

**Combinatorics and Probability** from Foundations of Computer Science by Aho and Ullman, Chap 4 and other notes. The bucket distribution notes are largely from <https://math.berkeley.edu/~evans/Combinatorics> which are 2013 lecture notes by Lior Pachter and Lawrence C. Evans, UC, Berkeley, "Methods Of Mathematics: Calculus, Statistics And Combinatorics" Also see <https://dlmf.nist.gov/26> Not used directly here, but frequently referenced in the material that is: "The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences", [http://oeis.org/wiki/Main\\_Page](http://oeis.org/wiki/Main_Page)

A **multiset** (or **bag**, or **mset**) is a modification of the concept of a **set** that, unlike a set, allows for multiple instances for each of its **elements**.

A **partition** of an integer  $n$  is a multiset (or bag) of positive integers whose elements sum to  $n$ . This is an additive representation of  $n$ . A part in a partition is sometimes also called a **summand**. **The set of partitions of  $n$  is denoted by  $P(n)$** . The partition function  **$p(n)$  gives the number of partitions of  $n$** , that is  $p(n)$  is the cardinality of  $P(n)$ .

The set of partitions of 0 is an empty bag:  $P(0) = \{\emptyset\} = \{\{\}\}$ ,  $p(0) = 1$ .

The set of partitions of a negative integer is the empty set, since neg. Integers are not the sum of positive. for  $n < 0$ :  $P(n) = \emptyset = \{\}$ ,  $p(n) = 0$ .

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Partition\\_\(number\\_theory\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Partition_(number_theory))

No **closed-form expression** for the partition function is known, but it has both **asymptotic expansions** that accurately approximate it and **recurrence relations** by which it can be calculated exactly. It grows as an **exponential function** of the **square root** of its argument.<sup>[3]</sup>

The **multiplicative inverse** of its **generating function** is the **Euler function**; by Euler's **pentagonal number theorem** this function is an alternating sum of **pentagonal number** powers of its argument.

**$p_k(n)$**  denotes the number of permutations of  $n$  into at most **(arbitrary)**  $k$  parts:

$$p_k(n) = p_{k-1}(n-1) + p_k(n-k)$$

$$p_k(n) = 0 \text{ if } k > n$$

$$p_1(n) = p_n(n) = 1; p_k(n=0) = 1; p_0(n=0)=1; p_1(n)=1; p_0(n \geq 1)=0$$

e.g.  $n=4$ ,  $k=3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_3(4) &= p_2(3) + p_3(1) \\ &= (p_1(2) + p_2(1)) + (p_2(0) + 0) \\ &= p_0(1) + p_1(1) + p_1(0) + p_2(0) \\ &= 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

## arbitrary k

Table 26.9.1: Partitions  $p_k(n)$ .

$n$	$k$										
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	0	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	0	1	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	0	1	3	5	6	7	7	7	7	7	7
6	0	1	4	7	9	10	11	11	11	11	11
7	0	1	4	8	11	13	14	15	15	15	15
8	0	1	5	10	15	18	20	21	22	22	22
9	0	1	5	12	18	23	26	28	29	30	30
10	0	1	6	14	23	30	35	38	40	41	42

<https://dlmf.nist.gov/26.9#T1>

A **lattice path** in the plane is a curve made up of line segments that either go from a point  $(i, j)$  to the point  $(i + 1, j)$  or from a point  $(i, j)$  to the point  $(i, j + 1)$  where  $i$  and  $j$  are integers. (Thus lattice paths always move either up or to the right.)

[https://www.math.toronto.edu/balazse/2019\\_Summer\\_MAT344/Lec\\_4.pdf](https://www.math.toronto.edu/balazse/2019_Summer_MAT344/Lec_4.pdf)

A **permutation** is an ordered arrangement of  $n$  distinct objects.

A **combination** is an unordered selection of  $r$  objects from a set of  $n$  objects.

