Natasha Kodgi
Spring 2025

Critical Analysis of Kourrich et al. 's Cocaine Experience Controls Bidirectional Synaptic Plasticity in the Nucleus Accumbens

1. Introduction

Cocaine-induced synaptic plasticity has received significant attention in psychology and neuroscience research fields as it provides insights into the brain mechanisms driving psychostimulant drug addiction. Drug addiction is a chronic, often relapsing disorder marked by compulsive drug-seeking despite negative societal, mental, and economic consequences. Understanding the neural mechanisms underlying drug addiction and relapse requires an examination of key brain regions and their interconnected neural circuits.

Cocaine, a psychostimulant, blocks the dopamine transporter (DAT), leading to elevated extracellular dopamine levels in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) (Volkow et al., 1997). This increase in dopamine is the basis of the drug's rewarding effects and contributes to the drive for compulsive drug-seeking behavior (Volkow et al., 1997). The NAc is located in the basal forebrain and is a critical region involved in addictive behaviors. The NAc shell, part of the mesolimbic system, regulates reward-seeking behaviors (Scofield et al., 2016). Over 90% of the cells in the NAc are medium spiny neurons (MSNs), which receive glutamatergic inputs from regions like the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and hippocampus (Scofield et al., 2016).

Chronic drug exposure alters glutamatergic transmission in the NAc, contributing to long-term adaptations that underlie the development and persistence of addiction (Scofield et al., 2016). Drug-induced changes in synaptic plasticity can disrupt the balance between excitatory and inhibitory signaling in the brain, which helps drive compulsive drug-seeking behavior (Scofield et al., 2016). Gaining a clearer understanding of how psychostimulants like cocaine affect these circuits is key to developing better treatments for addiction.

Researchers often examine measurable indicators, such as physiological and structural adaptations in the NAc, to understand the long-term effects of psychostimulant exposure. Synaptic plasticity in animal models of addiction, commonly rats or mice, can be demonstrated through changes in the ratios of AMPA and NMDA receptors and the number of dendritic spines on medium spiny neurons (MSNs) (Thomas et al., 2001; Robinson & Kolb, 2004). Thomas et al. (2001) found that the ratio of the amplitudes of AMPA to NMDA receptor-mediated excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) in rats was decreased at synapses of prefrontal cortical afferents onto medium spiny neurons in the shell of the NAc, indicating that in vivo administration of cocaine led to long-term depression (LTD) of synapses (Thomas et al., 2001). Robinson and Kolb (2004) found that in vivo administration of psychostimulants led to persistent changes in synaptic inputs, specifically the structure and density of dendritic spines on MSNs in the NAc. These physiological and structural adaptations are key markers of drug-induced neuroplasticity, which plays a role in drug-seeking behavior and an increased risk of relapse (Scofield et al., 2016).

Once the subject is addicted to the psychostimulant, changes in proteins regulating glutamate transmission become crucial for the expression of addictive behaviors, such as sensitization and relapse. While these adaptations initially affect dopamine-signaling pathways, they become permanently embedded through changes in glutamate transmission (Kalivas, 2004). Kalivas

(2004) highlighted that alterations in glutamate transmission, particularly in the projection from the prefrontal cortex to the NAc, mediate behavioral neuroplasticity associated with addiction. These findings highlight how cocaine-induced synaptic plasticity in the NAc can contribute to the persistent behavioral changes associated with addiction.

While previous studies have established that cocaine exposure alters AMPA/NMDA receptor ratios and dendritic spine density, the specific mechanisms underlying how these adaptations influence addiction-related behaviors remain uncertain. Further research was needed to determine how directional forms of synaptic plasticity contribute to the persistence and relapse of drug-seeking behavior.

The focus of this critique is to analyze the methods, results, and discussion of Kourrich et al.'s 2007 study to understand how the cocaine experience of the model animal controls bidirectional synaptic plasticity.

