

## Logic Languages

Michael L. Scott, in [Programming Language Pragmatics \(Third Edition\)](#), 2009

### 11.2.1 Resolution and Unification

#### Example 11.8

#### Resolution in Prolog

The resolution principle, due to Robinson [Rob65], says that if  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are Horn clauses and the head of  $C_1$  matches one of the terms in the body of  $C_2$ , then we can replace the term in  $C_2$  with the body of  $C_1$ . Consider the following example:

```
takes(jane_doe, his201).
```

```
takes(jane_doe, cs254).
```

```
takes(ajit_chandra, art302).
```

```
takes(ajit_chandra, cs254).
```

```
classmates(X, Y) :- takes(X, Z), takes(Y, Z).
```

If we let  $X$  be `jane_doe` and  $Z$  be `cs254`, we can replace the first term on the right-hand side of the last clause with the (empty) body of the second clause, yielding the new rule

```
classmates(jane_doe, Y) :- takes(Y, cs254).
```

In other words,  $Y$  is a classmate of `jane_doe` if  $Y$  takes `cs254`.

Note that the last rule has a variable ( $Z$ ) on the right-hand side that does not appear in the head. Such variables are existentially quantified: for all  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  are classmates if there exists a class  $Z$  that they both take.

The pattern-matching process used to associate  $X$  with `jane_doe` and  $Z$  with `cs254` is known as unification. Variables that are given values as a result of unification are said to be instantiated.

The unification rules for Prolog state that

- A constant unifies only with itself.
- Two structures unify if and only if they have the same functor and the same arity, and the corresponding arguments unify recursively.

■ A variable unifies with anything. If the other thing has a value, then the variable is instantiated. If the other thing is an uninstantiated variable, then the two variables are associated in such a way that if either is given a value later, that value will be shared by both.

### Example 11.9

#### Unification in Prolog and ML

Unification of structures in Prolog is very much akin to ML's unification of the types of formal and actual parameters. A formal parameter of type `int * 'b list`, for example, will unify with an actual parameter of type `'a * real list` in ML by instantiating `'a` to `int` and `'b` to `real`.

### Example 11.10

#### Equality and Unification

Equality in Prolog is defined in terms of “unifiability.” The goal `=(A, B)` succeeds if and only if `A` and `B` can be unified. For the sake of convenience, the goal may be written as `A = B`; the infix notation is simply [syntactic sugar](#). In keeping with the rules above, we have

```
?- a = a.
```

Yes % constant unifies with itself

```
?- a = b.
```

No % but not with another constant

```
?- foo(a, b) = foo(a, b).
```

Yes % structures are recursively identical

```
?- X = a.
```

`X = a` ; % variable unifies with constant

No % only once

```
?- foo(a, b) = foo(X, b).
```

`X = a` ; % arguments must unify

No % only one possibility

### Example 11.11

## Unification Without Instantiation

It is possible for two variables to be unified without instantiating them. If we type

```
?- A = B.
```

the interpreter will simply respond

```
A = B
```

If, however, we type

```
?- A = B, A = a, B = Y.
```

(unifying A and B before binding a to A) the interpreter will respond

```
A = a
```

```
B = a
```

```
Y = a
```

In a similar vein, suppose we are given the following rules:

```
takes_lab(S) :- takes(S, C), has_lab(C).
```

```
has_lab(D) :- meets_in(D, R), is_lab(R).
```

(S takes a lab class if S takes C and C is a lab class.

Moreover D is a lab class if D meets in room R and R is a lab.) An attempt to resolve these rules will unify the head of the second with the second term in the body of the first, causing C and D to be unified, even though neither is instantiated.