



# Equivalence Relations

## 1 Why

We want to handle at once all elements which are indistinguishable or equivalent in some aspect.

## 2 Definition

A relation  $R$  on a set  $A$  is an *equivalence relation* if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

For an element  $a \in A$ , we call the set of elements in relation  $R$  to  $a$  the *equivalence class* of  $a$ . The key observation, recorded and proven below, is that the equivalence classes partition the set  $A$ . A frequent technique is to define an appropriate equivalence relation on a large set  $A$  and then to work with the set of equivalence classes of  $A$ .

We call the set of equivalence classes the *quotient set* of  $A$  under  $R$ . An equally good name is the divided set of  $A$  under  $R$ , but this terminology is not standard. The language in both cases reminds us that  $\sim$  partitions the set  $A$  into equivalence classes.

## 2.1 Notation

If  $R$  is an equivalence relation on a set  $A$ , we use the symbol  $\sim$ . When alone,  $\sim$  is read aloud as “sim,” but we still read  $a \sim b$  aloud as “a equivalent to b.” We denote the quotient set of  $A$  under  $\sim$  by  $A/\sim$ , read aloud as “A quotient sim”.

## 2.2 Results

*TODO*