2. Study Summary

Kourrich et al. (2007) hypothesized that recent cocaine experience alters the direction of synaptic plasticity at excitatory synapses in the NAc. Their study aimed to determine how drug exposure history influences synaptic adaptations, particularly in response to relapse-inducing stimuli. They found that repeated cocaine exposure followed by withdrawal leads to synaptic potentiation, while a single re-exposure during extended withdrawal induces synaptic depression in cocaine-experienced animals.

Male C57BL/6J mice were divided into cocaine and saline control groups. Cocaine-treated mice received 15 mg/kg injections for five days. Locomotor activity was measured through crossovers in activity boxes to confirm behavioral sensitization. After designated withdrawal periods, 240 μm NAc brain slices were prepared for whole-cell patch-clamp recordings targeting medium spiny neurons (MSNs). Neurons were voltage-clamped at –80 mV, and AMPAR/NMDAR ratios were calculated from EPSCs at +40 mV. Picrotoxin was used to block GABA transmission, and tetrodotoxin was used to isolate miniature EPSCs (mEPSCs), which were analyzed through cumulative probability plots.

The results showed a 40% increase in AMPAR/NMDAR ratio in cocaine-treated mice after 10–14 days of withdrawal, indicating enhanced excitatory synaptic strength. There were no reversal potential or rectification index changes, suggesting that the increase was not due to GluR2-lacking AMPARs. NMDAR function, measured via a subtraction method, also remained unchanged.

Cocaine-treated mice showed increased amplitude and frequency of AMPAR-mediated mEPSCs, suggesting enhanced receptor function or increased synapse number. Since paired-pulse testing showed no change in presynaptic release probability, the frequency increase may reflect more active synapses, possibly from cocaine-induced dendritic spine formation. Additionally, synaptic plasticity was shown to depend on both withdrawal duration and dosing schedule. Potentiation emerged only after extended withdrawal, while early withdrawal or single injections failed to produce changes.

Additionally, the direction of plasticity was defined by prior cocaine exposure. A single re-exposure reversed the previously established potentiation in cocaine-experienced mice but had

no effect in drug-naive animals. This bidirectional plasticity highlights experience-dependent synaptic changes in the NAc.

In the authors' interpretation, the authors suggest several possible mechanisms. While dopamine receptor activation may transiently increase AMPAR surface expression, its timing does not align with the observed potentiation. They instead propose homeostatic synaptic scaling, triggered by reduced excitability or extracellular glutamate, or metaplasticity, where prior drug-use lowers the threshold for synaptic depression, possibly by AMPAR endocytosis and glutamate release.

Ultimately, the study presents cocaine-induced plasticity as an experience-dependent process that may contribute to relapse vulnerability. The authors emphasize the importance of future research in understanding whether these alterations promote addiction and how they influence neural reward circuits.

3. Knowledge Gap and Importance

The knowledge gap that Kourrich et al. (2007) aimed to address was how cocaine exposure history influences whether excitatory synapses in the NAc undergo synaptic potentiation or depression. While previous studies had shown that cocaine alters excitatory synaptic strength in the NAc, the persistence, directionality, and behavioral consequences of these adaptations, particularly in the context of relapse, remained unclear (Kourrich et al., 2007; Thomas et al., 2001; Boudreau & Wolf, 2005). By investigating how drug experience shapes subsequent synaptic responses, Kourrich et al. provided important insight into mechanisms that may underlie the enduring vulnerability to relapse in addiction. Their findings clarified not just that bidirectional plasticity occurs in cocaine-experienced animal models but when and how it shifts, which was a novel and significant contribution to the field at the time.

4. Methodology Considerations and Limitations

While the experimental design of Kourrich et al.'s study was generally robust, there were notable limitations in certain aspects of their animal model and data presentation. The experimental and control groups used were well established, and the saline control group served as a valid comparison to assess the effects of cocaine on behavior, specifically locomotor activity through crossovers, and on AMPAR/NMDAR ratios. However, one limitation is that although the authors referenced behavioral sensitization, the behavioral data were not presented in any graphical form. As a result, readers could not visually compare the number of crossovers between cocaine-treated and saline-treated mice. Additionally, the study did not include female mice, which limits the generalizability of the findings across sexes. Finally, because rodents were the model organism, the results may not fully translate to human addiction dynamics.

