

## Optimal Tree Distribution Approximators

## 1 Why

Which is the optimal tree to use for tree distribution approximation?

## 2 Definition

We want to choose a tree whose corresponding approximator for the given distribution achieves minimum relative entropy with the given distribution among all tree distribution approximators. We call such a distribution an *optimal* tree approximator of the given distribution. We call a tree according to which an optimal tree approximator factors and *optimal* approximator tree.

## 3 Result

**Proposition 1.** Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  be finite non-empty sets. Define  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ . Let  $q: A \to [0,1]$  a distribution. A tree T on  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  is an optimal approximator tree if and only if it is a maximal spanning tree of the mutual information graph of q.

*Proof.* First, denote the optimal tree distribution approximator of q for tree T by  $p_T^*$ . Express

$$p_T^* = q_1 \prod_{i \neq 1} q_{i|\mathbf{pa}_i}$$

Second, express d(q, p) = H(q, p) - H(q). Since H(q) does not depend on T,  $p_T^*$  is a minimizer (w.r.t. T) of  $d(q, p_T^*)$  if and only if it is a minimizer of  $H(q, p_T^*)$ .

Third, express the cross entropy of  $p_T^*$  relative to q as

$$\begin{split} H(q,p_T^*) &= H(q_1) - \sum_{i \neq 1} \sum_{a \in A} q(a) \log q_{i|pai}(a_i, a_{\mathbf{pa}_i}) \\ &= H(q_1) - \sum_{i \neq 1} \sum_{a \in A} q(a) \left( \log q_{i,\mathbf{pa}_i}(a_i, a_{\mathbf{pa}_i}) - \log q_{\mathbf{pa}_i}(a_{\mathbf{pa}_i}) \right) \\ &= H(q_1) - \sum_{i \neq 1} \sum_{a \in A} q(a) \left( \log q_{i,\mathbf{pa}_i}(a_i, a_{\mathbf{pa}_i}) - \log q_{\mathbf{pa}_i}(a_{\mathbf{pa}_i}) - \log q_i(a_i) + \log q_i(a_i) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i = 1}^n H(q_i) - \sum_{i \neq 1} I(q_i, q_{\mathbf{pa}_i}) \\ &= \sum_{i = 1}^n H(q_i) - \sum_{\{i, j\} \in T} I(q_i, q_j) \end{split}$$

where  $\mathbf{pa}_i$  denotes the parent of vertex i in T rooted at vertex 1 (i = 2, ..., n). For i = 1, ..., n,  $H(q_i)$  does not depend on the choice of tree. Therefore selecting a tree to minimize the second term in the final expression above is equivalent to choosing a maximal spanning tree from the weighted graph with mutual information edge weights; namely, the mutual information graph of q.

Proposition 1 says that to we should first select a maximum spanning tree of the mutual information graph of the distribution we are approximating. Then, we should pick the best approximator to q which factors according to that three.