# Using Multiple Imputation, Survival Analysis, And Propensity Score Analysis In Cancer Data With A Large Amount Of Missing Data

## Master's Thesis

Nathan Berliner [1]

[1]Department of Statistics
Rice University

Fill in Date here, 2015

# Outline

# Outline

# In an ideal world

- We would have a large dataset
  - That was obtained from an RCT
  - That would help answer a clearly defined question
  - That had all the covariates of scientific interest
  - That contained no missing data

# In Reality

- RCT's are expensive and often unethical
  - We often get retrospective observational data
  - Pulled from a database or historical records
- The questions we have may not be answerable from the data on hand
  - The data obtained often doesn't support the original question in mind
- The covariates collected are out of our control
  - Since often no control of experiment, no control over what is collected
- Lots of missing data
  - Since no control over how the data is collected, we can't guarantee that everything is collected
  - This issue is seemingly omnipresent in all types of data collection

# Is This a Problem?

- Without an RCT, we can't be sure if differences in treatments is due to the treatment or something else
- Omitting important factors may bias our results
- With missing data, we will be throwing away data and biasing our results

# The Solution

This thesis aims to fix some of these problems

- Fill in missing data via multiple imputation
- Create meaningful analytical models via survival analysis
- Get a causal interpretation from observational data

# Motivation

- This thesis is motivated by cancer survival data with moderate missingness
- We will build the theory for dealing with this sitation
- And then apply it to a cancer data set

# Abstract

In this thesis, multiple imputation, survival analysis, and propensity score analysis are combined in order to answer questions about cancer data with moderate missingness. While each of these fields have been studied individually, there has been little work and analysis on using the three in trio. Starting with an incomplete dataset, we aim to impute the missing data, run survival analysis on each of the imputed datasets, and then do propensity score analysis to observe causal effects. Along the way, many theoretical and analytical decisions are mode. I explain why each decision is made, and offer ample evidence for the other choices such that the interested reader may implement the methods if they so choose. I apply the methodology to a cancer survival dataset in a case study, but the methods used are general, and could be adapted for any type of data.

# Outline

- Missing data happens when we intend to collect a piece of data but don't actually get it
- Historical approaches
  - Complete Case analysis: Throw away any record that is not complete
  - Available Case analysis: Use records so long as they are complete for the specific analysis in question

# Imputation

The English verb "to impute" comes from the Latin imputo, which means to reckon, attribute, make account of, charge, ascribe. !!VanBuuren2012!!

- In the 1930's, Allan, Wishart,and Yates laid framework for missing data
  - Idea: Fill in the missing value, deduct degrees of freedom to account for it
  - Issue: Dogmatic, and variance can't be estimates correctly

# Multiple Imputation

Throughout the 70's and 80's Donald Rubin worked to improve on this

- Instead of imputing one value, lets impute it many times
- Draw the values from the missing datas posterior distibution

This idea is called Multiple Imputation (MI) and was formalized in 1987. It is the gold standard method for missing data currently.

# Second Slide Title

- First item.

# Second Slide Title

- First item.
- Second item.

# Second Slide Title

- First item.
- Second item.
- Third item.

# Second Slide Title

- First item.
- Second item.
- Third item.
- Fourth item.

# Second Slide Title

- First item.
- Second item.
- Third item.
- Fourth item.
- Fifth item.

# Second Slide Title

- First item.
- Second item.
- Third item.
- Fourth item.
- Fifth item. Extra text in the fifth item.

# Outline

# Blocks

## Block Title

You can also highlight sections of your presentation in a block, with it's own title

## Theorem

*There are separate environments for theorems, examples, definitions and proofs.*

## Example

Here is an example of an example block.

# Summary

- The first main message of your talk in one or two lines.
- The second main message of your talk in one or two lines.
- Perhaps a third message, but not more than that.

- Outlook
  - Something you haven't solved.
  - Something else you haven't solved.

# For Further Reading I

📕 A. Author.
*Handbook of Everything.*
Some Press, 1990.

📄 S. Someone.
On this and that.
*Journal of This and That*, 2(1):50–100, 2000.