

Get started

















Remove Linked List Elements

Remove all elements from a linked list of integers that have value val.

Example:

Input: 1->2->6->3->4->5->6, val = 6

Output: 1->2->3->4->5

This problem asks us to remove all nodes in a singly linked list whose val is equal to another input val. This turns out to be a very straightforward question. We will also propose both iterative and recursive solutions here.

• Iterative Solution

To solve this problem iteratively, we can simply traverse the list once, extracting the node whose value is different from val and delete others.

Since this is quite obvious, there are only two things that I think are worth noticing is that we'd better use a dummy head as a holder to hold the filtered valid nodes. It's also mainly because the head might be deleted, so this makes our implementation more convenient.

Second, at the end of traversal, make sure that the last node in our result list is pointing to NULL to indicate the end of the list. What problem would occur if we don't do that? Imagine the case where we need to remove the last element in the input list. Then it will









Get started

random address in the memory. And it could be very risky and dangerous. So make sure to set it empty.

```
ListNode* removeElements(ListNode* head, int val) {
    ListNode dummy(0), *it = &dummy;
    while (head) {
        if (head->val == val) {
            ListNode* toDel = head;
            head = head->next;
            delete toDel;
        } else {
            it->next = head;
            head = head->next;
            it = it->next;
        }
    }
    it->next = NULL;
    return dummy.next;
}
```

Also, note that in C++, we need to delete the node and free the memory if they are not used any more. It's not a problem in Java or Python and etc., which have automatic garbage collection.

Let's go through an example whose last element needs to be removed:

```
Suppose that we are given a list:

1->2->1->3->1->NULL, val = 1

Initialization: dummy -> 1, it = dummy

Current List: 1->2->1->3->1->NULL
Result List: dummy->NULL
1st Iteration: head points to the first 1, remove move head to 2 delete the first 1
```







Get started

move it to 2

move head to the second 1

Current List: 2->1->3->1->NULL Result List: dummy->2->(1->3->1)

1st Iteration: head points to the second 1, remove

move head to 2

delete the second 1

Current List: 2->, 3->1
Result List: dummy->2->

2nd Iteration: head points to 3, keep

add 3 to result
move it to 3

move head to the last 1

Current List: 2->3->1

Result List: dummy -> 2 -> 3 -> (1)

1st Iteration: head points to the last 1, remove

move head to NULL delete the last 1

Current List: 2->3->

Result List: dummy->2->3->

Post Process: Point it (3) to NULL

Result List: dummy->2->3->NULL

return dummy.next = 2

Time Complexity: O(n) — We need to go through the list for once, so the time complexity is apparently linear.

Space Complexity: O(1) — Only a dummy head and a few additional pointers are used in this implementation, so the space cost is constant.

• Recursive Solution

Still, we can try to use recursion to solve this problem since it could be easily broken down into multiple sub-problems. Note that the sub-problem is quite intuitive. We can simply









Get started

So the recursive rule is supposed to be:

- 1. Base Case: The input list is empty.
- 2. Recursive Rules: 1) Get the result from the sub-list starting from the next node. 2) Determine if the current should be kept or removed. If it is kept, then connect it with the result returned from the following list. Otherwise, discard the current node and return the subsequent result.

```
ListNode* removeElements(ListNode* head, int val) {
    if (!head) {
        return NULL;
    }
    ListNode* post = removeElements(head->next, val);
    if (head->val != val) {
        head->next = post;
        return head;
    }
    delete head;
    return post;
}
```

The code just straightly demonstrates the algorithm that we described above. post is the result we obtain from the subsequent list. It should contain all the nodes whose values are not equal to val. Also, note that if the current head's value is the same as val, remember to delete the current node to avoid memory leak.

Let's run the same example as the one in the iterative solution:

```
Suppose that we have an input list:

1->2->1->3->1->NULL

Current List:

1->2->1->3->1->NULL
```









Get started

Current List: 1->2->1->3->1->NULL call removeElements(1) In removeElements(2): Current List: 1->2->1->3->1->NULL In removeElements(1): call removeElements(3) Current List: 1->2->1->3->1->NULL In removeElements(3): call removeElements(1) Current List: 1->2->1->3->1->NULL In removeElements(1): call removeElements(NULL) Current List: 1->2->1->3->1->NULL hit base case In removeElements(NULL): return NULL Current List: 1->2->1->3->1->NULL In removeElements(1): get NULL 1 is equal to 1 delete 1 return NULL Current List: 1->2->1->3->, NULL In removeElements(3): get NULL 3 is not equal to 1 point 3 to NULL return 3 Current List: 1->2->1->, 3->NULLget 3 In removeElements(1): 1 is equal to 1 delete 1 return 3 1->2->, 3->NULL Current List: In removeElements(2): get 3 2 is not equal to 1 point 2 to 3 return 2 1->2->3->NULL Current List: get 2 In removeElements(1): 1 is equal to 1 delete 1 return 2









Get started

Time Complexity: O(n) — Same as the iterative solution, we need to go through the list for once, so the time complexity is apparently linear.

Space Complexity: O(n) —Every time we need to call the recursion for the next node, so for each node there will be an individual call to handle. So the cost of call stacks is linear. In each function, we only use a couple of local pointers, which are constant. So the entire space complexity is O(n).







