			
- Preference for Paper			
- Fear of Technology			
TOP PERFORMERS (RECOGNITION)			
Name Designat	tion Files Pro	cessed Adoption Score	
1.	l	%	
2.		%	
3.		%	
CURRORT TICKETC			
SUPPORT TICKETS:	d. Dandina	Ava Basalutian	la va a
Total Raised: Resolved	a: Penaing	g: Avg Resolution:	_ nrs
NEXT WEEK ACTION PLAN:			
NEXT WEEK ACTION PLAN:			
1. Focus Area:			
 Focus Area: Additional Training for: 			
 Focus Area: Additional Training for: Technical Issues to Resolution 	lve:		
 Focus Area: Additional Training for: 	lve:		
 Focus Area: Additional Training for: Technical Issues to Resolution Motivation Initiative: 	lve:		
 Focus Area:	lve:		
 Focus Area: Additional Training for: Technical Issues to Resolution Motivation Initiative: 	lve:		

ANNEXURE C4: DIGITAL SIGNATURE IMPLEMENTATION FORM

SOP-C4: Digital Signature Deployment Protocol

Purpose: Ensure 100% digital signature adoption for paperless office

Procedure:

- 1. Procurement of Class 2/3 digital signatures
- 2. Installation and configuration support
- 3. Training on usage and security
- 4. Compliance monitoring
- 5. Renewal tracking and management

DIGITAL SIGNATURE IMPLEMENTATION FO							
DS Coordinator:							
D3 COOI diliacoi		TOCAL V	JITCEIS.				
PROCUREMENT STATUS:							
Category	Eligibl	e Requir	ed Procui	red Installed	Active		
					·-		
Class 3 (Signing)	 			<u> </u>	1		
- SP & Above	 			<u> </u>	1		
- DySP Level							
Class 2 (Signing)				l			
- Inspector Level					1		
- SI Level							
- Office Staff							
TOTAL:				l			
VENDOR DETAILS.							
VENDOR DETAILS: Certifying Authority:							
Contract Value: ₹ Support Contact:							
Support Contact		Liller gericy	•				
INDIVIDUAL TRACKING:							
Name Designation	Token No l	Valid Fro	n Valid ⁻	Till Status			
-	·		•	·			
i	· 		i	i			
i	· 		i I	i			
i i	i		i	i I			
1	'		'	ı			
INSTALLATION SUPPORT:	:						
Date Officer Name	•		•				
	•		'				
	[]Succes			 			
	[]Succes			 			
I	[]Succes	2 []Lall	I	I			
USAGE TRACKING:							
Officer Name Week 1	I Week 2	l Week 3 l	Week 4 N	Monthly Total			
	-			_			
i	i	I I	i				
į	i	i i	i				
•	•	. '	,				
COMMON ISSUES LOG:							
Issue Type	1	Frequency	Standard	d Resolution			
	•		•				
Token not detected							
Password forgotten							

Certificate expired					
Browser compatibility					
Java issues					
TRAINING RECORD:					
Batch Date Participants Topics Covered Trainer Feedback					
1 /5					
2 /5					
3 /5					
COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST:					
[] IT Act 2000 compliance verified					
[] User agreement signed by all users					
[] Security guidelines distributed					
[] Password policy implemented					
[] Token safety instructions provided					
[] Audit trail mechanism active					
DENIEMAL TRACVING.					
RENEWAL TRACKING:					
Alert Period: 30 days before expiry					
Renewal Process Owner:					
MONTHLY SUMMARY:					
Total Digital Signatures Active: Target Achievement:%					
Files Signed Digitally: Paper Files Eliminated:					
Cost Savings: ₹ Time Savings: hours					
COSC SAVINGS. (TIME SAVINGS NOATS					
Prepared By: Date:					
IT In-charge: Date:					

ANNEXURE C5: ONLINE SERVICE DELIVERY CHECKLIST

SOP-C5: Online Service Quality Assurance

Purpose: Ensure excellent online service delivery to citizens

Procedure:

- 1. Service design with citizen-centric approach
- 2. Multi-channel delivery options
- 3. Regular service quality monitoring
- 4. Citizen feedback integration
- 5. Continuous improvement implementation



