

(Q1) LIKELIHOOD VS. LIKELIHOOD FREE TRAINING

Why GANs are "Likelihood-Free"

GANs define an implicit distribution through a generator $x = T_\theta(\xi)$, with $\xi \sim p_0$ (e.g. Gaussian).

Unlike VAEs or normalizing flows, GANs don't provide a tractable likelihood $p_\theta(x)$ because T_θ is generally non-invertible and may map to a lower dimensional manifold.

Thus, maximum likelihood estimation (MLE)

cannot be applied directly

$$p_\theta(x) = p_0(T_\theta^{-1}(x)) \left| \det \nabla T_\theta^{-1}(x) \right|$$

requires an invertible T_θ . When T_θ collapses dimensions this density is undefined w.r.t.

Lebesgue measure - all generated samples

lie on a surface, not in the full data space.

$$\text{Density of } X = \frac{\Sigma}{\|\Sigma\|}$$

Here $T(\Sigma) = \Sigma / \|\Sigma\|$ maps any
 $\Sigma = R^d$ onto ~~any~~ the unit sphere S^{d-1}

- X has no density in R^d (since its support has measure zero)
- It does not have a density on the sphere (uniform over directions if Σ is isotropic)

SUMMARY : GANs avoid likelihood estimation by using adversarial training to compare samples instead of computing densities.

(Q3)

DISCRIMINATIVE FUNCTION CLASS

Definition: A function class \mathcal{H} is discriminative

if

$$\sup_{h \in H} |E_p[h] - E_q[h]| = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P = Q$$

This means the class is rich enough to distinguish any two different distributions.

Example :

- Discriminative: All bounded continuous functions - forms the basis of the total variation distance.

- Non-Discriminative: Constant functions $h(x) = c$.

here $E_p[h] - E_q[h] = 0$ for all P, Q ; it cannot distinguish distributions.

INTUITION :

The more expressive \mathcal{H} is, the more sensitive the metric is to distributional differences. GAN critic aim to make \mathcal{H} (parameterized by neural nets) sufficiently discriminative while still learnable.

Q4.

Single-Neuron Test Function

$$\text{Let } h(x) = \sigma(\omega^T x + b).$$

A single neuron can serve as a test function in an IPM or GAN critic.

why Nonlinearity is needed

If σ is linear ($\sigma(z) = z$);

$$h(x) = \omega^T x + b \Rightarrow E_p[h] - E_q[h] = \omega^T (E_p[x] - E_q[x])$$

This measures only mean differences - insensitive to shape, variance, or multimodal structure.

when σ is nonlinear (eg. ReLU, tanh), $h(x)$ responds differently to various regions of the input space, allowing the critic to detect higher-order mismatches between P and Q

if $\sigma = \text{Identity}$

The model collapses to a linear test, equivalent to matching only the first moment - making it non-discriminative.

Thus, nonlinearity gives richer feature tests, essential for expressive discriminability.

(Q5) Integral Probability Metric (IPM)

Definition,

$$IPM_{\mathcal{H}}(P, Q) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |E_P[h] - E_Q[h]|$$

it measures maximum discrepancy between expectations over a function class \mathcal{H} .

why Constrain h ,

without constraints, scaling $h \rightarrow ch$ would make Supreme infinite.

To ensure a finite, meaningful distance, \mathcal{H} is restricted.

- Lipschitz constraint ($\|\nabla h\| \leq 1$): gives Wasserstein distance.
- Bounded functions ($\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$): gives Total Variation.
- RKHS unit ball: gives Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD).

Interpretation,

IPM generalizes many distances. In GANs:

- Choosing a neural network for h_p approximates IPM with a learned function class.
- Regularization (like gradient penalty) enforces the smoothness constraint.