



Why

We expect measure to have the common sense properties we stated when trying to define a notion of length for the real line.

Monotonicity

An extended-real-valued function on an algebra is *monotone* if, given a first distinguished set contained in a distinguished second set, the result of the first is no greater than the result of the second.

Proposition 1. *All measures are monotone.*

Proof. Let (A, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A \subset B$. Then $B = A \cup (B - A)$, a disjoint union. So

$$\mu(B) = \mu(A \cup (B - A)) = \mu(A) + \mu(B - A),$$

by the additivity of μ . Since $\mu(B - A) \geq 0$, we conclude $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$. \square

Proposition 2. *If $A \subset B$ and B finite, then $\mu(B - A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A)$.*

Subadditivity

Monotonicity along with additivity of measures give us one other convenient property: subadditivity.

An extended-real-valued function on an algebra is *subadditive* if, given a sequence of distinguished sets, the result of union of the sequence is no greater than the limit of the partial sums of the results on each element of the sequence.

Proposition 3. *All measures are subadditive.*

Proof. Let (A, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. Let $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Define $\{B_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $B_n := A_n - \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$. Then $\cup_n A_n = \cup_n B_n$, $\{B_n\}$ is a disjoint

sequence, and $B_n \subset A_n$ for each n . So

$$\mu(\cup_n A_n) = \mu(\cup_n B_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n),$$

by additivity and then monotonicity of measure. \square

The inequality involved in subadditivity is sometimes called *Boole's inequality* or *Bonferroni's inequalities* or the *union bound*; each of these terms is most common when discussing Probability Measures.

Limits

Measures also behave well under limits.

An extended-real-valued function on an algebra *resolves under increasing limits* if the result of the union of an increasing sequence of distinguished sets coincides with the limit of the sequence of results on the individual sets. An extended-real-valued function on an algebra *resolves under decreasing limits* if the result of the intersection of a decreasing sequence of distinguished sets coincides with the limit of the sequence of results on the individual sets.

Proposition 4. *All measures resolve under increasing limits.*

Proof. Let (A, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. Let $\{A_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in \mathcal{A} . Then we want to show: $\mu(\cup_n A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$. Define $\{B_n\}$ such that $B_n := A_n - \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i$. Then $\{B_n\}$ is disjoint, $A_n = \cup_{i=1}^n B_i$ for each n , $\cup_n A_n = \cup_n B_n$, and $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^n B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i)$, by additivity. So

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\cup_n A_n) &= \mu(\cup_n B_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(B_i) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\cup_{i=1}^n B_i) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 5. *Measures resolve under decreasing limits if there is a finite set in the decreasing sequence.*

Proof. Let (A, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a measure space. Let $\{A_n\}$ be a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{A} with one element finite. Then we want to show: $\mu(\cap_n A_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$. On one hand, let n_0 be the index of the first finite element of the sequence. Then for all $n \geq n_0$, the sequence is finite because of the monotonicity of measure. Denote this decreasing finite subsequence of sets by $\{B_n\}$. Then $\cap_n A_n = \cap_n B_n$ and $\lim_n A_n = \lim_n B_n$.

On the other hand, the sequence $\{B_1 - B_n\}$ is an increasing sequence in \mathcal{A} . Also $\cap_n B_n = B_1 - \cup_n (B_1 - B_n)$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\cap_n B_n) &= \mu(B_1 - \cup_n (B_1 - B_n)) \\ &= \mu(B_1) - \mu(\cup_n (B_1 - B_n)) \\ &= \mu(B_1) - \lim_n \mu(B_1 - B_n) \\ &= \mu(B_1) - \left(\lim_n \mu(B_1) - \mu(B_n) \right) \\ &= \lim_n \mu(B_n). \end{aligned}$$

□