— The number of ways to arrange  $n$  distinct items is  $n!$

— The number of assignments of  $n$  values to  $k$  objects is  $n^k$   
(e.g. 5 slots of 0 or 1 is  $2^5 = 32$  possible assignments)  
This is ordered, w/ replacement.

— For ordered, w/o replacement:

The number of ways to select a sequence (note: a sequence is not a set) of  $k$  items out of  $n$  distinct items for a fixed length of  $k$ , i.e.  $k$ -permutations of  $n$ , i.e. such that  $[a, b]$  and  $[b, a]$  are counted instead of only  $\{a, b\}$ :

$$n! / (n - k)!$$

— The number of ways to arrange  $n$  indistinct items is estimated using product rule:

permutation of distinct objects =

permutations considering some are indistinct  $\times$  permutations of only indistinct objects

$\Rightarrow$  permutations considering some are indistinct =

permutation of distinct / permutations of only indistinct

$$= n! / k!$$

**Permutation of Indistinct Objects** when there are  $n$  objects and  $n_1$  are the same (indistinguishable),  $n_2$  are the same, ... and  $n_r$  are the same, then there are

$n! / (n_1! * n_2! * n_3! * \dots * n_r!)$  **distinct permutations** of the objects.

This is also called a **partition rule**. **multisite permutations** can also be estimated this way (i.e. misspelt mississippi =  $8! / ((3!) * (2!) * (2!))$  ).

— The number of ways to select  $k$  **subsets** out of  $n$  distinct objects, is  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n - k)!}$

also stated as: select  $k$  unordered objects from a set of  $n$  objects. This is **k-combinations**,  $C(n, k)$ .

$$= n! / (k! * (n - k)!).$$

$$\text{e.g. } n=3, k=2 \Rightarrow np = 3! / (2! * 1!) = 6/2 = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow \{12, 13, 23\}$$

-- The number of multisets of cardinality  $k$ , with elements taken from a finite set of cardinality  $n$ , is called the multiset coefficient or multiset number. This could be pronounced "n multichoose k" to resemble "n choose k", or  **$k$ -combination with repetitions**, or  **$k$ -multicombination**. The value of multiset coefficients is

$$\binom{n+k-1}{k} = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$$

### The distribution of objects into containers:

Adapted from <https://math.berkeley.edu/~evans/Combinatorics>

Given a collection of containers and a number of objects to put in them:

there are 3 different rules for mapping/assigning the objects to containers:

- injective: at most 1 object in each container
- subjective: at least 1 object in each container
- no restrictions on the number of objects per container

there are 2 possibilities regarding the collection of objects

- **distinct** or **indistinct** from each other

there are 2 possibilities regarding the containers

- **distinct** or **indistinct** from each other

Therefore,  $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 12$  different types of counting problems.

table summarizing the methods, from <https://dlmf.nist.gov/26.17>

**Table 26.17.1: The twelvefold way.**

<i>n</i> objects	<i>k</i> containers	arbitrary <i>k</i>	injective $k \leq 1$	subjective $k \geq 1$
elements of $N$	elements of $K$	$f$ unrestricted	$f$ one-to-one	$f$ onto
labeled	labeled	$k^n$	$(k - n + 1)_n$	$k! S(n, k)$
unlabeled	labeled	$\binom{k + n - 1}{n}$	$\binom{k}{n}$	$\binom{n - 1}{n - k}$
labeled	unlabeled	$S(n, 1) + S(n, 2) + \dots + S(n, k)$	$\begin{cases} 1 & n \leq k \\ 0 & n > k \end{cases}$	$S(n, k)$
unlabeled	unlabeled	$p_k(n)$	$\begin{cases} 1 & n \leq k \\ 0 & n > k \end{cases}$	$p_k(n) - p_{k-1}(n)$

Twelvefold Way table from Stanley's "Enumerative Combinatorics" has these 2 entries swapped.

^Where  $(k)_n$  is Pochhammer's symbol  $= k \cdot (k+1) \cdot \dots \cdot (k+n-1)$ .

