



## Why

We extend our notion of length, area, and volume beyond the Lebesgue measure on the product spaces of real numbers.

## Definition

Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of sets. A function  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+$  is *finitely additive* if

$$f(\cup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(A_i) \quad \text{for all disjoint } A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$$

Similarly, suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Then  $f$  is *countably additive* if

$$f(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(F_i) \quad \text{for all disjoint sequences } \{F_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}} \text{ in } \mathcal{F}$$

If, in addition,  $f(\emptyset) = 0$ , then  $f$  is called a *finitely additive measure* or *countably additive measure* respectively. Since a countably additive measure is finitely additive (the converse is false!), when we speak of a *measure* we mean a countable additive one.

When  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  is a countably unital subset algebra and  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+$ , then we call  $(X, \mathcal{F})$  a *measurable space* and call  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  a *measure space*. The word “space” is natural, since the notion of a measure generalized the notion of volume in real space (see **Real Space and N-Dimensional Space**). We often call  $\mathcal{F}$  the *measurable sets*. In other words, a measure space is a triple: a base set, a sigma algebra, and a measure.

## Notation

We often use  $\mu$  for a measure since it is a mnemonic for “measure”. We often also use  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  since these letters are near  $\mu$  in the Greek alphabet.

## Examples

**Example 1.** Let  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  a measurable space. Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that  $\mu(A)$  is  $|A|$  if  $A$  is finite and  $\mu(A)$  is  $+\infty$  otherwise. Then  $\mu$  is a

measure. We call  $\mu$  the counting measure.

**Example 2.** Let  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  measurable. Fix  $a \in A$ . Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that  $\mu(A)$  is 1 if  $a \in A$  and  $\mu(A)$  is 0 otherwise. Then  $\mu$  is a measure. We call  $\mu$  the point mass concentrated at  $a$ .

**Example 3.** The Lebesgue measure on the measurable space  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}))$  is a measure.

**Example 4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  the co-finite algebra on  $N$ . Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be such that  $\mu(A)$  is 1 if  $A$  is infinite or 0 otherwise. Then  $\mu$  is a finitely additive measure. However it is impossible to extend  $\mu$  to be a countably additive measure. Observe that if  $A_n = \{n\}$  the  $\mu(\cup_n A_n) = 1$  but  $\sum_n \mu(A_n) = 0$ .

**Example 5.** Let  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  a measurable space. Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be 0 if  $A = \emptyset$  and  $\mu(A)$  is  $+\infty$  otherwise. Then  $\mu$  is a measure.

**Example 6.** Let  $A$  be set with at least two elements ( $|A| \geq 2$ ). Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(A)$ . Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that  $\mu(A)$  is 0 if  $A = \emptyset$  and  $\mu(A) = 1$  otherwise. Then  $\mu$  is not a measure, nor is  $\mu$  finitely additive.

*Proof.* Let  $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \cap C = \emptyset$  then using finite additivity We obtain a contradiction

$$1 = \mu(B \cup C) \neq \mu(B) + \mu(C) = 2$$

□

## Properties

**Proposition 1** (monotonicity). Suppose  $(A, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is measure space. Then

$$\mu(B) \leq \mu(C) \quad \text{for all } B \subset C \subset A$$

**Proposition 2** (subadditivity). Suppose  $(A, \mathcal{A}, m)$  is a measure space and  $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is a countable family. Then  $m(\cup A_n) \leq \sum_i m(A_i)$ .

**Proposition 3.** *For a measure space  $(A, \mathcal{A}, m)$ .*

$$m(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_i)$$

**Proposition 4.** *For a measure space  $(A, \mathcal{A}, m)$ .*

$$m(\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(A_i)$$

