

Why

We generalize the algebraic structure of addition and multiplication over the integers.¹

Definition

A ring (or ring with identity) (R, f, g) is a set A and two binary operations on R satisfying the following set of conditions.

- (A) (i) f is associative. (ii) f is commutative, (iii) A has an identity element for f (i.e., there is $e \in R$ with f(r,e) = f(e,r) = r for all $r \in R$ (iv) R has inverse elements for f (i.e., for any $r \in R$, there is \tilde{r} satisfying $f(r,\tilde{r}) = f(\tilde{r},r) = e$)
- (B) (i) g is associative; (ii) R has an identity element for g (i.e., for any $r \in R$, there is $\tilde{e} \in A$ satisfying $g(r, \tilde{e}) = g(\tilde{e}, r) = r$)
 - (C) (i) g left distributes:

$$g(f(x,y),\alpha) = f(g(\alpha,x),g(\alpha,y))$$
 for all $x,y,\alpha \in R$

(ii) g right distributes:

$$g(\alpha,f(x,y))=f(g(\alpha,x),g(\alpha,y))\quad\text{for all }x,y,\alpha\in R$$

Conditions (A) concern f, conditions (B) concern g, and conditions (C) relate the two.

Clearly, **Z** with addition and multiplication is a ring. The element referred to in (A.2) is $0 \in \mathbf{Z}$, so we refer to this element in any ring as the additive identity. That referred to (A.3) is $1 \in \mathbf{Z}$, so we refer to this element in any ring as the multiplicative identity. We refer to the elements mentioned in (A.4) as additive inverses. We call to f ring addition and g ring multiplication.

¹Future editions will likely modify this sheet, and give a genetic treatment involving the solution of polynomial equations by Galois.

A ring which for which multiplication is commutative is called a *commutative ring*. Note that a ring is *always* commutative with respect to addition, here the term commutative refers to multiplication. A ring for which there are inverse elements, excepting 0, is called a *division ring*).

Notation

The notation commonly adopted in discussing rings relies on analogy with the set of integers **Z**. We denote the ring addition by + and ring multiplication by \cdot . Moreover, we denote the ring's additive identity by 0 and the ring's multiplicative identity by 1. Finally, we denote the additive inverse of $a \in A$ by -a.

Rewriting the conditions (A), (B), (C) in this notation gives familiar-looking relations, from when the objects involved were integers. (A) (1) a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c; (2) a + b = b + a; (3) a + 0 = 0 + a = a; (4) a + (-a) = 0. (B) (1) a(bc) = (ab)c; (2) 1a = a1 = a. (C) (1) (a + b)c = ac + bc; (2) c(a + b) = ca + cb.

Immediate consequences

We need not require that 0x = 0, because we can deduce it:

$$0x + x = (0+1)x = 1x = x.$$

Similarly, (-a)b = -(ab) since

$$ab + (-a)b = (a + (-a))b = 0b = 0.$$

Other familiar relations among the integers, e.g. (-a)(-b) = ab, may be deduced.