^Where  $S(n, k)$  is the Stirling numbers of the second kind  $S(n, k)$  count the number of ways to partition a set of  $n$  objects into  $k$  nonempty (and indistinct) subsets. The Stirling numbers satisfy the recurrence  $S(n+1, k) = k \cdot S(n, k) + S(n, k-1)$ , (1) with  $S(0, 0) = 1$  and  $S(n, 0) = S(0, k) = 0$ .

$$S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} j^n.$$

<https://dlmf.nist.gov/26.8>

^Where  $p_k(n)$  is the partition function.  $p_k(n)$  counts the number of partitions of  $n$  into  $k$  parts (the number of distinct ways to write  $n$  as the sum of  $k$  positive integers). Note that

$$p_k(n) = p_{k-1}(n-1) + p_k(n-k)$$

where  $p_1(n) = p_n(n) = 1$  and  $p_k(n) = 0$  if  $k > n$ .

$$p_k(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n p_k(n-t) \sum_{\substack{j|t \\ j \leq k}} j,$$

**Bucketing  $n$  distinct objects into distinct  $k$  containers:**

— The number of ways =  $k^n$

(e.g. a binary license plate with 5 digits: \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ has  $2^5$  possible combinations.)

**Bucketing  $n$  distinct objects into distinct  $k$  containers, with no more than 1 object per container (injective):**

— The number of ways =  $k \cdot (k-1) \cdots (k-n+1)$

**Bucketing  $n$  indistinct objects into distinct  $k$  containers, with no more than 1 object per container (injective):** uses the product rule.

— The number of ways =  $k \cdot (k-1) \cdots (k-n+1) / n!$

Which can be expressed with combinatorial symbol  $C(k, n)$ .

(NLK: similar to the above, but “indistinct objects” reduces the permutation to unique set, i.e. (a,b) and (b,a) are only {a,b} so the number of ways is smaller. Smaller by the amount of  $n$  subsets out of  $k$  distinct containers)

**Bucketing  $n$  indistinct objects into distinct  $k$  containers, with no restrictions on distribution:**

— The number of ways =  $C(k+n-1, n)$

**Bucketing  $n$  indistinct objects into distinct  $k$  containers, with at least one object in each container (subjunctive)**

— The number of ways =  $C(n-1, n-k)$

**Bucketing  $n$  distinct objects into indistinct  $k$  containers, with at least one object in each container (subjunctive)**

— The number of ways =  $S(n, k)$

**Bucketing  $n$  distinct objects into indistinct  $k$  containers, with no more than 1 object per container**

— The number of ways =  $1$  if  $n \leq k$  and  $0$  if  $n > k$

**Bucketing  $n$  distinct objects into indistinct  $k$  containers, with no restrictions on distribution**

— The number of ways =  $\sum_{i=1, k} S(n, i)$

$n$  **indistinct** objects in the  $k$  **indistinct** containers?

As the objects and containers are indistinct, this is equivalence partitioning of a natural number  $n$  into a sum of  $k$  natural numbers.

Bucketing  $n$  indistinct objects into indistinct  $k$  containers, with at least one object in each container (subjunctive).

— The number of ways =  $p_k(n)$

Also phrased as Partition  $n$  into a sum of  $k$  positive integers.

Bucketing  $n$  indistinct objects into indistinct  $k$  containers, with no more than 1 object per container

— The number of ways = 1 if  $n \leq k$  and 0 if  $n > k$

Bucketing  $n$  indistinct objects into indistinct  $k$  containers, with no restrictions on distribution

— The number of ways =  $\sum_{i=1,k} p_k(n)$

Also phrased as Partition  $n$  into a sum of at most  $k$  positive integers.

-----

**More partitions:**

— The number of ways to place  $n$  indistinct objects into  $k$  containers where the  $n$  items are of  $m$  classes

$(n + k - 1)! / ((k - 1)! * \text{products of each size class})$

-----

— The length of a lattice path from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(m, n) = m + n$

— The number of possible lattice paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(m, n)$  of the shortest length,  $m + n$ ,  
Is to choose  $m$  from the  $m+n = C(m+n, m)$

— The number of possible lattice paths from  $(i, j)$  to  $(m, n)$ , assuming  $i, j, m, n$  are integers:

If  $i > m$  or  $j > n$  then we can not go from  $(i, j)$  to  $(m, n)$ , since we can only travel up and right.

