Methods for Improved De-Trending of Exoplanet Targets: Bright Neighbors and Drifting Space Telescopes

Nicholas Saunders ^{1,2,3}, Rodrigo Luger ^{1,2}

ABSTRACT

We present methods to increase the effectiveness of existing de-trending pipelines for space telescope exoplanet targets, and focus our treatment on stars with bright neighbors or high motion relative to the CCD. Using simulations to model both the sensitivity variation of the Kepler Space Telescope detector and stellar targets traversing the CCD, we characterize the contribution of CCD sensitivity variation to the noise of K2 light curves. With these simulated light curves, we assess the de-trending power of two methods, first: fitting an aperture around the stellar Point Spread Function (PSF) to maximize light received by the target and exclude contribution from neighbors, and second: fitting a mathematical PSF model to each target in the aperture and subtracting contribution by neighbors. The combination of these two methods increases the recovery accuracy of injection tests by (some amount). These methods can be applied to the light curves of K2 targets for existing and future campaigns, and will become particularly useful as Kepler begins to run out of fuel. These methods can also be applied to future space telescope missions, such as TESS, to improve detection and characterization of exoplanet candidates.

1. Introduction

After the failure of two reaction wheels in 2012 and 2013, the Kepler Space Telescope has continued to produce valuable data in its new configuration, K2 (source). However, due to the unstable pointing caused by the missing reaction wheels, targets have significant motion relative to the pixel sensitivity variation of the telescope detector, creating noise in K2 light curves (source). A number of attempts have been made to isolate and remove

¹Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Box 351580, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

²Virtual Planetary Laboratory, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

 $^{^3}$ nks1994@uw.edu

the instrumental noise from K2 data (**sources**), including the EPIC Variability Extraction and Removal for Exoplanet Science Targets (EVEREST) pipeline, developed by Luger et al. (2017), which can recover Kepler-like accuracy in exoplanet light curves up the $K_p = 15$.

There remain cases in which K2 de-trending pipelines fail to achieve Kepler-like accuracy, in particular high magnitude stars and targets with bright neighbors (Luger et al. 2017). Further, as the Kepler Space Telescope runs out of fuel, its motion due to thruster fires is expected to become less predictable and the magnitude of targets' motion relative to the detector will increase. With higher motion, targets will traverse more regions of varied pixel sensitivity, contributing more noise to the light curves of K2 targets. Eventually, the telescope will enter a phase of constant drift, at which point targets will traverse many pixels across the detector and flux pollution from neighbors is likely.

Other de-trending pipelines, notably the K2SFF pipeline, developed by Vanderburg & Johnson (2014), generate Gaussian fits to the stellar PSF to find the centroid position of targets. From this, motion relative to the detector can be backed out and used to de-trend K2 light curves. However, factors such as light aberration, pixel sensitivity variation, and imperfect PSF fitting can lead to uncertainty in the central pillar of these models: the motion of the star relative to the detector.

EVEREST utilizes a different method – pixel level decorrelation (PLD), developed by Deming et al. (2015). PLD seeks to correct noise generated by intra-pixel sensitivity variation independent of apparent motion of the star.

2. Crowding

A significant stumbling block of PLD arises when K2 targets are observed in crowded fields. In these cases, PLD uses pixels containing flux from neighbors to de-trend the target light curve, and the astrophysical signal or transit signal can be lost.

We define a crowding metric, C, as a quantitative measure of the significance of neighbor pollution in each pixel.

$$C = \frac{F_{target}}{F_{total}} \tag{1}$$

3. Pixel Sensitivity Variation

A thorough treatment of removing the instrumental noise requires an understanding of the source of the noise. Stellar motion relative to the pixel sensitivity variation causes fluctuation in the amount of light received by the telescope detector. In order to accurately fit a PSF model to multiple targets on the detector, it became necessary to generate a model for the pixel sensitivity variation of the CCD.

We did not set out to model the exact sensitivity of the Kepler CCD, rather our goal was to simply characterize its contribution to the noise in K2 light curves. Light curves generated against this model are adequate to serve as well-understood sample targets on which to test de-trending methods (show that the magnitude of noise is characteristic of K2 light curves). To accurately represent the Kepler CCD, we generated a model for the detector that included both inter-pixel sensitivity variation between pixels and intra-pixel sensitivity variation within each pixel.

3.1. PSF Model

A stellar PSF was generated with a characteristic two-dimensional Gaussian shape and with covariance between x and y dimensions to capture PSF distortion due to incident light aberration on the Kepler detector. We define our mathematical model to be

$$F(t) = \sum_{aperture} \iint_{pixel} [s(x,y)P(x,y)\tau(t)]dxdy, \tag{2}$$

where s(x, y) is the sensitivity variation function, modelled by a sum of power functions, and P(x, y) is the PSF of the star, centered at (x_0, y_0) with amplitude A. $\tau(t)$ is a simulated transit function. Thus, the model for flux received by our simulated star as a function of time is given by

$$F(t) = \sum_{aperture} A\tau(t) \iint_{pixel} \sum_{n} a_n x^n e^{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx dy.$$
 (3)

Our model for the sensitivity variation was chosen to capture the same noise magnitude as real K2 targets. (Here, plot the CDPP of raw K2 light curves as a function of K_p Mag vs. CDPP of simulated light curves as a function of K_p Mag.) The coefficients a_n were determined to emulate the magnitude of sensitivity variation on the Kepler CCD based on its contribution to the noise in K2 light curves.

To best capture the characteristics of K2 data, our model also included two sources of noise – photon and background noise. (More here about the sources of noise.)

4. Methods

The two sources of noise presented above – pixel crowding and detector sensitivity variation – have been obstacles for K2 de-trending pipelines. In the following sections, we present methods to address both sources of noise and recovery more accurate light curves.

4.1. Aperture-fitting

The simplest solution to this issue is to only consider pixels that contain the most flux from the desired target and exclude flux received by neighboring stars. The crowding metric in each pixel can be used to determine which pixels should be included to maximize target flux. (I need to give this a more thorough treatment to determine exactly what value of C is best to include within an aperture. To do so, I will perform aperture tests on a variety of targets and maximize injected transit recovery accuracy to constrain ideal values of C.) Injection tests were performed...

4.2. PSF-fitting

- 4.3. Motion Removal (?)
 - 5. Results
 - 5.1. Injection Tests
 - 6. Conclusion

REFERENCES

- Deming, D., Knutson, H., Kammer, J., et al. 2015, The Astrophysical Journal, 805, 132. http://stacks.iop.org/0004-637X/805/i=2/a=132
- Luger, R., Kruse, E., Foreman-Mackey, D., Agol, E., & Saunders, N. 2017, ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:1702.05488
- Vanderburg, A., & Johnson, J. A. 2014, PASP, 126, 948

This preprint was prepared with the AAS IATEX macros v5.2.