

Dynamic Programming II

Jie Wang

University of Massachusetts Lowell
Department of Computer Science

For a change we will look at a complexity-theoretical problem to demonstrate how we can use DP to solve decision problems.

- Let A be a language over a finite alphabet.
- The Kleene closure of A , denoted by A^* , is defined as follows:

$$A^* = \{x \mid x \text{ is a finite string over } A\}.$$

- Let P denote the set of languages accepted by polynomial-time bounded deterministic Turing machines.

Theorem. If $A \in P$, then so is A^* .

Proof. Let M_A be a DTM with time bound p_A (a polynomial) accepting A . That is,

$$M_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Proof Continued

Observation:

- $x \in A^*$ iff $x \in A$ or $x = x_1x_2$ such that $x_1 \in A$ and $x_2 \in A^*$, where $|x_1||x_2| > 0$.

Let $|x| = n$.

Formulation: Given $i \leq n$, let

$$KC(x, M_A, i, n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if substring } x[i..n] \in A^*, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- There are n subproblems.

Localization: $KC(x, M_A, i, n) = 1$ iff one of the following conditions hold:

- $M_A(x[i..n]) = 1$.
- $x[i..n] = x[i..j]x[j+1, n]$ for some $j \in [i, n)$ such that $M_A(x[i..j]) = 1$ and $KC(x, M_A, j+1, n) = 1$.

$KC(x, M_A, i, n)$

```
1   $T[n + 1] = 1$ 
2  for  $j = i$  to  $n$ 
3       $T[j] = 0$ 
4  for  $j = n$  to  $i$ 
5      for  $k = j$  to  $n$ 
6          if  $M_A(x[j..k]) == 1$  and  $T(k + 1) == 1$ 
7               $T[j] = 1$ 
8  return  $T[i]$ 
```

Compute $KC(x, M_A, 1, n)$. If $KC(x, M_A, 1, n) = 1$ then $x \in A^*$; otherwise, $x \notin A^*$.

Running time: $O(n^2 p_A(n))$. Thus, $A^* \in P$. **End of Proof**

Edit Distance

Now back to optimization. Suppose that we want to determine if string S_1 is “similar” to S_2 . This is a very active and real world problem.

Applications include

- Cheating detection
- Copyright infringement detection
- Determining similarity of two DNA sequences (e.g., finding familial relationships)
- Auto correction
- Topic discoveries
- Summary extraction

We will measure the similarity of two strings using a metric called the *edit distance*.

- The Levenshtein metric.

Problem Description

When calculating the (Levenshtein) edit distance between strings S_1 and S_2 we are looking for how many operations it takes to transform S_1 into S_2 .

- ① Insert a character c .
- ② Delete a character c at location i .
- ③ Replace a character c with c' at a location i .
 - Sometimes called a substitution.

Formalize the edit distance problem as follows:

Input: Two strings X and Y .

Output: The minimum cost of edit operations (insert, delete, and replace) to transform X into Y .

Solving this problem is similar to solving LCS.

Formulation and Localization

Formulation: Given a string $X = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m$ and a string $Y = y_1y_2 \cdots y_n$. Let $D(i, j)$ denote the least number of operations to turn suffix $X_i = x_i \cdots x_m$ into suffix $Y_j = y_j \cdots y_n$.

- There are mn subproblems.

Localization: We can arrive at the value of $D(i, j)$ by considering the following three cases:

- 1 Insert y_j before x_i .
 - This makes X longer. Note: This operation doesn't examine X .
- 2 Delete x_i .
 - This makes X shorter.
- 3 Replace x_i with y_j .
 - This does *not* change $|X|$.

Denote

- insertion of character a by $\uparrow a$,
- removal of character a by \not{a} ,
- replacement of a with b by $a \rightarrow b$.

Localization Continued

- Inserting character y_j before x_i forces a match. However, we still know nothing about x_i .
 - This means we should consider the subproblem $D(i, j + 1)$.
 - Note: we are not actually performing any edit on the string. There is nothing dynamic about the strings.
- Deleting x_i learns nothing about y_j .
 - This means we should consider the subproblem $D(i + 1, j)$.
- Replacing x_i with y_j we know that x_i is now equal to y_j and we have a perfect match up to this point.
 - This means we should consider the subproblem $D(i + 1, j + 1)$.
- We also have two special cases that aren't covered by our edit operations; these aren't really operations at all.
 - If $x_i = y_j$ we should just skip the match and look at subproblem $D(i + 1, j + 1)$.
 - If we are trying to read past the end of one of our string (i.e., $i > m$ or $j > n$) our edit distance is 0.

Localization Continued

Define our recurrence as follows:

$$D(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i > m \text{ or } j > n, \\ D(i + 1, j + 1), & \text{if } x_i = y_j, \\ \min \{ C(\uparrow y_i) + D(i, j + 1), & \text{if } i \leq m, j \leq n, \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j, \\ \quad C(\not x_i) + D(i + 1, j), \\ \quad C(x_i \rightarrow y_j) + \\ \quad + D(i + 1, j + 1) \} \end{cases}$$

where C is a cost function.

Want to compute $D(1, 1)$.

Memoization

Use a global memo pad $memo[1..m, 1..n]$ with all entries initialized to \perp .

EDITDISTANCE($i, j, X[1..m], Y[1..n]$)

```
1  if  $memo[i, j] \neq \perp$ 
2       $v = memo[i, j]$ 
3  elseif  $i \leq m$  and  $j \leq n$  and  $X[i] \neq Y[j]$ 
4       $v = \min \{ C(\uparrow y_i) + \text{EDITDISTANCE}(i, j + 1),$ 
                   $C(\times_i) + \text{EDITDISTANCE}(i + 1, j),$ 
                   $C(x_i \rightarrow y_j) + \text{EDITDISTANCE}(i + 1, j + 1) \}$ 
5  elseif  $X[i] == Y[j]$ 
6       $v = \text{EDITDISTANCE}(i + 1, j + 1)$ 
7  elseif  $i > m$  or  $j > n$ 
8       $v = 0$ 
9   $memo[i, j] = v$ 
10 return  $v$ 
```

Running time: $\Theta(mn)$.

We can also work on prefixes of the string and generate a recurrence D' , and we want to compute $D'(m, n)$.

- This is what we did when we looked at the LCS problem.
- The above becomes the LCS problem if we make $C(x_i \rightarrow y_j) = \infty$ for all i and j .
 - Deletions and insertions are basically equivalent to skipping over characters that don't match.