

Codes

Why

Can we generalize the idea of flash codes.¹

Definition

Let X be a set and A be an alphabet set. We denote the set of all finite sequences (strings) in A by $\mathsf{str}(A)$. We read $\mathsf{str}(A)$ aloud as "the strings in A." The length zero string is \varnothing .

A code for X in A is a function from X to str(A). In this context, we refer to the finite set A as an alphabet and we call c(x) the codeword of x. The length of $x \in X$, with respect to a code $c: X \to str(A)$, is the length of the sequence c(x) (its codeword). We call a code nonsingular if it is injective.

Examples

Define
$$c : {\alpha, \beta} \to {0, 1}$$
 by $c(\alpha) = (0,)$ and $c(\beta) = (1,).^2$

Code extensions

Let $s, t \in \mathsf{str}(A)$ of length m and n respectively. The concatenation of s with t is the length m+n string $u \in \mathsf{str}(A)$ defined by $u_1 = s_1, \ldots, u_m = s_m$ and $u_{m+1} = t_1, \ldots, u_{m+n} = t_n$. We denote the concatenation of s and t by st. Note, however, that $st \neq ts$, although s(tr) = (st)r.

¹The reliance of this sheet on Flash Codes and Dot-Dash Codes is for this justification, and not for any of the terms presented.

²Future editions will include additional examples.

Given a code $c: X \to \mathsf{str}(A)$, we can produce a code for $\mathsf{str}(X)$ in a natural way. The *extension* of c is the function $C: \mathsf{str}(X) \to \mathsf{str}(A)$ defined, for $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathsf{str}(X)$, by

$$C(\xi) = c(\xi_1) \cdots c(\xi_n).$$

We call an code uniquely decodable if its extension is injective. In other words, given the code $C(\xi)$ for a sequence $\xi \in \mathsf{str}(X)$, we can recover ξ . We call $C(\xi)$ the encoding of ξ . We call ξ the decoding of $C(\xi)$.

