



## Why

Can we think of linear maps as vectors?

## Definitions

Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are some vector spaces over a field  $\mathbf{F}$ . Denote the linear maps from  $V$  to  $W$  by  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  as usual.

*Addition.* Given  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  the *sum* of  $S$  and  $T$  is the linear map  $R \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  defined by

$$Rv = Sv + Tv \quad \text{for all } v \in V$$

*Scalar multiplication.* Given  $S \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  the *(scalar) product* of  $\lambda$  and  $T$  is the linear map  $Q \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  defined by

$$Qv = \lambda Tv \quad \text{for all } v \in V$$

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are two vector spaces over the same field  $\mathbf{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is a vector space over the field  $\mathbf{F}$  with respect to the operations of addition and scalar multiplication just defined.*

The additive identity of the vector space  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is the zero map  $0 \in \mathcal{L}(V, w)$ .

## Notation

Given  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$  the *sum* of  $S$  and  $T$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ , we denote the sum of  $S$  and  $T$  by  $S + T$ . Hence,

$$(S + T)(v) = Sv + Tv \quad \text{for all } v \in V$$

We denote the product of  $\lambda$  and  $T$  by  $\lambda T$ . Hence,

$$(\lambda T)(v) = \lambda(Tv) \quad \text{for all } v \in V$$



