## Physics 151 Pset Suggestions

September 4, 2024

## 1 Pset 1

Recall the Lagrangian vector from class (compact form and in components)

$$\mathbb{L} = \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{q}} \mathcal{L} - \nabla_{q} \mathcal{L}$$
$$\mathbb{L}_{j} = \frac{d}{dt} \partial_{\dot{q}_{j}} \mathcal{L} - \nabla_{q} \mathcal{L}$$

To emphasize dependence on q (or x), we occasionally write

$$\mathbb{L}_{q} = \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{q}} \mathcal{L} - \nabla_{q} \mathcal{L}$$
$$\mathbb{L}_{x} = \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{x}} \mathcal{L} - \nabla_{x} \mathcal{L}$$

Also recall that the Jacobian matrix  $J_{a\to b}$  from  $a\in\mathbb{R}^m$  to  $b\in\mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$(J_{a\to b})_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_a b_1 & \cdots & \nabla_a b_n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial a_i}$$

so that the chain rule can be written as (compactly and in components)

$$\nabla_a f(b) = J_{a \to b} \nabla_b f(b)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} f(b(a_1, \dots, a_m)) = \sum_k \frac{\partial f(b)}{\partial b_k} \frac{\partial b_k}{\partial a_j} = \sum_k (J_{a \to b})_{jk} (\nabla_b f(b))_k$$

This question asks you to show that the Lagrangian vector transforms covariantly:

(a) Given a coordinate transform  $x \to q$  so that  $q_j = q_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , it determines a transform  $(x, \dot{x}) \to (q, \dot{q})$  of the derivatives as well. Show that  $J_{x \to \dot{q}} = J_{x \to q}$ .

Hint: the transformation  $x \to q$  is independent of  $\dot{x}$ , so one can show that  $\dot{x}, \dot{q}$  are related by a linear transform  $\dot{q} = J_{x \to q} \dot{x}$  or, in components,  $\dot{q}_j = \sum_k (J_{x \to q})_{jk} \dot{x}_k$ .

- (b) Show that  $J_{x\to \dot{q}} = \frac{d}{dt} J_{x\to q}$ .
- (c) Explain why  $J_{\dot{x}\to q} = 0$ .

(d) The previous parts give us the full Jacobian of  $(x, \dot{x}) \to (q, \dot{q})$ 

$$J_{(x,\dot{x})\to(q,\dot{q})} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{x\to q} & J_{x\to\dot{q}} \\ J_{\dot{x}\to q} & J_{\dot{x}\to\dot{q}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{x\to q} & \frac{d}{dt}J_{x\to q} \\ 0 & J_{x\to q} \end{bmatrix}$$

Next show that  $\nabla_{\dot{x}} \mathbb{L}_q = J_{\dot{x} \to \dot{q}} \nabla_{\dot{q}}$ 

- (e) Show that  $\nabla_x \mathbb{L} = (J_{x \to q} \nabla_q + J_{x \to \dot{q}} \nabla_{\dot{q}}) \mathbb{L}$
- (f) Covariance follows from the previous parts: show that  $\mathbb{L}_x = J_{x \to q} \mathbb{L}_q$ .

## 1.1 Solutions

(a) By the chain rule

$$\dot{q}_j = \frac{d}{dt}q_j(x) = \sum_k \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{d}{dt} x_k = (J_{x \to q})_{kj} \dot{x}_k$$