Kourrich et al. (2007) noted in their introduction that examining drug re-exposure during abstinence could "help us to understand the brain's response to relapse-inducing stimuli." However, the study did not include any behavioral assay to assess relapse. Instead, the primary marker of cocaine-induced bidirectional synaptic plasticity was the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio. If a drug-primed extinction and reinstatement testing model, similar to that in Ma et al. (2013), had been implemented, the researchers could have correlated electrophysiological measures, such as AMPAR/NMDAR ratios or mEPSC frequency, with drug-seeking behavior. This would have provided strong evidence that the observed synaptic depression following a single cocaine re-

exposure in drug-experienced mice reflects a neural mechanism underlying relapse, thereby linking synaptic plasticity more directly to addiction-relevant behavior.

Kourrich et al. used three measures, AMPAR/NMDAR ratio, mEPSC amplitude/frequency, and paired-pulse ratio, to show synaptic strength in this study. In the results section, which describes how cocaine treatment increases AMPAR mEPSC amplitude and frequency, the authors hypothesize that the increase in mEPSC frequency could indicate new dendritic spine formation. However, the study did not provide direct evidence of this using dendritic spine imaging to support the idea that increased mEPSC frequency reflects new dendrite formation. Without this data to support the results, that conclusion is less convincing.

Another limitation of the study is that it only included excitatory synaptic changes. GABAergic transmission, a form of inhibitory signaling, plays a key role in regulating both synaptic plasticity and reward-related processing in the NAc. Xi et al. (2003) showed that repeated exposure to cocaine causes long-lasting changes in GABA transmission in this region, emphasizing the need to consider both excitatory and inhibitory signaling when studying drug addiction. By using picrotoxin to block GABA receptor-mediated IPSCs, Kourrich et al. excluded the possibility of understanding whether cocaine also altered inhibitory function, which limits the completeness of their study.

While Kourrich et al. utilized traditional electrophysiological techniques to investigate synaptic adaptations, the absence of complementary behavioral and structural assessments limits how much their findings can fully explain the functional consequences of cocaine-induced synaptic plasticity.

5. Analysis of Authors' Interpretation

In the discussion, the authors speculated on several potential mechanisms for synaptic potentiation and depression, including dopamine receptor activity, homeostatic synaptic scaling, and metaplasticity. However, despite proposing these molecular explanations, they were uncertain about each one, making it difficult to fully connect their interpretations back to the actual findings of the study. Kourrich et al. briefly suggested that dopamine receptor activity contributes to synaptic potentiation and ultimately dismissed this with the explanation that potentiation was not observed the day after cocaine administration. This discussion rationale lacks strength because the study did not include any direct experimental assessment of dopamine receptor involvement.

The discussion also does not address the absence of relapse of behavioral tests, although relapse and drug-seeking behavior were mentioned in both the introduction and results sections. This disconnect limits the translational relevance of their conclusions.

While the metaplasticity section of the discussion is the most compelling, mainly because the data show that multiple cocaine exposures are required to decrease the AMPAR/NMDAR ratio in previously drug-naive mice, indicating a lowered threshold for synaptic depression, there is still a lack of direct connection between the data and the proposed mechanism. Although the authors suggest AMPAR endocytosis as the most likely explanation for the lowered threshold, this conclusion was speculative. They did not offer any experimental evidence related to AMPAR trafficking or endocytosis, making it challenging to support their proposed mechanism fully.

Ultimately, this discussion falls short of effectively integrating the results found in their study into a broader behavioral and mechanistic context.