Otherwise we have to make  $m - i$  right and  $n - j$  up steps =  $C(m-i+n-j, m-i)$

## Combinatorics and Probability (cont.)

— **Bayes Rule:**

$$P(B|A) = P(B) * P(A|B) / P(A)$$

prior is  $P(B)$

posterior is  $P(B|A)$

likelihood is  $P(A|B)$

normalizing constant is  $P(A)$

— **MAP (maximum-a-Posteriori estimation):**

Choose  $\theta$  (== parameters) that maximizes the posterior probability of  $\theta$

MLE estimation of a parameter leads to unregularized solutions.

MAP estimation of a parameter leads to regularized solutions.

The prior distribution acts as a regularizer in MAP estimation

Note: For MAP, different prior distributions lead to different regularizers

Gaussian prior on  $w$  regularizes the  $\ell_2$  norm of  $w$

Laplace prior  $\exp(-C||w||_1)$  on  $w$  regularizes the  $\ell_1$  norm of  $w$

-----

The geometric and binomial distributions:

Bernoulli Trials have only success or failure as the possible outcome.

$p$  is the probability of success.

$q$  is the probability of failure.  $q = 1 - p$ .

The number of trials before a success is  $k$ .

From wikipedia:

In [probability theory](#) and [statistics](#), the **geometric distribution** is either one of two [discrete probability distributions](#):

- The probability distribution of the number  $X$  of [Bernoulli trials](#) needed to get one success, supported on the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- The probability distribution of the number  $Y = X - 1$  of failures before the first success, supported on the set  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

The geometric probability distribution is

$$P[X=k] = q^{k-1} * p = (1-p)^{k-1} * p$$

It has  $E[X] = 1/p$

$$\text{And } \text{Var}[X] = q / p^2$$

The binomial probability distribution estimates the number of successes for  $n$  Bernoulli Trials.

$$P[X=k] = C(n, k) * p^k * (1-p)^{n-k}$$

It has  $E[X] = n * p$

$$\text{And } \text{Var}[X] = n * p * q$$

---

from “Foundations of Computer Science” by Aho and Ullman

<http://infolab.stanford.edu/~ullman/focs/ch04.pdf>

## Summary of Rules Involving Several Events

The following summarizes rules of this section and rules about independent events from the last section. Suppose  $E$  and  $F$  are events with probabilities  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively. Then

- ◆ The probability of event  $E\text{-or-}F$  (i.e., at least one of  $E$  and  $F$ ) is at least  $\max(p, q)$  and at most  $p + q$  (or 1 if  $p + q > 1$ ). **Rule for sums, uses OR of 2 events**
- ◆ The probability of event  $E\text{-and-}F$  (i.e., both  $E$  and  $F$ ) is at most  $\min(p, q)$  and at least  $p + q - 1$  (or 0 if  $p + q < 1$ ). **Rule for products, uses AND of 2 events**
- ◆ If  $E$  and  $F$  are independent events, then the probability of  $E\text{-and-}F$  is  $pq$ .
- ◆ If  $E$  and  $F$  are independent events, then the probability of  $E\text{-or-}F$  is  $p + q - pq$ .

The latter rule requires some thought. The probability of  $E\text{-or-}F$  is  $p + q$  minus the fraction of the space that is in both events, since the latter space is counted twice when we add the probabilities of  $E$  and  $F$ . The points in both  $E$  and  $F$  are exactly the event  $E\text{-and-}F$ , whose probability is  $pq$ . Thus,

$$\text{PROB}(E\text{-or-}F) = \text{PROB}(E) + \text{PROB}(F) - \text{PROB}(E\text{-and-}F) = p + q - pq$$

The diagram below illustrates the relationships between these various events.

