If Dirac Teaches Megumin Quantum Mechanics: A Courtroom Play

Junhu Park

April 12, 2025

Act 1. In the Warp Courtroom

Scene: The courtroom of Slaanesh in the Warp (from Warhammer 40k[10]). Megumin[8] is charged with vaporizing three Chaos Marines with her Explosion spell. She is aware of her actions but has not confessed in court.

Paul Dirac[7] appears as her defense attorney. He argues that, by the principle of quantum observation, guilt and innocence should be treated as a superposition until a measurement is made.

Co-defendant: Aqua[9] is present in the defendant's box due to sheer misfortune, still clueless about the situation.

Dirac:

If the universe follows the laws of quantum mechanics, Megumin, your state is not determined until it is observed. That is, your guilt $|G\rangle$ and innocence $|I\rangle$ are in superposition:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \alpha |G\rangle + \beta |I\rangle$$
, with $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$.

Quantum Interlude I: Quantization of Verdicts

Dirac (narration):

The verdict operator of the court is quantized as follows:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{Justice}} |G\rangle = +1 |G\rangle$$
, $\hat{H}_{\text{Justice}} |I\rangle = 0 |I\rangle$

This means verdicts are not emotional gradients, but discrete eigenvalues. One cannot define innocence without defining guilt. That is the quantum identity.

Act 2. The Interference of Guilt

Dirac:

If the phase is aligned, constructive interference appears:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|G\rangle + |I\rangle),$$

and if the phase is opposite,

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|G\rangle - |I\rangle),$$

then destructive interference reduces the observable probability of guilt.

Interlude II: Uncertainty of Verdict and Sentence

Dirac (aside):

If the guilt operator \hat{G} and the sentence operator \hat{P} do not commute,

$$[\hat{G}, \hat{P}] \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Delta G \, \Delta P \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

then a precise verdict implies uncertainty in sentencing, and vice versa.

Act 3. Collapse or Not Collapse

$$\hat{P}_G = |G\rangle\langle G|, \quad \hat{P}_I = |I\rangle\langle I|$$

Dirac:

If you consent to measurement, the wavefunction will collapse, and reality will choose a single branch.

Megumin:

...I accept the observation. Just as I always chose Explosion.

Act 4. Entanglement and Wigner's Friend

Judge Slaanesh:

Measurement result: $|I\rangle$. Not guilty.

Dirac:

Her guilt component vanished into orthogonality, and Aqua became entangled with the $|G\rangle$ state.

Dirac (internal monologue):

Even if Megumin knew she was guilty, unless she declares it, no external observation occurs. Hence, the wavefunction remains uncollapsed. This is the puzzle left by Wigner's friend.

Interlude III: State Reconstruction

Dirac (narration):

If the court reconstructs the density matrix ρ from testimonies,

$$\rho = \sum_{i,j} p_{ij} |i\rangle \langle j|,$$

then this is quantum state tomography. Truth is reconstructed from observation.

Act 5. ...

 $(Empty\ stage.\ The\ curtain\ falls.)$

Note: An unobserved world is neither real nor unreal. The verdict in quantum court is always open, and the final question of physics remains: *Who observed it?*

References

References

- [1] Dirac, P. A. M. The Principles of Quantum Mechanics. Oxford University Press, 1930.
- [2] Von Neumann, J. Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics. Princeton University Press, 1955.
- [3] Griffiths, D. J. Introduction to Quantum Mechanics. Pearson, 2018.
- [4] Nielsen, M. A. & Chuang, I. L. Quantum Computation and Quantum Information. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [5] Carroll, S. Spacetime and Geometry. Addison-Wesley, 2004.
- [6] Zurek, W. H. "Decoherence and the Transition from Quantum to Classical—Revisited." Los Alamos Science, 2002.
- [7] "Paul Dirac." Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Dirac
- [8] "Megumin." Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Megumin
- [9] "Aqua (KonoSuba)." Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aqua_(KonoSuba)
- [10] "Slaanesh." Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slaanesh