In Abi's scenario, he faces several ethical concerns as a researcher and statistical programmer. Firstly, manipulating data to support a desired conclusion is unethical, compromising the integrity of the research (Resnik, 2014). Similarly, selectively reporting certain analyses or results to promote a biased view is also unethical (Fanelli, 2009). Abi has an ethical obligation to present both positive and negative analyses to the manufacturer to ensure transparency and avoid misrepresentation (Smith & Williams-Jones, 2012).

To address the situation responsibly, Abi can take several courses of action. He should provide a comprehensive report that includes all analyses, making it difficult for the manufacturer to selectively publicize favorable results (Nosek & Bar-Anan, 2012). Seeking expert advice from colleagues or other experts can validate the findings and reinforce unbiased reporting (Steneck, 2006).

If the manufacturer refuses to publish both sets of results, Abi can consider publishing the findings independently in a reputable scientific journal (Shamoo, 2009). Open communication with the manufacturer is crucial, emphasizing the importance of presenting all data and the risks of selective reporting (Martinson et al., 2005).

Engaging in unethical practices can have significant ethical, legal, social, and professional impacts. Data manipulation can lead to a loss of trust in research, harming the researcher's reputation and institution (Bouter, 2023). Legally, it may violate laws related to false advertising or misrepresentation. Socially, selective reporting can mislead the public and impact consumer decisions.

References:

Bouter, L., 2023. Why research integrity matters and how it can be improved. Accountability in Research, pp.1-10.

Fanelli, D. (2009). How many scientists fabricate and falsify research? A systematic review and meta-analysis of survey data. PLoS ONE, 4(5), e5738.

Martinson, B.C., Anderson, M.S. and De Vries, R., 2005. Scientists behaving badly. Nature, 435(7043), pp.737-738.

Nosek, B.A. and Bar-Anan, Y., 2012. Scientific utopia: I. Opening scientific communication. Psychological Inquiry, 23(3), pp.217-243.

Shamoo, A.E. and Resnik, D.B., 2009. Responsible conduct of research. Oxford University Press.

Smith, E., & Williams-Jones, B. (2012). Authorship and responsibility in health sciences research: A review of procedures for fairly allocating authorship in multi-author studies. Science and Engineering Ethics, 18(2), 199-212.

Steneck, N.H., 2006. ORI introduction to the responsible conduct of research. Office of Research Integrity, US Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved from https://ori.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/rcrintro.pdf

Resnik, D.B., 2014. Data fabrication and falsification and empiricist philosophy of science. Science and engineering ethics, 20, pp.423-431.