6. Impact and Future Steps

Kourrich et al.'s novel and central finding is that cocaine exerts dynamic bidirectional control over excitatory synaptic strength in NAc, the structure known for its involvement in addictive behavior (Kourrich et al., 2007). This study introduces the concept of experience-dependent bidirectional plasticity, which offers a new explanation for relapse vulnerability. Despite the limitations mentioned above, this study's findings are valuable because they help reconcile previous conflicting findings on cocaine-induced synaptic potentiation and depression (Kourrich et al., 2007; Robinson & Kolb, 2004; Thomas et al., 2003).

The study would have been strengthened by including behavioral assays, such as reinstatement tests, to establish a more explicit link between synaptic adaptations and drug-seeking behavior. In addition, incorporating dendritic spine imaging would have supported claims about possible new dendrite growth and formation in the results section, and examining inhibitory signaling and AMPAR trafficking would have provided a more comprehensive understanding of synaptic strength in the NAc.

Future research should address these knowledge gaps by combining electrophysiological and molecular techniques with behavioral relapse models and exploring excitatory and inhibitory pathways. A follow-up experiment using an extinction and reinstatement testing model, similar to that of Ma et al. (2013), would help connect the bidirectional synaptic plasticity electrophysiological data to examine drug-relapse neural circuits. Additionally, further exploration of cocaine-induced metaplasticity could provide new insights into how drug history shapes future plastic responses. For example, identifying molecular mechanisms that alter plasticity thresholds offers novel therapeutic targets to prevent relapse. Researchers could conduct further research to demonstrate how, with bidirectional synaptic plasticity, AMPAR endocytosis may contribute to a lowered threshold in cocaine-induced synaptic plasticity, as proposed in the discussion. Exploring these findings in both male and female subjects, as well as in other regions of the mesolimbic system would strengthen the relevance of this research to real-world addiction outcomes.

References

1. Boudreau, A. C., & Wolf, M. E. (2005). Behavioral sensitization to cocaine is associated with increased AMPA receptor surface expression in the nucleus accumbens. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, *25*(40), 9144–9151. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2252-05.2005

2. Kalivas P. W. (2004). Glutamate systems in cocaine addiction. *Current opinion in pharmacology*, *4*(1), 23–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2003.11.002

3. Kourrich, S., Rothwell, P. E., Klug, J. R., & Thomas, M. J. (2007). Cocaine experience controls bidirectional synaptic plasticity in the nucleus accumbens. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, *27*(30), 7921–7928. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1859-07.2007

4. Robinson TE, Kolb B (2004) Structural plasticity associated with exposure to drugs of abuse. Neuropharmacology 47 [Suppl 1]:33–46.

5. Scofield, M. D., Heinsbroek, J. A., Gipson, C. D., Kupchik, Y. M., Spencer, S., Smith, A. C., Roberts-Wolfe, D., & Kalivas, P. W. (2016). The Nucleus Accumbens: Mechanisms of Addiction across Drug Classes Reflect the Importance of Glutamate Homeostasis. *Pharmacological reviews*, *68*(3), 816–871. https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.116.012484

6. Thomas, M. J., Beurrier, C., Bonci, A., & Malenka, R. C. (2001). Long-term depression in the nucleus accumbens: a neural correlate of behavioral sensitization to cocaine. *Nature neuroscience*, *4*(12), 1217–1223. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn757

7. van Huijstee, A. N., & Mansvelder, H. D. (2015). Glutamatergic synaptic plasticity in the mesocorticolimbic system in addiction. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience*, *8*, 466. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2014.00466

8. Volkow, N. D., Wang, G. J., Fowler, J. S., Logan, J., Gatley, S. J., Hitzemann, R., Chen, A. D., Dewey, S. L., & Pappas, N. (1997). Decreased striatal dopaminergic responsiveness in detoxified cocaine-dependent subjects. *Nature*, *386*(6627), 830–833. https://doi.org/10.1038/386830a0

9. Xi, Z. X., Ramamoorthy, S., Shen, H., Lake, R., Samuvel, D. J., & Kalivas, P. W. (2003). GABA transmission in the nucleus accumbens is altered after withdrawal from repeated cocaine. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, *23*(8), 3498–3505. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-08-03498.2003