

## CS230-HW4Sol

### 1. Ling 6 pts

We will prove if  $A \neq B$ , then  $A \times B \neq B \times A$  by using a proof by contrapositive: if  $A \times B = B \times A$ , then  $A = B$ . To prove  $A = B$ , then if  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in B$  and if  $y \in B$ , then  $y \in A$ . Have  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Then  $(x, y) \in A \times B$  by definition of Cartesian product. Since  $A \times B = B \times A$  and  $(x, y) \in A \times B$ , then  $(x, y) \in B \times A$ . So  $x \in B$  and  $y \in A$ . Thus  $A = B$ . Therefore, if  $A \neq B$ , then  $A \times B \neq B \times A$ .

### 2. Ling 4 pts

We will prove  $(A \cup B) - C = (A - C) \cup (B - C)$  using a series of equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (A \cup B) - C &\text{ iff } x \in A \cup B \wedge x \notin C && \text{definition of set difference} \\ &\text{ iff } (x \in A \vee x \in B) \wedge x \notin C && \text{definition of union} \\ &\text{ iff } (x \in A \wedge x \notin C) \vee (x \in B \wedge x \notin C) && \text{distributive law of logic} \\ &\text{ iff } (x \in A - C) \vee (x \in B - C) && \text{definition of set difference} \\ &\text{ iff } x \in (A - C) \cup (B - C) && \text{definition of set union} \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Jonathan 8 pts

- Consider  $A = \{1\}$ ,  $B = \{2\}$ , and  $C = \{1, 2\}$ . Then  $A \cup C = B \cup C = C = \{1, 2\}$ , so  $A \cup C \subseteq B \cup C$ , but  $A$  is not a subset of  $B$ .
- Consider  $A = \{1\}$ ,  $B = \{2\}$ , and  $C = \emptyset$ . Then  $A \cap C = B \cap C = C = \emptyset$ , so  $A \cap C \subseteq B \cap C$ , but  $A$  is not a subset of  $B$  since  $1 \in A$  but  $1 \notin B$ .

### 4. Jonathan 8 pts

Let  $A \cup C \subseteq B \cup C$  and  $A \cap C \subseteq B \cap C$ . Assume, for contradiction,  $A$  is not a subset of  $B$ , in other words, there is some  $x$  where  $x \in A$  but  $x \notin B$ . Since  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in A$  or  $x \in C$ , so  $x \in A \cup C$ . This means  $x \in B \cup C$ , by definition of subset. Since we assumed that  $x \notin B$  and  $x \in B \cup C$ , then  $x$  has to be an element of  $C$ . Then  $x \in A \cap C$  since  $x \in A$  and  $x \in C$ . So  $x \in B \cap C$  as well. By definition of intersection, then  $x \in B$  and  $x \in C$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $x \notin B$ . Thus the assumption that  $A$  is not a subset of  $B$  is false. Therefore if  $A \cup C \subseteq B \cup C$  and  $A \cap C \subseteq B \cap C$ , then  $A \subseteq B$ .

### 5. Ying 8 pts

To prove  $(A \cup B) - (A \cap B) = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ , we must show that  $(A \cup B) - (A \cap B) \subseteq (A - B) \cup (B - A)$  and  $(A - B) \cup (B - A) \subseteq (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$ .

$\subseteq$ : Let  $x \in (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$ . Prove  $x \in (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ . By definition of set difference,  $x \in A \cup B$  and  $x \notin A \cap B$ . Since  $x \in A \cup B$ , then  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ , by definition of set union.

Case 1: Have  $x \in A$ . We need to show that  $x \notin B$ . Assume, for contradiction,  $x \in B$ . Then  $x \in A \cap B$ , by definition of set intersection. This contradicts  $x \notin A \cap B$  from above. Thus  $x \notin B$ . Since  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$ , then  $x \in A - B$ . Thus  $x \in (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ .

Case 2: This case is symmetrical to case 1. It is the same steps as case 1 but with  $A$  and  $B$  switched.

Thus  $(A \cup B) - (A \cap B) \subseteq (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ .

$\supseteq$ : Let  $x \in (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ . Prove  $x \in (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$ . By definition of union,  $x \in A - B$  or  $x \in B - A$ .

Case 1: Have  $x \in A - B$ . Then  $x \in A$  and  $x \notin B$ . Since  $x \notin B$ , then  $x \notin A \cap B$  because for  $x$  to be in  $A \cap B$ ,  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$ . Since  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in A \cup B$  because  $A \subseteq A \cup B$ . By the definition of set difference, since  $x \in A \cup B$  and  $x \notin A \cap B$ , then  $x \in (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$ .

Case 2: Case 2 is symmetrical to case 1.

Thus  $(A - B) \cup (B - A) \subseteq (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$ . Therefore  $(A \cup B) - (A \cap B) = (A - B) \cup (B - A)$ .

## 6. Ying 4 pts

The function  $f$  is one to one if  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (f(x) = f(y) \rightarrow x = y)$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Then  $f(x) = f(y) \Rightarrow 5x + 9 = 5y + 9 \Rightarrow 5x = 5y \Rightarrow x = y$ . Therefore  $f$  is one to one.

To prove  $f$  is not onto, then we should be able to find a positive integer that is not mapped to under  $f$ . Consider  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For  $f$  to map some positive integer to 1, then  $f(x) = 1 \Rightarrow 5x + 9 = 1 \Rightarrow x = -\frac{8}{5}$ . Since the number that  $f$  would map to  $x$  is not in the domain  $\mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $f$  is not onto.

## 7. Modeste 4 pts

For a function to be onto, everything in the codomain must be mapped to by some element in the domain under the function:  $\forall c \in \mathbb{Z} \exists (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, f(m, n) = c$ . For any  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $(0, c)$  would map to  $c$  using the function  $f$ :  $f(0, c) = 0 + c + 0 * c = 0 + c + 0 = c$ . Then every integer has some ordered pair of integers that  $f$  maps to it.

To show that  $f$  is not one to one, we need to find two different inputs that map to the same place under  $f$ . Consider  $(0, 2)$  and  $(2, 0)$ .  $f(0, 2) = 0 + 2 + 0 * 2 = 2 + 0 + 2 * 0 = f(2, 0) = 2$ , but  $(0, 2) \neq (2, 0)$ . Therefore  $f$  is not one to one.

## 8. Modeste 8 pts

- Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two elements in the domain of  $g$ . Prove that  $g(x) = g(y) \Rightarrow x = y$ . Have  $g(x) = g(y)$ , then we can apply the function  $f$  to both sides since  $g(x)$  and  $g(y)$  are elements in the domain of  $f$  and  $f$  is a total function. So  $f(g(x)) = f(g(y))$ . Since  $f \circ g$  is one to one and  $f(g(x)) = f(g(y))$ , then  $x = y$ . Thus  $g(x) = g(y) \Rightarrow f(g(x)) = f(g(y)) \Rightarrow x = y$ . Therefore  $g$  is one to one.
- $g$  does not have to be onto. That means we can construct a counterexample where  $f \circ g$  is onto but there is some element in  $B$  that isn't mapped to by  $g$  to disprove the statement. Let  $A = \{a\}$ ,  $B = \{1, 2\}$ , and  $C = \{c\}$ . Have  $f(1) = f(2) = c$  and  $g(a) =$

1. Then  $f \circ g$  is onto since every element in  $C$  is mapped to by an element in  $A$  using  $f \circ g$ , namely,  $f \circ g(a) = c$ . But  $g$  is not onto because no element in  $A$  maps to  $2 \in B$ .