Maximum Likelihood with Tree Normals

1 Why

What if we use the principle of maximum likelihood to select the maximum likelihood normal density which factors according to a tree?

2 Definition

A *maximum likelihood tree normal* of a dataset in $\mathbf{R}^d$ is a multivariate normal density that factors according to a tree and maximizes the likelihood of the dataset.

3 Results

Proposition 1. *Let $D = (x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ be a dataset in $\mathbf{R}^d$. A normal density is a maximum likelihood tree normal of D if and only if it is an optimal tree approximator of the empirical normal density of D.*

Proof. First, let $f : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be a normal density.

First, express the log likelihood of f on a record x^k by

$$\log f(x^k) = -\frac{1}{2}(x^k - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1}(x^k - \mu) - \frac{1}{2}\log \mathbf{det}\,\Sigma - \frac{d}{2}\log 2\pi.$$

Second, use the trace to rewrite the quadratic form

$$-\frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{tr}\left(\Sigma^{-1}(x^k - \mu)(x^k - \mu)^\top\right).$$

Third, use these two, and the linearity of trace to express the average negative log likelihood by

$$-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\log f(x^k) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{tr}\left(\Sigma^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x^k - \mu)(x^k - \mu)^\top\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log \mathbf{det}\,\Sigma + \frac{d}{2}\log 2\pi.$$

Fourth, use matrix calculus (or the derivation in Proposition 1 of Multivariate Normal Maximum Likelihood) to see that, for a minimizer of the negative average log likelihood, the mean must be $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x^k$.

Fifth, recognize the empirical covariance matrix $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(x^k - \mu)(x^k - \mu)^\top$; denote it by S.

Sixth, change variables with $P = \Sigma^{-1}$ and express

$$\log\left(\mathbf{det}\,(\Sigma)\right) = \log\left(\mathbf{det}\,\left(P^{-1}\right)\right) = \log\left(\left(\mathbf{det}\,P\right)^{-1}\right) = -\log\left(\mathbf{det}\,(P)\right)$$

1

Seventh, write the likelihood in simplified form (using circulant property of trace)

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{tr}\,(SP) - \frac{1}{2}\log\mathbf{det}\,P - \frac{d}{2}\log 2\pi$$

Eighth, drop the constant and prefactors:

$$\mathbf{tr}\,(SP) - \log\mathbf{det}\,P$$

Ninth, if g is a normal with then the tree density approximation objective is the same equivalent to

$$d(g,f) = h(g,f) - h(f) \sim h(g,f) = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} g\log f.$$

TODO: Extra, the let g be normal and f be normal. The tree normal approximation problem

$$d(g,f) \sim h(g,f) = -\int_{R^d} g\log f.$$

The log of f is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\,\mathbf{tr}\left(\Sigma_f \int_{\mathbf{R}^d}(x-\mu_f)(x-\mu_f)^\top dx\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log\mathbf{det}\,\Sigma_f^{-1} - \frac{d}{2}\log 2\pi$$

Since the set of optimal solutions for both optimization is contained in the set of normal densities which match on the mean we can assume that $\mu_f = \mu_g$. So the approximation objective is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{tr}\,P_f\Sigma_g - \log\mathbf{det}\,P_f,$$

which is exactly the maximum likelihood objective.

Thus, a solution is a maximum likelihood tree normal of a dataset if and only if it is an optimal tree approximator of the empirical normal density of the dataset.

$\square$