



Why

We want to talk about how knowledge of one aspect of an outcome can give us knowledge about another aspect.

Definition

Let $\mathbf{P} : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a probability measure.

Two events $A, B \subset \Omega$ are *independent* if

$$\mathbf{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbf{P}(A)\mathbf{P}(B).$$

In other words, they are independent if the probability of their intersection is the product of their respective probabilities. Otherwise, we call A and B *dependent*.

In the case that $\mathbf{P}(B) \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbf{P}(A)\mathbf{P}(B)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{P}(A \mid B) = \mathbf{P}(A)$. We interpret this second expression as encoding the fact that the occurrence of event B does not change the “credibility” of the event A .

Example: two dice

Define $\Omega = \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mid \omega_i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}\}$, and interpret $\omega \in \Omega$ as corresponding to pips face up after rolling two dice. Define $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by $p(\omega) = 1/36$.

Two events are $A = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega_1 + \omega_2 > 5\}$, “the sum is greater than 5”, and $B = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega_1 > 3\}$, “the number of pips on the first die is greater than 3”. Then $\mathbf{P}(A) = 26/36$. Also, $\mathbf{P}(A \mid B) = 17/16$. So, these events are dependent.

Roughly speaking, we say that knowing B tells us something about A . In this case, we say that it “makes A more probable.”

