

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD TREE NORMALS

Why

What if we use the principle of maximum likelihood to select the maximum likelihood normal density which factors according to a tree?

Definition

A maximum likelihood tree normal of a dataset in \mathbb{R}^d is a multivariate normal density that factors according to a tree and maximizes the likelihood of the dataset.

Results

Proposition 1. Let $D = (x^1, ..., x^n)$ be a dataset in \mathbb{R}^d . A normal density is a maximum likelihood tree normal of D if and only if it is an optimal tree approximator of the empirical normal density of D.

Proof. First, let $f: \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be a normal density.

First, express the log likelihood of f on a record x^k by

$$\log f(x^k) = -\frac{1}{2} (x^k - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (x^k - \mu) - \frac{1}{2} \log \det \Sigma - \frac{d}{2} \log 2\pi.$$

Second, use the trace to rewrite the quadratic form

$$-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}(x^k - \mu)(x^k - \mu)^{\top}).$$

Third, use these two, and the linearity of trace to express the average negative log likelihood by

$$-\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\log f(x^{k}) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\Sigma^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x^{k}-\mu)(x^{k}-\mu)^{\top}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\log\det\Sigma + \frac{d}{2}\log2\pi.$$

Fourth, use matrix calculus (or the derivation in Proposition 1 of Multivariate Normal Maximum Likelihood) to see that, for a minimizer of the negative average log likelihood, the mean must be $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x^{k}$.

Fifth, recognize the empirical covariance matrix $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x^k - \mu)(x^k - \mu)^{\top}$; denote it by S.

Sixth, change variables with $P = \Sigma^{-1}$ and express

$$\log (\det (\Sigma)) = \log (\det (P^{-1})) = \log ((\det P)^{-1}) = -\log (\det (P))$$

Seventh, write the likelihood in simplified form (using circulant property of trace)

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(SP\right) - \frac{1}{2}\log\det P - \frac{d}{2}\log 2\pi$$

Eighth, drop the constant and prefactors:

$$\operatorname{tr}(SP) - \log \det P$$

Ninth, if g is a normal with then the tree density approximation objective is the same equivalent to

$$d(g,f) = h(g,f) - h(f) \sim h(g,f) = -\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} g \log f.$$

TODO: Extra, the let g be normal and f be normal. The tree normal approximation problem

$$d(g, f) \sim h(g, f) = -\int_{R^d} g \log f.$$

The $\log of f$ is

$$-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left(\sum_{f} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} (x - \mu_{f}) (x - \mu_{f})^{\top} dx \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log \det \Sigma_{f}^{-1} - \frac{d}{2} \log 2\pi$$

Since the set of optimal solutions for both optimization is contained in the set of normal densities which match on the mean we can assume that $\mu_f = \mu_g$. So the approximation objective is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{tr} P_f \Sigma_g - \log \det P_f$$
,

which is exactly the maximum likelihood objective.

Thus, a solution is a maximum likelihood tree normal of a dataset if and only if it is an optimal tree approximator of the empirical normal density of the dataset.

