




Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative


| | |
|--|---|
| Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram | Student number: 323473 |
| Details of any extensions etc: <i>To note your assignment is over word count: 2,000 +10% is allowed. However your final word count is 2,220 which takes you over the above. A 10% penalty has been applied to your final grade due to this.</i> | Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission |




| Criteria and weighting | Level | Comments |
|--|------------------|--|
| Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%) To provide an overview of current knowledge on the chosen topic | Excellent | Overall excellent knowledge of the topic. It is clear that you targeted specific research for specific aspects of content that you focused on for investigation relating to your assignment title. The content is logical and easy to follow, and does answer the summative assignment appropriately. Just do need to bear in mind comments in 'academic integrity' for future modules. |
| Criticality (30%) To highlight similar and contrasting views on your chosen topic | Excellent | Overall criticality is excellent, in that you do compare and contrast discussion in your content when looking at different concepts. You are looking at the pros and cons. What I would suggest for future is that instead of looking at one part of the discussion and examining the pros and cons for that one subject, draw more than one subject matter through a paragraph so that you are engaging with multiple different comparisons of research around different topics through paragraphs, instead of speaking of one subject and then another separately. |
| Use of relevant sources (20%) To demonstrate an awareness of relevant, current literature | Excellent | Excellent use of relevant research resources. You do take most opportunities to cite, [although there were more opportunities in the content here and there to add additional citation]. The research references that you have chosen are current which is good practice. Take this approach forward to your future summative and continue to develop your research and reading for your next summative submissions to further build upon your knowledge. |
| Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment) | Excellent | General layout and presentation of the document is completed to an excellent standard. There are clear sub-headers and sections are clearly denoted. You should add page numbers to your document at this level of study and so |



| | | |
|--|------------------|--|
| guidance) (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills. | | this is something worth bearing in mind for future. A title page would also give your assignment additional academic structure and finesse moving forward. You introduce your title as part of the opening lines in your 'introduction' section, but again applying the title to the top of the document or on a title page would have been beneficial. |
| Academic integrity (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills. | Very Good | <p>There are clear matches in your content to original source material. When using existing research, you have to paraphrase it, and cannot use content from original published publications unless you are clearly quoting these. Please see some excerpts from documents below to show direct matches found by Turnitin to content:</p>   |



| | |
|--|--|
| |  <p>The matches do not 'skew' your own academic voice to an excessive degree in this assignment. As this issue has been flagged here in your first summative, if it does happen again in your future summative, it will then further impact your overall grade.</p> |
|--|--|

Overall

Positives:

- A mix of very good and excellent outcomes
- With a very good outcome overall

Points for development:

- Completely use your own academic voice moving forward to avoid intext matches via Turnitin
- Continue to develop your research for future summative
- Work on synthesising your critical discussion a little more, and so draw different points of the topic through paragraphs to get cross-sectional effective comparisons of research happening in content.

