



## Why

Is a sufficient condition for mutual independence of a set of events the fact that each pair of events of the set are independent? The surprising answer is no.

## Definition

Suppose  $P$  is a event probability function on a finite sample space  $\Omega$ . The events  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are *independent* (or *mutually independent*), if for all indices  $i \neq j$ .

$$P(A_i \cap A_j) = P(A_i)P(A_j)$$

Clearly, if  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are mutually independent, then they are pairwise independent. The converse, however, is not true.

## Counterexample: two tosses

As usual, model tossing a coin twice with the sample space  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^2$ . Put a distribution  $p : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  so that  $p(\omega) = 1/4$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Define  $A = \{(1, 0), (1, 1)\}$  (the first toss is heads) ,  $B = \{(0, 1), (1, 1)\}$  (the second toss is heads), and  $C = \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$ . Then  $P(A) = P(B) = P(C) = 1/2$ , and

$$P(A \cap B) = P(B \cap C) = P(A \cap C) = 1/4.$$

Hence  $A, B, C$  are pairwise independent. However,

$$P(A \cap B \cap C) = 1/4 \neq P(A)P(B)P(C).$$

So  $A, B, C$  are *not* mutually independent.



