

TEACHER REPORT

Name of Teacher	NGUYEN KHOI NGUYEN
Course	CS2030S-Programming Methodology II (LABORATORY)
Academic Year/Sem	2023/2024 - SEM 2
Department	COMPUTER SCIENCE
Faculty	SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

Raters	Student
Responded	10
Invited	11
Response Ratio	91%

Note:
Class Size = Invited; Response Size = Responded; Response Rate = Response Ratio

A. GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETING THE REPORT

The teacher evaluation report is for developmental purposes and is meant to help identify strengths and areas for improvement. Please consider the following recommendations that will aid in interpreting the results:

1. Examine the report by taking note of patterns in order to consider how best to act on the feedback your students have taken the time to provide. Use the reflection section at the end to reflect upon how you might act on the feedback.
2. These evaluations stem from student perception and thus constitute one source of evidence among others as to the quality of your teaching. Any response to the feedback should be based on the most representative results rather than on outlying responses.
3. Upon getting a general sense as to what has gone well, and which areas may require attention and improvement, it is important to drill down to the related questions. These questions can help guide future action if feedback from students suggest areas for improvement.
4. Keep both the likert scale and written comments in mind while reading through the report. High scores (4+) suggest student consensus indicating a strength. On the other hand, low scores (2-) should be considered as an area that requires immediate developmental focus based on student feedback.

B. NOMINATION FOR TEACHING AWARDS

	Response Count
I would like to nominate NGUYEN KHOI NGUYEN for teaching awards	4

Comment
- His explanations are clear and comprehensive and easily understandable.
- He is so far one of the most professional TA I have seen in my university life, I believe he deserve such an award
- good TA
- Passionate, approachable


C. STUDENT FEEDBACK SCORES

(i) Rating Score

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)		Faculty Average (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
Overall, the teacher is effective.	4.5	0.5	4.2	0.8	4.2	0.8

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Dept Average by Activity & Level (COMPUTER SCIENCE-PRACTICAL (Level 2000))	Fac Average by Activity & Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING-PRACTICAL (Level 2000))	Dept Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE-PRACTICAL)	Fac Average by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING-PRACTICAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Overall, the teacher is effective.	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3

Overall, the teacher is effective



Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)		Faculty Average (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.4	0.5	4.3	0.8	4.2	0.8
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.6	0.5	4.2	0.8	4.2	0.9
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.4	0.7	4.2	0.9	4.2	0.9
Average of Q1-Q3	4.5	0.6	4.2	-	4.2	-

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Dept Average by Activity & Level (COMPUTER SCIENCE-PRACTICAL (Level 2000))	Fac Average by Activity & Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING-PRACTICAL (Level 2000))	Dept Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE-PRACTICAL)	Fac Average by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING-PRACTICAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Average of Q1-Q3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3





Department Specific Questions

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher engaged me in useful interactions that have enhanced my learning.	4.3	0.7	4.2	0.8


Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.	4.3	0.7	4.2	0.8

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher cares about student development and learning.	4.5	0.5	4.3	0.8


(ii) Distribution of Responses and Additional Statistics




The teacher engaged me in useful interactions that have enhanced my learning.




The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.




The teacher cares about student development and learning.




(iii) Scale Distribution of Responses




The teacher engaged me in useful interactions that have enhanced my learning.



The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.



The teacher cares about student development and learning.



(iv) Rating Scores vs. Gender

Question	M	F	Overall
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.5	4.0	4.4
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.8	4.0	4.6
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.5	4.0	4.4

D. STRENGTHS

What are NGUYEN KHOI NGUYEN's strengths?

Comments
He display high professionalism when handling questions related to the course content, and is able to provide valuable advice and information to help student manage their work during lab sessions.
He is able to hint and guide me on my problem sets without outright revealing the answers
knowledgeable and explains things well in class
Provides clear and concise slides for the lab and goes through the content in a way that we can understand.

E. AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

What improvements would you suggest to NGUYEN KHOI NGUYEN?

Comments
Be my tutor next time when I go for higher level course please, I don't trust any other TA except for you ok!
Maybe being a bit clearer on concepts
na

F. SELF-REFLECTION

1. When comparing these results to the previous year's results, what areas have shown improvement?
2. What areas remain to be improved and what are the necessary steps / actions to do so?
3. Are there colleagues who could potentially guide me?
4. Are there issues that require departmental or institutional support?