ONLINE SERVICE DELIVERY CHECKLIST - Service:				
Service Owner:				
SERVICE DESIGN VALIDATION:				
Design Principle	Implemented Verified By			
	[]Y []N			
Mobile-responsive design	[]Y []N			
Multi-language support (M/H/E)	[]Y []N			
Clear instructions at each step	[]Y []N			
Progress indicator visible	[]Y []N			
Help/FAQ readily available	[]Y []N			
Error messages user-friendly	[]Y []N			
Accessibility compliance (WCAG)	[]Y []N			
SERVICE DELIVERY CHANNELS:				
	URL/Access Point Usage %			
Web Portal []Y []N	 			
Mobile App []Y []N	i			
Aaple Sarkar Portal []Y []N	i			
WhatsApp []Y []N	i			
SMS []Y []N	i			
Kiosk []Y []N	i			
ADDITION DECESS FLOW				
APPLICATION PROCESS FLOW:	Citizen Astion Cystem Despense			
Step Description Time (min) (
1.	İ			
2.	İ			
3.	İ			
4.	i			
5.	İ			
DOCUMENT UPLOAD SPECIFICATIONS:				
Document Type Format Allowed Ma	av Siza Sample Drovided			
[]PDF []JPG	MB []Y []N			
[]PDF []JPG	MB []Y []N			
[]PDF []JPG	MB []Y []N			
PAYMENT INTEGRATION (If Applicable)):			
Payment Gateway:				
	ebit Card []Net Banking []UPI []Wallet			
	% Borne by: []Citizen []Department			

SERVICE LEVEL MONITORING: | Target | Week 1 | Week 2 | Week 3 | Week 4 Application Submission Time | <10min | System Uptime 99.5% Payment Success Rate | >95% Auto-acknowledgment 100% SLA Adherence >95% COMMUNICATION TOUCHPOINTS: | Channel | Template Ready | Tested Stage Application Received | SMS/Email| []Y []N | []Y []N Payment Confirmation | SMS/Email| []Y []N | []Y []N Processing Updates | SMS/Email| []Y []N | []Y []N Processing Updates Ready for Collection | SMS/Email| []Y []N | []Y []N Feedback Request | SMS/Email | []Y []N | []Y []N CITIZEN SUPPORT MECHANISM: Support Type | Available | Response Time | Contact Details -----|-----|------| Phone Helpline | []Y []N | ____ min Email Support | []Y []N | ____ hours | | []Y []N | ____ min Chat Support Video Guide | []Y []N | On-demand | | []Y []N | 24x7 FAQ Section USER TESTING RESULTS: Test Group | Size | Success Rate | Avg Time | Satisfaction | Issues min | % | /5 Youth /5 Middle-age | % min | Senior % | min | /5 Rural % | min | /5 % | min | /5 Urban LAUNCH READINESS: [] All features tested successfully [] Load testing completed for expected volume [] Security audit clearance obtained [] Staff training completed [] Communication plan activated [] Monitoring dashboard configured [] Feedback mechanism active

[] Rollback plan documented

GO-LIVE APPROVAL:		
Service Owner:	Date: _	Signature:
IT In-charge:	_ Date:	Signature:
Citizen Rep:	_ Date:	Signature:
SP Ahilyanagar:	Date:	Signature:

KEY SOPS FOR SECTION C

SOP-C-001: Service Prioritization Matrix

```
Priority Calculation:

Score = (Citizen Impact x 3) + (Volume x 2) + (Revenue x 1) + (Ease x 2)

Citizen Impact: High=3, Medium=2, Low=1

Volume: >1000/month=3, 500-1000=2, <500=1

Revenue: >10L/year=3, 5-10L=2, <5L=1

Ease of Implementation: Easy=3, Medium=2, Difficult=1

Priority Bands:

Score 19-24: Immediate Implementation (Phase 1)

Score 13-18: Quick Wins (Phase 2)

Score 8-12: Standard Implementation (Phase 3)

Score <8: Future Consideration
```

SOP-C-002: Citizen Communication Standards

1. Language Protocol

- Default: Marathi
- Option for Hindi/English
- Simple language (8th grade level)
- No technical jargon
- Active voice preferred

2. Response Timelines

- Acknowledgment: Immediate (automated)
- Query Response: 24 hours
- Complaint Resolution: 48 hours
- Feedback Response: 72 hours
- Escalation: After timeline breach

SOP-C-003: Digital Payment Reconciliation

Daily Reconciliation Process:

- 1. 09:00 hrs: Previous day transaction report
- 2. 09:30 hrs: Match with bank statement
- 3. 10:00 hrs: Identify discrepancies
- 4. 10:30 hrs: Resolve with payment gateway
- 5. 11:00 hrs: Update financial records
- 6. 11:30 hrs: Report to Accounts Officer

Weekly Audit:

- Random sample 10% transactions
- Verify citizen receipts
- Check refund processing
- GST compliance verification

SOP-C-004: Service Performance Dashboard

1. Real-time Metrics

- Applications received (hourly)
- Processing status distribution
- SLA compliance percentage
- System health indicators
- Citizen satisfaction score

2. Daily Reports

- Service-wise transaction count
- Revenue collection
- Pending beyond SLA
- Technical issues log
- Staff productivity metrics

SOP-C-005: Continuous Improvement Protocol

Monthly Service Review:

- 1. Analyze citizen feedback
- 2. Review process metrics
- 3. Identify improvement areas
- 4. Implement minor changes
- 5. Document lessons learned

Quarterly Major Review:

- 1. Comprehensive service audit
- 2. Citizen survey (minimum 100)
- 3. Benchmarking with best practices
- 4. Major process modifications
- 5. Technology upgrades if needed